

FILED
CIVIL PROCESSING

2022 JUN 10 P 2: 44

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 23

Date: May 25, 2022 Case: Depp, II -v- Heard

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

_			ay 25, 2022	
	VIRGINIA:	050	APPEARANCES	7052
2			ALLEANANGES	
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY	2	. ON DENIAL OF THE DIATRITIE AND COUNTERS AND	
3	X	3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM	
4	JOHN C. DEPP, II, :	4	DEFENDANT:	
5	Plaintiff and . :	5	BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE	
6	Counterclaim Defendant, :	6	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
7	v. : Civil Action No.:	7	601 Thirteenth Street NW	
8	AMBER LAURA HEARD, : CL-2019-0002911	8	Suite 600	
9	Defendant and :	9	Washington, D.C. 20005	
10	Counterclaim Plaintiff. :	10	202.536.1700	
11	х	- 11		
12	HEARING	12	CAMILLE M. VASQUEZ, ESQUÌRE	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PENNEY AZCARATE	13	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
14	Fairfax, Virginia	14	2211 Michelson Drive	1
15	Wednesday, May 25, 2022	15	7th Floor	
16	9:00 a.m. EDT '	16	Irvine, CA 92712	
17	TRIAL DAY 23	17	949,440.0234	
18		18		
19		19	·	•
20	Job No.: 443907	20		
21	Pages: 7050 - 7435	21		
22	Reported by: Judith E. Bellinger, RPR, CRR	22		
				x
		1		
	71	051		7053
1	Held at:	1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	
2		2	•	•
3	•	3	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE	
4	CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY	4	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
5	4110 Chain Bridge Road	5	7 Times Square	
6	Courtroom 5J	6	New York, NY	
7	Fairfax, Virginia 22030	7	212.209.4938	
8	703.691.7320	8		
9	•	.	REBECCA MACDOWELL LECAROZ, ESQUIRE	• •
10		10	WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE	
11		11	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
		112	One Financial Center	
12	*			
13		13	Boston, MA 02111	
14		, 14	617.856.8149	•
15		15		
16		16		
17		17		
18		18		
19		19		
20		20		
21		21		•
22		22		•
				•
	<u> </u>			

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	7054	1				7056
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	1		EXHII	BITS	7050
2	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM	2	•	Offered	Admitted	
3	PLAINTIFF:	3	Plaintiff		1101111100	
4		4	1301	7203	7204	-
5	ELAINE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT, ESQUIRE	1	1501	1203	7204	
6	ADAM S. NADELHAFT, ESQUIRE	5	D - C 1	21		
7	DAVID E. MURPHY, ESQUIRE	1	Defenda	•	72.00	•
8	CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN BROWN &	7	821	7308	7308	•
9	NADELHAFT, P.C.	8	857A		7312	
10	11260 Roger Bacon Drive	9	883	7298	7300	
11	Suite 201	10	1859	7283	7284	
12	Reston, VA 20190	11	1905	7279	7280 (with	redactions)
13	703.318.6800	12				,
14	1 1	13				
15	J. BENJAMIN ROTTENBORN, ESQUIRE	14				1
16	WOODS ROGERS PLC	15		•		
17	10 South Jefferson Street			r		
18	Suite 1400	16				
19	P.O. Box 14125	17				
20	Roanoke, VA 24011	18				
21	540.983.7540	19				
22	•	20				•
	•	21				-
	•	22			•	
	" 7055	,		<u>.</u>		, 7057
1	CONTENTS	1		PROCE	EDINGS	
2 F	EXAMINATION OF KATE MOSS (VIA WEBEX) PAGE	2	T	HE BAILIF	F: All rise.	
3	By Mr. Chew 7082	3			ted and come to	order.
4 E	EXAMINATION OF SHANNON J. CURRY, PSY.D., M.S.C.P.	4			Γ: Good morning	
5	By Mr. Dennison 7086	5			Good morning,	-
6	By Ms. Bredehoft 7128	1 _		nc'cinia.		Tour Honor.
7	By Mr. Dennison 7152	6		THE COLING	P. All-marke Than	ma harra ann
•		} -	. %		: All right. Do	we have any
8 E	EXAMINATION OF JOHN C. DEPP, II PAGE	7	prelimina	ry matters?		·
	By Ms. Meyers 7175	} -	prelimina M	ry matters? IS. BREDE	HOFT: Yes, Yo	·
9 10	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276	7	prelimina M	ry matters?	HOFT: Yes, Yo	·
9 10 11	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319	7 8	prelimina M T	ry matters? IS. BREDE	HOFT: Yes, Yo	·
9 10 11 12 `E	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE	7 8 9	prelimina M T (S	ry matters? IS. BREDE! HE COUR?	HOFT: Yes, Yo	·
9 10 11 12 · E 13	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331	7 8 9 10 11	prelimina M T (S	ry matters? IS. BREDE HE COUR? Sidebar.) HE COUR?	HOFT: Yes, Yo Γ: Okay Γ: All right.	ur Honor, we do
9 10 11 12 `E 13 14	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346	7 8 9 10 11 12	prelimina M T (S T	ry matters? IS. BREDE HE COURT Sidebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay C: All right. HAFT: First, the	e easy ones.
9 10 11 12 `E 13 14	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prelimina M T (S T M	ry matters? IS. BREDE: HE COURT Sidebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay C: All right. HAFT: First, the C: Easy ones are	e easy ones.
9 10 11 12 E 13 14 15	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prelimina M T (S T M T	ry matters? IS. BREDE HE COURT Sidebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay C: All right. HAFT: First, the C: Easy ones are HAFT: These an	e easy ones. nice. re the exhibits
9 10 11 12 E 13 14 15 16 E	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prelimina M T (S T M T M that were	ry matters? IS. BREDE! HE COURT Gidebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL admitted ar	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay. C: All right. HAFT: First, the C: Easy ones are HAFT: These and were on the lis	e easy ones. nice. te the exhibits
9 10 11 12 E 13 14 15 16 E 17	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353 By Mr. Murphy 7399	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prelimina M T (S T M T M that were	ry matters? IS. BREDE HE COURT Glebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL admitted ar HE COURT	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay C: All right. HAFT: First, the C: Easy ones are HAFT: These an	e easy ones. nice. te the exhibits
9 10 11 12 E 13 14 15 16 E 17 18	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353 By Mr. Murphy 7399 By Ms. Lecaroz 7414	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prelimina M T (S T M T M that were T that's 149	ry matters? IS. BREDE HE COURT Glebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL admitted ar HE COURT HE COURT	HOFT: Yes, Your Control of the Contr	e easy ones. nice. te the exhibits t. nt. So
9 110 111 112 F 113 114 115 116 F 117 118	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353 By Mr. Murphy 7399 By Ms. Lecaroz 7414 EXAMINATION OF BEVERLY R. LEONARD (WEBEX) PAGE	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prelimina M T (S T M T M that were T that's 149	ry matters? IS. BREDE! HE COURT Gidebar.) HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL admitted ar HE COURT D3F? IR. NADEL	HOFT: Yes, You Change of the Hoft of the H	e easy ones. nice. re the exhibits t. nt. So
9 110 111 12 E 113 14 115 116 E 117 118 119 20 E	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353 By Mr. Murphy 7399 By Ms. Lecaroz 7414 EXAMINATION OF BEVERLY R. LEONARD (WEBEX) PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7418	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prelimina M T (S T M that were T that's 149 M	ry matters? IS. BREDE! HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL Admitted ar HE COURT	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay. C: All right. HAFT: First, the C: Easy ones are HAFT: These and d were on the lis C: Okay. All right HAFT: Uh-huh. C: Okay. Got th	e easy ones. nice. the exhibits t. at. So
9 110 111 12 E 113 14 115 116 E 117 118 119 20 E	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353 By Mr. Murphy 7399 By Ms. Lecaroz 7414 EXAMINATION OF BEVERLY R. LEONARD (WEBEX) PAGE	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prelimina M T (S T M that were T that's 149 M	ry matters? IS. BREDE! HE COURT IR. NADEL HE COURT IR. NADEL Admitted ar HE COURT	HOFT: Yes, You Change of the Hoft of the H	e easy ones. nice. the exhibits t. at. So
9 10 11 12 E 13 14 15 16 E 17 18	By Ms. Meyers 7175 By Mr. Rottenborn 7276 By Ms. Meyers 7319 EXAMINATION OF MORGAN TREMAINE PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7331 By Ms. Bredehoft 7346 By Ms. Vasquez 7352 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN NEUMEISTER PAGE By Ms. Lecaroz 7353 By Mr. Murphy 7399 By Ms. Lecaroz 7414 EXAMINATION OF BEVERLY R. LEONARD (WEBEX) PAGE By Ms. Vasquez 7418	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prelimina M T (S T M T M that were T that's 149 M	ry matters? IS. BREDE HE COURT IN NADEL HE COURT R. NADEL Admitted ar HE COURT OFF? IR. NADEL HE COURT OFF? IR. NADEL HE COURT OFF,	HOFT: Yes, Yo C: Okay. C: All right. HAFT: First, the C: Easy ones are HAFT: These and d were on the lis C: Okay. All right HAFT: Uh-huh. C: Okay. Got th	e easy ones. nice. the exhibits t. at. So

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7058	7060
1 MR. NADELHAFT: Right. That was	during Ms. Heard's examination, no arrest is
2 THE COURT: And then 1903.	2 coming in relating to the Tasya van Ree issue;
3 MR. NADELHAFT: That was yesterday.	3 Your Honor has already ruled that. Calling a
4 THE COURT: Oh, that was yesterday's.	4 police officer, even if they say, well, we're not
5 MR. NADELHAFT: Then there were two	5 going to elicit the testimony she was arrested.
6 exhibits that were played during the depositions	6 That would be like calling the U.K. judge and
7 of Tracey Jacobs and iO Tillett Wright, and they	7 saying, we're going to put you on the stand, don't
8 were in by agreement, so I don't think they were	8 talk about the ruling, just talk about the
9 on your list.	9 discovery and witness testimony and all that.
THE COURT: All right. 468 and 537.	10 THE COURT: All right.
11 No objection; is that correct?	11 MR ROTTENBORN: We think the jury is
12 MS. VASQUEZ: I want to confirm this.	12 going to draw from that. Second, Your Honor, that
13 Okay. Yes, no objection.	13 type of evidence and that type of testimony is
14 THE COURT: Okay. 468 and 537, no	14 precluded by the collateral evidence rule, which
15 objection.	15 basically says that when somebody's introduced on
16 MR. NADELHAFT: Thank you.	16 cross-examination, a party can't introduce
17 THE COURT: I'll take them off my list	17 extrinsic evidence on a collateral matter. What
18 as I go.	18 happened in the Seattle airport between Ms. Heard
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: We have a few	19 and Ms. van Ree is a classic example of a
20 witnesses that we want to discuss. The first one,	20 collateral matter that's barred by the collateral
21 we could discuss in open court. It's the motion	21 evidence doctrine, and it's also barred under
22 to exclude Mr. Neumeister.	222:608B, Your Honor. I have a copy if Your Honor
7059	7061
1 THE COURT: Okay.	1 would like to see, but under 608B, specific
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Which Mr. Murphy is	2 instances of conduct may not be proved by
3 going to argue.	3 extrinsic evidence. And this is a side issue to
4 MS. LECAROZ: Our opposition is under	4 the trial. It's about something totally unrelated
5 seal, so it may make sense to handle it at	5 to this. And, so, collateral evidence, 608B and
6 sidebar.	6 the fact that it would expressly violate or
7 THE COURT: You want to do it sidebar?	7 explicitly violate Your Honor's rulings that the
8 Okay.	8 rest is too prejudicial and isn't coming in.
9 MR. ROTTENBORN: And then we have a few) '
10 other witnesses.	10 MS. VASQUEZ: If I may be heard, Your
11 THE COURT: Which one do you want to do	11 Honor. I was the one that received an email past
12 first?	12 1:00 a.m. last night, still working with
	12 Ma Marroya I am named and to you that I amailed
13 MR. ROTTENBORN: The first one is	13 Ms. Meyer's. I can represent to you that I emailed
14 Beverly Leonard. She is someone that was	14 Ms. Leonard back, asked her to call me on my cell
	1 - 7 1 - 7
14 Beverly Leonard. She is someone that was 15 identified last night by them. And they say that 16 this is a witness who reached out to them late	14 Ms. Leonard back, asked her to call me on my cell
14 Beverly Leonard. She is someone that was 15 identified last night by them. And they say that	14 Ms. Leonard back, asked her to call me on my cell 15 phone. I then had a phone call with Ms. Leonard,
14 Beverly Leonard. She is someone that was 15 identified last night by them. And they say that 16 this is a witness who reached out to them late	14 Ms. Leonard back, asked her to call me on my cell 15 phone. I then had a phone call with Ms. Leonard, 16 I confirmed a couple things; she has not been
14 Beverly Leonard. She is someone that was 15 identified last night by them. And they say that 16 this is a witness who reached out to them late 17 last night, which is whatever, that's fine. But	14 Ms. Leonard back, asked her to call me on my cell 15 phone. I then had a phone call with Ms. Leonard, 16 I confirmed a couple things; she has not been 17 watching the trial, she has not seen testimony. I
14 Beverly Leonard. She is someone that was 15 identified last night by them. And they say that 16 this is a witness who reached out to them late 17 last night, which is whatever, that's fine. But 18 she is, as best we can tell, she is one of the	14 Ms. Leonard back, asked her to call me on my cell 15 phone. I then had a phone call with Ms. Leonard, 16 I confirmed a couple things; she has not been 17 watching the trial, she has not seen testimony. I 18 then instructed her, per Your Honor's ruling, that

PLANET DEPOS

22 Honor's rulings in the case, both in limine and

22 as to the attempt -- with Your Honor's blessing to

```
7064
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: Ms. Barkin was
1 offer Ms. Leonard just to testify as a third-party
2 fact witness as to what she observed. It is
                                                      2 testifying about the facts of her time and
3 rebuttal. It is impeachment. Ms. Heard -- as
                                                         experience !--
4 Your Honor is aware, allowed me to ask Ms. Heard 4
                                                                THE COURT: With specific incident.
5 whether she assaulted her ex-girlfriend. She
                                                                MR! ROTTENBORN: Which didn't come up
6 denied doing so. I then asked Ms. Heard whether
                                                       6 on cross-examination, which Your Honor blessed
7 people saw it. She denied that people saw it.
                                                       7 before the trial. It's a totally separate issue.
8 And so --
                                                       8 They could have argued that. They didn't object
                                                       9 to that. Your Honor, I mean, 608B is very clear
         THE COURT: So you're saying this
                                                       10 that specific instances of conduct may not be
10 witness actually saw this?
         MS. VASOUEZ: Yeah, she witnessed the
                                                       11 proved by extrinsic evidence. They were allowed
                                                       12 to elicit testimony by Ms. Heard on that.
12 assault. She is the arresting officer.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: No, she is not.
                                                                THE COURT: She denied it.
13
                                                       13
14
                                                       14
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: She denied it.
         MS. VASQUEZ: I can represent to the
15 Court, as an officer of this court, that she will
                                                       15
                                                                THE COURT: She denied that it
16 not testify that she arrested Ms. Heard. She is
                                                       16 happened, so for impeachment purposes, they can
17 only going to testify ---
                                                       17 have someone say that it did happen.
                                                                MR ROTTENBORN: Not under 608B. Can I
18
         THE COURT: She can't testify she's an
                                                       18
19 officer either.
                                                       19 get a copy of the rule?
20
         MS. VASQUEZ: She's not an officer
                                                       20
                                                                THE COURT: Sure.
                                                       21
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you.
21 anymore, she's now retired. If you would like her
22 not even testify --
                                                       22
                                                                MR! ROTTENBORN: Specific instances of
                                                 7063
                                                       1 conduct of a witness may not be proved by
         THE COURT: She cannot say anything
2 about being an officer, just somebody at the
                                                       2 extrinsic evidence except as -- and then they say
  airport.
                                                       3 except as otherwise provided in this Rule. It's.
3
         MS. VASQUEZ: Somebody at the airport.
                                                       4 not impeachment. If it is impeachment, it's
5 She observed Ms. Heard hit Ms. van Ree and rip off
                                                      5 impeachment on a plainly collateral matter. It's
6 her necklace, leaving marks on her neck. That's
                                                       6 not relating to whether or not Mr. Depp abused
  what she's going to testify to.
                                                       7 Ms. Heard! That is a collateral matter and under
8
         THE COURT: All right.
                                                       8 both the common law collateral evidence doctrine
         MR. ROTTENBORN: It's a plainly
                                                       9 and the Rules of Evidence, it's not allowed. And
10 collateral matter. It's a mistrial. It's a
                                                       10 her testimony is not -- it wasn't false. She said
11 collateral matter, just as if we were to bring
                                                       11 she didn't assault --
12 someone on to testify about something Johnny did
                                                       12
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: It's proper rebuttal,
13.30 years ago. It's a collateral matter. It's not
                                                       13 Your Honor, and it's impeachment. Ms. Heard said
14 relating --
                                                       14 she did not assault Ms. van Ree, that people did
15
         THE COURT: Well, you did. Somebody --
                                                       15 not see it. That's exactly what Ms. Leonard
16
         MS. VASQUEZ: Ellen Barkin.
                                                       16 intends to testify about.
17
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Understood.
                                                                THE COURT: I don't find that it's a
                                                       17
18
         THE COURT: How is that not allowed?
                                                       18 collateral matter in this matter because Ms. Heard
19
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Under 608B, Your
                                                       19 testified that she always does self-defense, she
20 Honor, specific instances of conduct may not be
                                                       20 only hits in self-defense, and that's what her
21 proved by extrinsic evidence.
                                                       21 expert also testified, was always hits in
         THE COURT: What was Ms. Barkin?
22
                                                      22 self-defense. That's why I allowed the question
```

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7066	7068
1 to begin with, to Ms. Heard at the time when she	1 part. I don't have that, and I don't recall that.
2 was on the stand in cross-examination. She said	2 I'm not I'm not doubting what she's saying.
3 it did not happen. I will allow the testimony,	3 But if she heard a rumor, it's the rumor about
4 very limited.	4 what happened on the stairs. So what I'm saying
5 MS. VASQUEZ: Understood, Your Honor.	5 is they shouldn't be allowed to say elicit
6 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	6 testimony about oh, Johnny was so sweet, Johnny
7 MS. VASQUEZ: And as an officer of the	7 never hit me. If they want to get her and ask
8 court, I will represent she will not testify she	8 her, you know, a couple questions about did he hit
9 was formerly a police officer or that she arrested	9 you on the stairs, I think that's fair game. But
10 Ms. Heard.	10 anything beyond that is beyond the scope of
11 THE COURT: Very limited testimony	11 rebuttal, and I fear that that's what they're
12 MS. VASQUEZ: Understood.	12 trying to do, and I just want to stem this off
13 THE COURT: will be allowed in this	13 now.
14 matter based on that, for impeachment purposes.	14 MR. CHEW: It is a very short
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.	15 examination. And here is the testimony
16 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	16 question
17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Second matter, Your	17 MS. VASQUEZ: Cross-examination.
18 Honor, is just want to get some parameters of what	18 MR. CHEW: from Ms. Vasquez. "You
19 Kate Moss is allowed to testify to.	19 thought he was going to throw your sister down the
20 THE COURT: Okay.	20 stairs like he had thrown Kate Moss down the
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: So I don't have to	21 stairs, right?"
	22 "He's swung at Whitney and I had heard a
22 jump up.	7069
1 THE COURT: Is H&L her	1 rumor about that, so that's what I thought of."
2 MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah.	2 THE COURT: Right.
3 THE COURT: There's a lobby. I didn't	3 MR! CHEW: She testified about it both
4 know who it was.	4 on direct -
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: This is the testimony	5 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, about the
6 of Amber related to Kate Moss. It's this one	6 stairs.
7 sentence. She said, "I just, in my head,	7 MR CHEW: It's a very limited inquiry
8 instantly think of Kate Moss on the stairs when I	8 about whether he ever physically harmed her.
9 swing at him."	9 We've been doing that throughout the
_	
10 THE COURT: Right.	
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: So, in our view,	1
12 asking about Kate Moss, did Johnny ever hurt you	12 is that Johnny was violent with her, and she's
13 on the stairs, that's fair game.	13 going to say that's not true.
14 THE COURT: Anything on the stairs.	MR. ROTTENBORN: That's beyond the
MS. VASQUEZ: It is only related to the	15 scope, Your Honor. The only two times Kate Moss
16 stairs. But, Your Honor, I will represent that in	16 came up is this rumor about what happened on the
17 cross-examination, I also inquired of Ms. Heard	17 stairs. To get them to elicit testimony about was
18 whether or not what about Ms. Moss she remembered,	18 he ever violent, which I know is what they're
19 and she testified, in cross-examination, that she	19 going to try to do, and that's beyond the scope.
20 had heard a rumor.	20 MR CHEW: They elicited testimony from
MR. CHEW: May I just	21 Ellen Barkin about Johnny throwing a bottle
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: I don't remember that	22 30 years ago against a wall in a crowded room and

7072 1 being abusive. MR! CHEW: May I set the context of how MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor has held 2 she knows -both parties to objections that are made at the THE COURT: Well, yeah, how she knows 4 time, and if objections aren't made at the time, 4 is fine. then we waive them. They could have brought up 5 MR. ROTTENBORN: How she knows him? If these issues -it's, like, you dated for five years, that's fine. 6 THE COURT: They objected to Ellen 7 MR: CHEW: I just don't want to run 8 Barkin's deposition testimony. afoul of the Court's rule. MS. VASOUEZ: Even in Motion in Limine. THE COURT: You can do that, I'm 10 Your Honor. 10 saying you can't ask any questions about was he MR. ROTTENBORN: Understood. But my 11 ever violent? 12 understanding is not on the same basis that we're 12 MR. CHEW: I understand. 13 objecting now. I'm saying this is beyond the 13 THE COURT: Focus right on the stairs. 14 scope of this -- it's rebuttal testimony, Your MR. CHEW: I gotcha. Understood, Your 14 15 Honor has been very strictly --15 Honor. I just want to be clear, I don't want to THE COURT: But they're saying they're 16 run afoul. 17 rebutting Ellen Barkin also. 17 THE COURT: I appreciate it. MS. BREDEHOFT: They never raised it in 18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Two more. I'll be 19 the interrogatories --19 quick. This is Dr. Collins, who they've indicated MR. ROTTENBORN: They can't call Kate 20 they'll be calling today. Dr. Collins is a 21 Moss to rebut Ellen Barkin, Your Honor, that's 21 pathologist who will testify -- I think will 22 inappropriate rebuttal testimony. This is the one 22 testify about some bruising pictures on Amber's 7071 7073 1 face. They designated a longer report of her at 1 and only instance where Kate Moss came up, which 2 was a rumor that Johnny had injured her on the 2 the initial -- this is kind of like the Bercovici 3 stairs, which wasn't limited to Amber, that was a 3 situation last week. They designated a longer 4 widely circulated rumor. That should be the 4 report of her in their initial designations. They only --5 didn't put her on in the case-in-chief. Her THE COURT: I'm going to limit it just 6 rebuttal designations, which were filed at the end 7 of February, consist of that. And so, we believe to the stairs, okay? 8 that she should not be allowed to testify. They MR. CHEW: Your Honor, I understand. THE COURT: The only -- because the 9 could have put her on during the case-in-chief, 10 only reason it's coming in is because she opened 10 they elected not to. 11 the door on cross-examination about the stairs. 11 THE COURT: All right. So this is the MR. CHEW: But won't the jury think 12 only rebuttal designation? 12 13 they're not allowed to ask whether he ever hit 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yep. 14 her, they're going to think --14 MS. MEYERS: If I may, Your Honor. We THE COURT: Well, I mean, he could have 15 designated her as an informative witness, right. 16 So we designated her at the earliest point at 16 done it in the case-in-chief. I don't even know 17 if it would have come in, in case-in-chief. 17 which we had to identify our expert witnesses, But what I'm saying is the only reason 18 which was in January of 2022. THE COURT: Obviously they can't rebut 19 it was overruled because the door was opened 20 because she mentioned Kate Moss on the stairs. 20 anything on Dr. Jordan because Dr. Jordan didn't 21 She did that and that's why she's coming in to 21 testify. 22 testify that nothing happened on the stairs. 22 MS. MEYERS: That's true, and we don't

7076 1 intend to have her do that. MS. MEYERS: -- if necessary, I can go THE COURT: So, previously exposed as 2 grab. We identified, at the end, we said that we also designate her to testify in rebuttal in 3 to -- which plaintiff incorporates by reference. response to anything that any witness has 4 So what was the first? testified to. And at this point --MR. ROTTENBORN: The first designation 6 was quite wholesome, but our point is, that's THE COURT: Well, you can't use an 7 their case-in-chief. They should have put her on expert rebuttal on lay witnesses. 8 during the case-in-chief. To just call her during MS. MEYERS: But we --9 rebuttal --THE COURT: An expert in rebuttal can 10 THE COURT: Here's the problem. It's 10 only rebut experts. MS. MEYERS: So we identified her in 11 not just rebuttal, it's the defense to your 12 counterclaim. 12 our initial disclosure. THE COURT: So what is she rebutting? 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Right. 14 THE COURT: So that's an issue you're 14 Which expert is she rebutting? MS. MEYERS: We designated her 15 going to have with some of these witnesses. 16 affirmatively, and at this point, they didn't put MR. ROTTENBORN: I have a response to 17 that. So, there was an initial expert disclosure, 17 in any of the photographs of Ms. Heard during our 18 case-in-chief, so now that it's in, in their 18 I think it was January 11th or January 10th, 19 something like that, the disclosure for people in 19 defense --20 response to the claims -- the defense was due THE COURT: It's your rebuttal. 20 21 February 10th. 21 Rebuttal case. MS. MEYERS: Well, it's also our 22 THE COURT: Okay. 7075 7077 1 defenses case. MR. ROTTENBORN: Then there was a final 2 rebuttal disclosure. They didn't disclose THE COURT: You didn't designate her as anything about Collins on February 10th. your defense witness, correct, your defense THE COURT: They did disclose some experts? people on February 10th for the defense? MS. VASQUEZ: I believe we did, in MR. ROTTENBORN: But not Collins. reference. 6 THE COURT: Designated as your defense THE COURT: All right. So. 8 experts. That's a different story. You're saying MR. ROTTENBORN: So Collins was not 8 listed. they didn't designate --MR. ROTTENBORN: I do not believe that 10 THE COURT: So either in their direct 11 she was ever mentioned on February 10th. These 11 case or rebuttal, but not in the counterclaim? MR. ROTTENBORN: Correct. And the only 12 are the two --13 reason that she's listed for rebuttal is THE COURT: February 10th? All right. 14 So let me see the designations from February 10th. 14 Dr. Jorden. We didn't call Dr. Jorden, so she 15 Do you have the designations from February 10th? 15 shouldn't be allowed to testify in rebuttal. MR. ROTTENBORN: Mr. Murphy has those. MS. MEYERS: You Honor, we designated 16 17 her in our affirmative designations. They had 17 THE COURT: Does somebody have the 18 notice as of January 2022. She's the earliest --18 designations from February 10th? 19 they had more notice than was necessary to put her 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor --20 up on rebuttal. And in those designations, MS. MEYERS: So, Your Honor, first of 21 which --21 all, there's no prejudice here. We identified her 22 THE COURT: Right. 22 affirmatively.

Conducted or	May 25, 2022
. 7078	7080
THE COURT: Rebuttal experts are only	1 defense. That's where we are.
2 for experts that were deposed in the case, who is	2 All right. So that's where we are.
3 rebutting their expert.	3 Oh, and the TMZ motion is set for 1:00, so they'll
4 MS. MEYERS: Well, she's responding to	4 be here at 1:00 to argue their motion.
5 the evidence that came in, in their defense.	5 Would you like to weigh in on the
6 THE COURT: No. Objection sustained	6 motion?
7 unless you've designated them in your defense.	7 MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor. We're
8 MR. ROTTENBORN: And I believe the	8 prepared.
9 exact same argument is going to be made for	9 THE COURT: It will be quick, though.
10 Mr. Neumeister.	10 MS. MEYERS: If I may, I have some
11 THE COURT: Was he designated in your	11 exhibits for Jamie that she requested.
12 defense?	12 THE COURT: Sure.
MS. MEYERS: He's in the affirmative	MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor, we had
14 and	14 prepared a written opposition on the motion to
15 THE COURT: They didn't bring any	15 exclude Mr. Neumeister.
16 experts, so he can't testify either, okay? So	16 THE COURT: Would you like to put it as
17 that's where we are.	17 part of your record?
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: We'll just confirm	18 MS. LECAROZ: Yeah, I think that's a
19 that there was no reference there.	19 good idea.
20 MS. LECAROZ: We're checking too.	20 THE COURT: Thank you.
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay.	21 MS. LECAROZ: Thank you.
22 THE COURT: That's where we are.	22 (Open court.)
7079	7081
1 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. That's all we	1 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready
2 have.	2 for the jury?
3 MS. VASQUEZ: I anticipate, Your Honor,	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes, Your Honor.
4 that their rebuttal experts that are counters to	4 THE COURT: Okay.
5 Mr. Neumeister and Dr. Collins will also not be	5 (Whereupon, the jury entered the
6 allowed to testify in the rebuttal case.	6 courtroom and the following proceedings took
7 THE COURT: Well, yes, because if	7 place.)
8 Mr. Collins doesn't testify, then they don't get a	8 THE COURT: All right. Good morning,
9 rebuttal expert either. It works both ways.	9 ladies and gentlemen.
10 MS. BREDEHOFT: We wouldn't be able to	10 All right. Your next witness.
11 call Jordan. If Neumeister is out, correct.	11 MR. CHEW: Good morning, Your Honor.
12 THE COURT: They can only rebut experts	12 Mr. Depp calls Kate Moss to the stand. She will
13 you've put in on your defense. All right.	13 be appearing on your screen.
14 MR. NADELHAFT: Mr. Murphy's looking	14 THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, can you
15 for February.	15 hear me?
16 THE COURT: 10th of February.	
	The state of the s
11'/ NAD NATIDDELV: Hopeniors tith I don't	117 THE COUDT: Van den von count to five
17 MR. MURPHY: February 10th. I don't	17 THE COURT: Yes, can you count to five
18 see Collins. This is part of my argument, Your	18 for me.
18 see Collins. This is part of my argument, Your 19 Honor. Neumeister is not in there as well.	18 for me. 19 THE WITNESS: One, two, three, four,
18 see Collins. This is part of my argument, Your 19 Honor. Neumeister is not in there as well. 20 THE COURT: We have Richard Marks in	18 for me. 19 THE WITNESS: One, two, three, four, 20 five.
18 see Collins. This is part of my argument, Your 19 Honor. Neumeister is not in there as well.	18 for me. 19 THE WITNESS: One, two, three, four,

	May 25, 2022
7082	7084
1 KATE MOSS,	1 A And I screamed because I was in -
2 a witness called on behalf of the	2 because I didn't know what happened to me, and I
3 plaintiff and counterclaim defendant, having been	3 was in pain, and he came running back to help me
4 first duly sworn by the Court, testified as	4 and carried me to my room and got me medical
5 follows:	5 attention.
6 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	6 Q Did Mr. Depp push you, in any way, down
7 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	7 the stairs?
8 BY MR. CHEW:	8 A No.
9 Q Good morning, Ms. Moss, or I should say	9 Q During the course of your relationship,
10 good afternoon your time. My name is Ben Chew,	10 did he ever push you down any stairs?
11 from the firm of Brown Rudnick.	11 A No, he never pushed me, kicked me, or
12 Would you, please, state your full name	12 threw me down any stairs.
13 for the record.	13 Q Ms. Moss, have you ever, before today,
14 A Kate Moss.	14 testified in any kind of court proceeding?
15 Q Ms. Moss, where do you reside?	15 A No, I have never.
16 A London, England.	16 Q Why did you decide to testify today?
17 Q From where are you testifying today,	17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
18 Ms. Moss?	18 That's beyond the scope of what we just talked
19 A Gloucestershire, England.	19 about.
20 Q Ms. Moss, do you know Johnny Depp?	20 THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain
21 A Yes, I do.	21 the objection.
22 Q How do you know Mr. Depp?	MR. CHEW: Thank you, Ms. Moss. We
7083	7085
1 A I had a relationship with him.	1 have nothing further at this time. We greatly
2 Q Did there come a time when you and	2 appreciate your taking the time to testify.
3 Mr. Depp had a romantic relationship?	3 THE COURT: All right. Any
4 A Yes.	4 cross-examination?
5 Q For how long, Ms. Moss, were you and	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, Your Honor.
6 Mr. Depp a romantic couple?	6 THE COURT: All right. You're free to
7 A 1993 to – no, 1994 to 1998.	7 go. Thank you, Ms. Moss.
8 Q Ms. Moss, did there come a time when	8 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 you while you and Mr. Depp were a couple, that	9 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10 the two of you took a vacation together to the	10 THE COURT: All right. Your next
11 GoldenEye resort in Jamaica?	11 witness.
12 A Yes.	MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, we're calling
13 Q What, if anything, happened when you	13 Dr. Shannon Curry.
14 were in Jamaica with Mr. Depp?	14 THE COURT: Just give us a moment to
15 A I – we were leaving the room and	15 move the T.V.
16 Johnny left the room before I did, and there had	16 All right. Dr. Curry.
17 been a rainstorm, and as I left the room, I	17 Good morning, Mr. Dennison.
18 slipped down the stairs and I hurt my back.	18 MR. DENNISON: Good morning, Your
19 Q How did you	19 Honor.
20 A And —	20 Plaintiff calls Shannon Curry,
21 Q I apologize, Ms. Moss. Please	21 Dr. Shannon Curry.
22 continue.	22 THE COURT: You're under oath, so,
	The Court i out and out, so,

7086 please, have a seat, Doctor. 2 THE WITNESS: Good morning. lз SHANNON J. CURRY, PSY.D., M.S.C.P., having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT BY MR. DENNISON: 8 Q Good morning, Dr. Curry. 9 distortion. 10 A Good morning. 10 11 Q Can you remind the jury who you are and 12 what you do? 13 A Sure. I'm Dr. Shannon Curry, I'm a 14 clinical and forensic psychologist, and I'm here 15 today to rebut the testimony that was provided by 15 not acknowledge. 16 Dr. Hughes. 17 Q Which of the opinions rendered by 17 relative to the CAPS-5? 18 Dr. Hughes do you intend to rebut? 18 A I did. A So, generally speaking, there are three 19 20 main categories that I would like to talk about 21 today. So, the first is that Dr. Hughes 22 misrepresented the tests and the results that she

1 and treatment only. She also stated that these checklists revealed things that they simply cannot reveal, especially in this context.

And, let's see, she also misrepresented information, clear indications on several of the objective measures that she offered. And there were indications visible that Ms. Heard had essentially engaged in what we call response

So clear indications of exaggeration on 11 one of the measures that's specific to PTSD, clear 12 minimization of symptoms intentional, on another 13 more broad personality and psychopathology-based 14 measure that she gave to Ms. Heard, which she did

Q Did you intend to address anything

So Dr. Hughes administered the CAPS-5 20 about ten days after I did, almost two years after 21 she initially tested Ms. Heard, and she did not 22 administer the test appropriately. So she left

1 major components blank. She didn't apply the

scoring rules that are clearly outlined with the

test. And yet, she diagnosed Heard -- Ms. Heard

7089

1 utilized in her evaluation. She misrepresented my 2 testing and the results that I obtained in my 3 evaluation. And she communicated in a manner. 4 provided testimony in a manner, that presented, 5 essentially, her own opinions and the self-report of Ms. Heard as facts.

Q Okay. The first category you talked about was the misrepresentation of her own test 9 methods and results.

10 What do you mean by that?

A So, Dr. Hughes used – she stated that 11 12 she administered 12 tests. In actuality, she used 13 eight checklists, about half of those were symptom 14 checklists, the other half were checklists about 15 experiences that people can have with domestic 16 violence. And those are not appropriate for 17 forensic settings. They're easily exploited.

Q Other issues that you intend to address 19 relative to misrepresentation of those results?

A Yes, so, in addition to using these 21 checklist measures, which are easily exploited in 22 a forensic context, they're developed for research

Q What about Dr. Hughes's use of the 6 Personality Assessment Inventory? 7 A So, of the tests that Dr. Hughes administered, two would be considered -- of those 12, two would be considered what we would call 10 forensically relevant instruments, meaning that 11 they're objective enough, and they provide us with 12 some information about how the examinee approached 13 the test, that would be appropriate for this

with PTSD based on that assessment.

14 setting, where the examinee is going to have the 15 natural incentive to present themselves in a way 16 that benefits the outcome of their case.

17 Now, on the PAI, there were clear 18 indications that Ms. Heard was responding and 19 obtained scores that's consistent with individuals 20 who have a personality disorder, and there was 21 also an indication that several scales, we call 22 this a configuration, so you might have one main

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7093

7090

scale that you notice is elevated, and then you look for additional subscale information and get additional information on what could be elevating that scale. And there was a configuration that 5 showed that even though Ms. Heard had moderately 6 elevated one of the scales that can be associated with trauma, that elevation is better explained by childhood, or distant traumatic experiences, like the complex trauma Ms. Heard reported experiencing 9 10 growing up.

Q Okay. You said that Dr. Hughes 12 utilized checklists that are not appropriate for 13 forensic analysis?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Can you explain that?

16 A Yes.

l3

17 So, as I said, in any sort of forensic 18 context, whether it's a civil or criminal matter, 19 a person who's being evaluated is going to have 20 major incentive to present in a way that benefits 21 the outcome of their case. So you always approach 22 the examination, and I believe Dr. Hughes said

1 scales, similar to the one test that I administered, the MMPI, that tells us a lot of nuanced information about the way the person approached the test.

She also administered a malingering 6 screen that can be very, very useful, but not in 7 this context. So it's called the Miller Forensic Assessment Symptom Test. It's a brief set of questions that you ask the examinee, and it's been 10 shown by research to be extremely effective at 11 identifying an examinee's attempt to fake a severe 12 mental illness or psychosis. And psychosis is 13 when somebody loses complete connection with 14 reality. It's excellent for that purpose. It's 15 actually been shown in research to not be 16 effective at all for identifying a respondent's 17 attempts to fake PTSD, anxiety, or mood disorders. 18 The questions are just too odd for somebody who 19 has the wherewithal to be trying to have PTSD to 20 endorse. They see through it. So, she used that. 21 That's a fine test, but not appropriate for this 22 context.

7091

with a healthy dose of skepticism. But that alone 2 is not enough. We have to operationalize that.

So we actually have to administer very 4 comprehensive objective tests that either control for attempts to manipulate the test results or 6 reveal attempts to manipulate the test results so that we're not just blindly accepting the results or the self-report of the examinee.

Q How many tests were administered by 10 Dr. Hughes?

She said that she administered 12 12 tests.

13 Q How many of them were appropriate for 14 forensic physical [sic] examination?

A So, the Trauma Symptom Inventory is 16 appropriate, it's an objective measure, and it 17 does have two primary scales that look at how the

18 person approached the test. The Personality 19 Assessment Inventory is an excellent test. It's a

20 broadband measuring, it captures not only symptoms

21 of mental illness but also general personality

22 traits. That also gives us pretty detailed

2

Of the various tests that she. administered, how many were these checklists?

A Eight of them.

13 have for each question.

O What are you talking about, specifically?

6 A Okay. So I had mentioned that there were two main categories of checklists she used. The first is the symptom checklists, those 9 included the Beck Depression Inventory, it's a 10 brief inventory of items that, essentially, show 11 all of the systems of depression that a person 12 might have and rate which level of severity you

14 She also gave the Beck Anxiety 15 Inventory, very similar, but just with questions 16 about anxiety.

17 She gave the Mood Disorder 18 Questionnaire, which is a very brief, again, 19 checklist. It shows symptoms of bipolar disorder. 20 Bipolar disorder is a mood disorder where you 21 might have an extended manic episode and then a 22 very extended depressive episode.

1 And then she gave the Post-traumatic 2 Stress Disorder Checklist, and that is a screening instrument only. It contains every single symptom 4 of PTSD. So there's a secondary danger here too, 15 when you think about it, given that PTSD is the most frequently feigned and claimed diagnosis. In civil courts, if you are handing somebody a checklist that has every single symptom of PTSD, you're essentially teaching them all the little 10 nuances that we're looking for to get that 11 diagnosis. So she gave that to Ms. Heard. 12 Ms. Heard endorsed most of the items, and 13 Dr. Hughes diagnosed her with PTSD and 14 substantiated that opinion by Ms. Heard's checking 15 those items on the PCL-5. Q Were there another group of checklists 17 that Dr. Hughes used?

A Yes. So she also -- oh, and I forgot 19 one in the last, because I don't think of it as 20 one. But previously I've explained, and I do not

21 expect you to remember, it's called the Life 22 Events Checklist, which is just an inventory of

7095

1 experiences a person may have gone through that 2 are traumatic. Dr. Hughes also used that, and 3 that's appropriate to use before the clinician 4 administered PTSD scale, the gold standard, CAPS-5; however, she administered this long ago, before she gave the CAPS-5.

Now, going on to the second group, 8 there were three checklists that she gave that are 9 specific to abuse. And the first she gave, Danger 10 Assessment Scale, was actually developed for use 11 by nursing staff in an emergency room setting, 12 specifically for female victims of intimate 13 partner violence. The purpose of this is 14 important because our forensic ethics, our 15 psychology ethics talk a lot about relevance. Is 16 the test relevant to the purpose? And the Danger 17 Assessment Scale, its original purpose is 18 completely different. This was developed to show 19 high-risk factors for dangerousness and pretty 20 much to help a female, who's in an extremely 21 abusive partnership, who is in the emergency room |21 about it because now it's been put to words. 22 with extreme injuries to stop rationalizing,

1 because if she has to check off all the things

- that have happened that year that have been
- dangerous, does he own a gun? Has he -- you know,
- 4 I won't go into all of them. But the more she
- checks off, the more likely it is that she might
- realize that she is in imminent danger and then
- accept resources offered by the hospital and
- social work to protect her. That was the purpose
- of this scale. It was never intended to be used
- 10 as a retrospective measure to look back in time
- 11 and find out whether abuse was occurring based on
- 12 one person's report used later in a litigation.
- She also gave the Conflict Tactic Scale 13 14 Revised, the second edition. Similarly, this 15 scale was developed for research purposes, to 16 research family violence. Again, there's no 17 control for exaggeration or minimizing. It was
- 18 just given to research participants, anonymously,
- 19 so that we could get data on the prevalence of
- 20 abuse and how the abusive dynamics work. And on
- 21 that, there's 39 questions where the respondent
- 22 indicates, essentially, certain abusive behaviors

- they may have engaged in, and there are 39 where
- they indicate behaviors their partner might have
- engaged in. And obviously, you can understand in
- a forensic setting, the respondent is likely to
- put a very minimal amount of behaviors they
- engaged in and then extremely increase the number
- of behaviors their partner might have.
- And then lastly, the Abusive Behaviors
- 9 Observation Checklist was the third checklist she
- 10 gave. This one has not been there's no known
- 11 research, even on its effectiveness, for what it
- 12 was developed. It's a theoretical, very brief
- 13 checklist that was meant to be used for therapy.
- 14 where an individual who had experienced domestic
- 15 violence could essentially read through some of
- 16 the behaviors that constitute violence that they
- 17 might not have been aware of. And if those
- 18 behaviors apply to them or if some of those coping
- 19 strategies were ones they utilized, they would
- 20 check that off, and then they have a way to talk
- 22 Again, this is similarly problematic. If you're

7101

7098 1 in a civil litigation, the person's motivated to 2 have the results be consistent with a claim or an allegation of intimate partner violence, and an 4 allegation that they've been severely harmed, then 5 they could simply just check off more. And not 6 only that, but checklists like this one, 7 specifically, give a lot of nuanced information 8 about what clinicians might be looking for when 9 they're assessing whether violence was present, 10 whether the person's self-report is consistent 11 with a genuine self-report of having been 12 victimized. They're given all that information 13 that we might be looking for. Q Can you talk, specifically, about 15 Dr. Hughes's use of the, I think you called it the 16 PCL-5? A Yes. So the PCL-5 is the 18 Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist. This is 19 different, not to be confused with the CAPS-5, 20 which I talked about previously as being the gold 21 standard. The PCL-5 was developed by the National 22 Center of PTSD. It's intended for treatment. So 7099

4 A So, when I'm talking about forensic 5 evaluation, that's an evaluation that doesn't -isn't done for therapy or treatment. It's specifically to assist the fact finder, to assist the judge or the jury in the court by providing information about the psychological status about 10 an individual. And that's an important 11 delineation, too. We are not psychologists -- I 12 wish we were mind readers, I wish we had a crystal 13 ball and find out whether intimate partner 14 violence occurred and looked back in the past. 15 But it's nothing like that. Really, it's a lot 16 less interesting. We look at data, we have to 17 control for those response biases, and then we 18 also, looking at functioning, which is really the 19 bottom line of the assessment. Did the person 20 have a change in functioning from before the 21 alleged trauma, or, in this case, the alleged IPV, 22 to after? Is there a declining in the way they go

Q All right. What about -- well, you

talked about forensic use. What do you mean by

4

1 if I were, for instance, working with a service 2 member who I know had been in combat, I would 3 probably give this as a standard with my intake 4 before we do the diagnostic interview. It kind of 5 gives me a read on how somebody who's there for 6 treatment who I assume can be taken at their word 7 because if they give us correct information, 8 they're going to get an appropriate treatment, and 9 if they give us incorrect, they might not get the 10 treatment they need. 11 So I would give this checklist to them,

12 and then if they recognize some of those symptoms 13 of PTSD, they can check it off. And that would 14 probably indicate to me that I need to, then, do 15 the next step, if they're checking off more items 16 than not. I would probably decide to administer 17 the Clinician Administered PTSD scale, that gold 18 standard interview, to find out more about the 19 diagnosis.

Q The last thing is what everybody refers 21 to as the CAPS-5?

A Yes, the CAPS-5.

1 about their life?

MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection, Your Honor. 3 May we approach?

THE COURT: All right.

(Sidebar.)

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BREDEHOFT: All right. She's 8 testifying outside the scope of her designation, 9 now, Your Honor. Dr. -- and I elicited this from 10 her, and you may recall this before. The only 11 thing she's testifying to is whether Ms. Heard has 12 PTSD or not. She is not -- she's explicitly 13 testifying -- or she is explicitly not testifying 14 about whether she suffered IPV or not, whether she 15 was a perpetrator, whether she was a victim,

16 whether she suffered any domestic abuse. All

17 those things, she's already testified and admitted 18 those are not part of her opinion, and now she's

19 clearly trying to tell the jury about IPV and

20 assessing whether somebody has suffered from IPV, 21 and that's completely outside the scope of her

22 designation.

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Conducted on May 25, 2022 MR. DENNISON: Your Honor, I 1 you have a crystal ball, whether you can determine 2 respectfully disagree with that analysis. This is 2 whether somebody suffered from IPV or not, and the root of the report. There are multiple pages that's outside the scope. It's not anywhere in 4 in this report, pages 18 through -- through 23. any of her testimony. THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. DENNISON: I believe the answer was 6 MR. DENNISON: That reflect each of the 6 in relation to the use of the checklist. tests I've been asking about and why they -- why THE COURT: Overrule the objection. 8 Make sure it's within the tests, okay? 8 Dr. Curry believes they were administered 9 9 incorrectly. MR. DENNISON: Thank you. 10 MS. BREDEHOFT: And I didn't object on 10 MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. 11 those. But she's now testifying about whether 11 (Open court.) 12 somebody suffered from IPV or not, and that is 12 BY MR. DENNISON: 13 absolutely outside the scope. Q Dr. Curry, let's look back at some of 13 MR. DENNISON: First of all, I don't 14 those domestic violence checklists that you were 15 think she --15 talking about. 16 16 THE COURT: I'm not sure that's where A Okay. 17 she was going. 17. And did you see any problem with the 18 use of those? MS. BREDEHOFT: She just says 19 explicitly, she said when you're trying to figure 19 A Yes. 20 out whether somebody is suffering from IPV or not. 20 Q What problems did you see? 21 THE COURT: Not that she's going to 21 A Well, first of all, they shouldn't be 22 give an opinion to that. I think she's just 22 used, so we do have professional standards that 7103 7105 discussing. 1 require that we utilize instruments that are 2 MR. DENNISON: Well, she's certainly 2 relevant and appropriate for the particular not giving an opinion to that. 3 setting, and that we substantiate our opinions MS. BREDEHOFT: That's outside the based on data that is reliable from tested,

MS. BREDEHOFT: That's outside the scope. She cannot address whether somebody suffers from IPV or not. She's already admitted that outside --

THE COURT: Well, I don't think she's giving an opinion about whether somebody. I think 10 she's talking about IPV.

MS. BREDEHOFT: She can't talk about 12 IPV. She's confined to PTSD, and she cannot -- 13 and she has said she did not try to evaluate for 14 IPV. I even elicited it from her testimony 15 earlier, nothing about IPV or domestic abuse.

MR. DENNISON: Your Honor, she 17 specifically identified that nothing about IPV, 18 other than the test given by Dr. Hughes, were done 19 inappropriately.

20 MS. BREDEHOFT: And I did not object 21 when she was testifying to those, Your Honor. But 22 now she went into -- she's talking about whether based on data that is rehable from testedaccurate, reliable tests for the purpose.

6 So there's that. It's inconsistent 7 with the ethics.

And, essentially, they just shouldn't be used. They don't provide us with the robust 10 information that would be expected in such a 11 high-stake setting.

12 Q All right. Would you have expected 13 Dr. Hughes to comment on the limitations of the 14 checklists she was using?

15 A Yes. So, first of all, Dr. Hughes
16 provided opinions based on these checklists, so
17 she referenced, especially, the Danger Assessment
18 Scale several times throughout her testimony,
19 stating that Ms. Heard was in a very dangerous
20 situation. We also have an ethical guideline in
21 the professional standard, as well, that indicate
22 that whenever there is a question about the

1 reliability and validity, and in psychology, we 2 use the term "validity" to talk about accuracy. 3 Any of the methods that we're using to collect 4 data, we clearly communicate not only that there 5 are limitations to our opinions, but we also need 6 to provide the fact finder with information about 7 what the potential implications or impact could actually be. So, for instance, if we use a scale 9 that's idiosyncratic for the purpose, but we would 10 first need to explain why we made that decision, 11 not follow standard procedures, and then we would 11 this is an intentional over reporting - I'm 12 need to explain the use of this scale might 13 introduce some potential exaggeration of this 14 symptom and, so, I'm trying to control for that,

17 Transparency is really at the center of good 18 science, in general. Q You talked a little bit about ignoring

15 that way, but that was one of the limitations of

16 my opinion. You have to make it very clear.

20 response distortion. What is response distortion? A Response distortion is a term that

21 22 speaks, generally, about examining, approaching a

1 test, and providing answers that are either

- exaggerated or minimized, but in some way, an
- inaccurate representation of the current mental
- status or their experience.
- Q What tests do you believe that
- Dr. Hughes failed to acknowledge response
- distortion on?
 - A So, she administered the Personality
- Assessment Inventory, which is similar to the test
- 10 that I gave, the MMPI-2, it's that general
- 11 broadband measure of psychopathology symptoms and
- 12 personality traits. It includes several scales
- 13 that are very good at detecting either
- 14 exaggeration, minimization, or even trying to
- 15 claim that you have unusually good qualities. On
- 16 that test, there were clear indicators that
- 17 Ms. Heard, very similar to the way she approached
- 18 my MMPI, engaged in defensiveness. And, in fact,
- 19 there's a function that you can look at, so you
- 20 have that main scale elevation, call it positive
- 21 impression, which was elevated, and then because
- 22 we want to make sure that somebody isn't elevating

1 that scale just because they have such well-being,

- 2 there are additional configurations of scales that
- 3 you can look at to find out what's going on. And
- 4 so, the catchall discriminate function is the name
- 5 of one of these configurations, these equations
- 6 that are done, and she was highly elevated on
- that. In fact, that elevation tells me that, no,
- 8 this isn't accidental. This isn't because she's
- 9 just doing so well in life that she has an
- 10 extremely, extremely low amount of problems. No.
- 12 sorry, an intentional effort to minimize any 13 appearance of having problems.
- Q Now, you may have addressed this, but 15 there was a reference to malingering?
- A Yes, so what's interesting about 17 Ms. Heard's approach to different tests is that it 18 seems to be influenced by what we call the face 19 validity of questions on the test. So if a test 20 looks like it's measuring PTSD, you see 21 exaggeration on her validity scales. If the test
- 22 has less face value questions, for instance, the

7107

7109

- 1 Personality Assessment, which Dr. Hughes
- administered, the MMPI-2, which I administered,
- where she can't quite figure out what the
- questions are asking, they seem really benign in
- general, on those, you see extreme defensiveness,
- minimization of any potential pathology,
- essentially presenting herself as perfect and free
- of any mental illness or personality disorder.
- But on the Trauma Symptom Inventory, which
- 10 Dr. Hughes administered, that was the one that I
- 11 previously indicated, for ease of explanation,
- 12 when the test results come out for how the person
- 13 approaches the test, that test itself prints it as
- 14 a percentage. And there's a really excellent
- 15 scale for finding out if a person is exaggerating
- 16 their symptoms of PTSD. It's called the Atypical
- 17 Response Scale, and the TSI-2 is the revised
- 18 version of this test, and that scale was improved
- 19 this time around to really try to be a clean
- 20 indication of is this person exaggerating. And it
- 21 puts has questions in the test that are so
- 22 unusual; they might seem like PTSD, but even the

7113

7110

1 most severe cases of PTSD don't have these

- 2 symptoms. And so, somebody who's intentionally
- 3 trying to exaggerate PTSD, or possibly
- 4 unintentionally, but nonetheless, who is
- 5 exaggerating it, is likely to endorse these items,
- 6 even though they're not real PTSD symptoms.
- 7 Ms. Heard worked so hard on this that even if
- 8 there is -- although, Dr. Hughes directly said
- 9 there tends to be a negative skew when people have
- 10 the high levels of distress that's associated with
- 11 PTSD, so sometimes people score high levels even
- 12 when they do have PTSD, she scored so high that
- 13 that is effectively ruled out as a possibility.
- 14 Q Okay. What about Dr. Hughes's
- 15 administration and use of the CAPS-5?
- 16 A Okay. So Dr. Hughes had diagnosed
- 17 Ms. Heard with PTSD back in 2019, when she began
- 18 testing her. It wasn't until two years later,
- 19 more than two years later, ten days after I
- 20 administered the CAPS-5 in Ms. Heard that
- 21 Dr. Hughes had an impromptu evaluation session
- 22 remotely with Ms. Heard and administered the
 - 7111
- 1 CAPS-5. She had previously diagnosed PTSD without
- 2 using what we consider to be the gold standard
- 3 PTSD diagnostic interview. And, again, when we're
- 4 doing a forensic evaluation, it is an important
- 5 responsibility, and part of our ethics and
- 6 professional standards are that we document
- 7 everything to allow for transparency and full
- 8 judicial scrutiny. And Dr. Hughes administered it
- o Junicial scruttly. And Dr. Hughes administered it
- 9 incorrectly. She left huge sections, very
- 10 relevant sections, blank. There's no way to
- 11 understand why she scored it as high as she did,
- 12 based on the information that's provided. You're
- 13 essentially supposed to notate the examinee's
- 14 responses as verbatim as possible to explain your
- 15 reasoning in applying their scoring procedure.
- 10 tonouning in appropria
- 16 It's a standardized test, and if you don't follow
- 17 those standard procedures, it's completely 18 invalid.
- 19 Not only that, but after -- it looks as
- 20 though Dr. Hughes further invalidated it by trying
- 21 to show that she had assessed for the childhood
- 22 trauma impact, and she had said that she went back

- 1 and asked the childhood question. But you can't
- 2 do that. If you're assessing for two separate
- 3 periods of one's life to find out relatedness to
- 4 PTSD, you do two separate CAPS interviews, period.
- 5 You don't create your own question system. That
- 6 is non-standard administration of the test, and it
- 7 invalidates it.
- Q All right. You also mentioned, with
- 9 respect to the PAI or the Personality Assessment
- 10 Inventory, that Dr. Hughes failed to mention some
- 11 element. What did she fail to mention?
- 12 A Oh, okay. So on the Personality
- 13 Assessment Inventory, so first she failed to
- 14 mention that there were clear indications of
- 15 response distortion. She also failed to mention
- 16 that Ms. Heard's score, her score profile against
- 17 their main scores, she did elevate a score for the
- 18 borderline personality disorder sort of section,
- 19 but that, in and of itself, would not indicate a
- 20 diagnosis. However, the configuration of the
- 21 scores overall is consistent with that, and in
- 22 fact, it's one of the diagnostic suggestions given

1 by the test itself.

- And then, also, there's a configuration
- 3 around Ms. Heard's trauma responses around that
- 4 particular test, which demonstrates that it is
- 5 more likely that those symptoms were reported in
- 6 relation to something in the distant childhood.
- 7 It's more consistent with childhood chronic abuse
- 8 than present circumstances or recent
- 9 circumstances.
- 10 Q Okay. I think you said, in addition to
- 11 the issues with her own testing, Dr. Hughes
- 12 misrepresented your results?
 - A She did.
- 14 Q Can you tell us how?
- 15 A So, I would say the main issue is that 16 she said that Ms. Heard obtained a normal profile 17 on my MMPI-2.
- 18 Q All right. How do you disagree with
- 19 Dr. Hughes relative to the profile?
- 20 A Okay. So the profile was not normal.
 21 So Ms. Heard already had subtle elevations, just
- 22 by the the test by itself, as it came out,

13

1 there were several elevations, but they were 2 elevation that if the validity scales hadn't been 3 as elevated as they were, you might have said this 4 person has some traits, but this isn't necessarily 5 at the level of a true pathology. However, 6 Ms. Heard elevated a scale that essentially is a 7 defensiveness scale on this test. And when you're 8 giving this test, you always are mindful of 9 different norms or groups who may have similar 10 profiles, and there are certain groups of 11 litigants who tend to elevate this scale as well. 12 So, I had that in mind. However, Ms. Heard 13 elevated this so much that it was far beyond the 14 mean for the litigants that are known to have the 15 highest level of this scale, this defensiveness 16 scale. And when this scale is elevated to the 17 level that is, you automatically understand that 18 it is very likely that those clinical scales be — 19 I keep doing this because I'm seeing it in my 20 head, it looks like sort of an ECT, sort of these 21 peaks you see on a graph. And when you see these 22 peaks, and you have this huge peak over here for

2 externalization blame, a lot of denial about one's 3 own personal faults, intentional or not 4 intentional. Just extreme denial. Hostility that 5 is strongly controlled and suppressed. The person may not even realize how hostile they are, but 7 family members, those closest to them are very 8 likely to report that they lose their temper and 9 when they lose their temper, explodes. We have 10 sort of what we call a cookbook for these code 11 types, which will provide you with all the 12 information that's been researched to be 13 associated with them. And our cookbook actually 14 states that that 3-6 profile, specifically, tends

15 to be associated with cruel and ruthless behavior. 16 particularly to those who they perceive as less

1 3-6 code type tend to be marked by a lot of

Q Describe for the jury the review 19 process that you went through relative to the 20 MMPI.

17 powerful to them and subordinates.

A So, I conducted a very methodical 22 analysis of the scores; I do this for every test.

7115

7117

- 1 the defensiveness scale, what you know is that 2 these peaks are artificially lowered or suppressed 3 based on respondent being so defensive. They
- 4 still detected what's likely there for her, but
- 5 it's not as high as it should be. So you make an
- 6 adjustment. And the recommendation is that
- 7 anything at 60 or above, we call it a T score of
- 8 60 or above, is considered significant.
- 9 Ms. Heard's were already over 60, some were quite
- 10 higher than that. And then you see a very clear
- 11 profile. And that was how I got that. I
- 12 mentioned a 3-6 code type.
- Now, the test does some of its own 14 correction, also, for some of the scores, but not 15 the ones that are the main code type. With the 16 test correction, she had a 1-3-6 code type, which 17 is very similar.
- 18 Q What is a 3-6 code type?
- A A 3-6 code type is something that has 20 been researched and found to be highly correlated 21 or problemistic of certain behavioral tendencies 22 and personality traits. And the traits with the

- 1 I also did it when I was reviewing Dr. Hughes's
- 2 scores. So what you haven't seen, it's in
- 3 discovery, is that I created a 25-page outline
- 4 just of her scores, with and it's sort of a
- table. So I'll put the score, I'll do it in
- sections so that I can understand different
- groupings, different research studies, and I start
- 8 with looking at all the validity scales. So I put
- 9 in the score, I and I'll even color the table
- 10 to show me if it's kind of in the high zone,
- 11 moderate zone, or low, and then if it's low, is it
- 12 a significant low score or is it just low, so it
- 13 doesn't mean anything. And then, on the right
- 14 side, I put all the research data that I found on
- 15 this particular scale score. And so, I start with
- 16 the validity scales, the way the person approached
- 17 the test, and then I go down to, essentially, we
- 18 call these the first factors. So their overall
- 19 sense of well-being and how well they cope. On
- 20 this, actually, Ms. Heard's, she endorsed scores 21 were -- endorsed items that were opposite of PTSD.

22 So, really, saying that she feels free of distress

7118

1 and that she views herself very well.

2 So then I go down to control,
3 self-control, loss of control, coping abilities,
4 and I put in the scores that are associated with
5 that, the research and the test development. Then
6 I go down to clinical and personality pathology,
7 and I look at all the scores that are significant
8 there, first with the top level main scores, then
9 with all the different subscores. Again, citing
10 the research, the meaning, the level of elevation

And then, I do comparison with
And then, I do comparison with
different research groups. So for Ms. Heard, I
did a section that looked at all sorts of
fit different scores that have been implicated with
for the PTSD presentation to see if any of those were
consistent. I can't remember how many there were.
It think I put 13 on there, but I could be wrong.
But I believe that there was only one that could
even be — in some research, sometimes associated
with it, but it was general anxiety, which turned

1 unusual items that are not consistent with PTSD.

- 2 And even though, when some people are experiencing
- 3 PTSD, their distress level is so high that they'll
- engage in what we call a cry for help, and they
- 5 may sometimes exaggerate distress. Again, when
- 6 you're looking at scores as high as Ms. Heard's
- 7 and then you're not seeing indications of PTSD in
- 8 the more subtle tests, where she doesn't know what
- 9 she's endorsing, it's good evidence that her
- 10 over-endorsement on that one test is because of
- 11 the reason the scale was made, to detect
- 12 exaggeration and feigning of symptoms.
- 13 Q Is this the test that resulted in the 14 98 percentile score?
- 15 A Yes. Yes, on that atypical response 16 scale.
- 17 Q And what is the 98 percentile score 18 represent?
- 19 A So that 98th percentile score 20 represents that among 98 -- 98 percent of people
- 21 who take that test would not have endorsed. She
- 22 scored more of those unusual items that are not

7119

...

I looked at the scores that are typical of women with IPV. Those were not consistent, at

3 all, with those. I looked at the scores that are4 consistent with people who are frequently in

- 5 litigation. Hers actually were very highly
- 6 correlated with those. That tends to be also
- 7 consistent with a 3-6 code type. The reason for
- 8 that is believed to be that they tend to perceive
- 9 themselves often as victims who need to avenge 10 wrongs.
- 11 Q Were there other results you believed
- 12 Dr. Hughes to have misrepresented, the TSI-2?
 13 A Yes. So Dr. Hughes generally said that
- 14 testing supported PTSD and that there was an
- 15 etiology for trauma of intimate partner violence.
- 16 She did reference that, essentially, the I
- 17 can't remember if she said that the Trauma Symptom
- 18 Inventory indicated PTSD, but she did say that the
- 19 elevation of the validity scale is consistent with
- 20 PTSD, and that's simply not true. That scale was
- 21 designed and has been tested and shown to be there
- 22 to show when somebody is endorsing extremely

- 1 consistent with PTSD than 98 percent of people who
- 2 had ever taken the test.
- 3 Q Does that relate to this concept you
- talked about before called feigning?
 - A Yes.

5

6

- Q What is feigning again?
- 7 A Feigning is essentially exaggerating
- symptoms of a disorder.
- 9 Q I.think the third thing you indicated
- 10 you were going to talk about is self-reports and
- 11 personal opinion as facts.
- 12 What are you talking about there?
- 13 A So, in any science, as in psychology
- 14 specifically, it's really important that we use
- 15 precise language and we say what we mean and we do
- 16 not present opinions as facts. Because when you
- 17 are in the role of an expert witness, or an expert
- 18 in any setting, essentially, a layperson may not
- 19 be able to detect the difference between something
- 20 that is a personal opinion that you're giving
- 21 versus something that is substantiated by research

22 data, test data, reliable test methods. So our

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7125

3

7122

- 1 ethics talk about, especially with the specialty
- 2 guidelines of forensic psychology, the
- 3 responsibility we have to distinguish between data
- 4 then inferences we're making from that data, what
- 5 the data can mean, sort of like those tables I do.
- 6 I put the data, the inferences based on the
- 7 research, and then what my ultimate opinion is
- 8 integrating all of that data. And it's very
- 9 important that we clarify that to the fact
- 10 finders, to the judge, the jury. That's our
- 11 responsibility, that we do not cloak personal
- 12 opinions or the self-report of an examinee as an
- 13 expert fact or somehow scientifically based when
- 14 it is just a personal opinion or a self-report of 15 an examinee.
- 16 Q What do you mean by self-report?
- 17 A The self-report is essentially what the 18 examinee tells you during the interview.
- 19 Q Okay. When did Dr. Hughes do this 20 most?
- 21 A She did this most when describing 22 instances of alleged IPV, and there's also an

- 1 Q Dr. Hughes says that Ms. Heard has
- 2 PTSD. Do you agree?
 - A I do not.
 - Q Why not?
 - A The results of my multi-method
- 6 comprehensive evaluation, based on carefully
- 7 selected researched relevant test instruments,
- 8 based on comparing those instruments to
- 9 Ms. Heard's self-report, observing Ms. Heard's
- 10 behavior over 12 direct hours of assessment,
- 11 reviewing copious notes from prior therapists who
- 12 indicated symptoms in their notes, reviewing the
- 13 notes of Nurse Falati, previously Nurse Boerum,
- 14 who spent, I believe, at one point, almost
- 152 months with Ms. Heard, daily. Reviewing the
- 16 notes of her treating providers. Let's see. All 17 of the legal documents and discovery. There was
- 17 of the legal documents and discovery. There was 18 no evidence of PTSD.
- 19 Q How is evidence of PTSD generally 20 exhibited?
- 21 A So, really, the bottom line in a 22 forensic psychological evaluation is a change in

7123

- 1 issue there because one of our ethics also
- 2 discusses the importance of relevance and
- 3 withholding, essentially constraining our
- 4 testimony to the data and not including private
- 5 information, personal information that
- 6 unnecessarily compromises the dignity of any of
- 7 the litigants. She provided a lot of what was
- 8 Ms. Heard's report to her, the allegations of
- 9 abuse, when describing Mr. Depp, who she had not
- 10 examined, when describing Mr. Depp's behavior, his
- 11 motivations. I believe she used the word
- 12 "obsessive jealousy" quite a few times, talked
- 13 about Ms. Heard being in a highly dangerous
- 14 situation. These are simply things that we cannot
- 15 detect based on testing and a psychological
- 16 evaluation. We have to evaluate the person, we
- 17 have to get consent, and we can only describe an
- 17 have to get consent, and we can only describe an
- 18 individual, not whether or not IPV has occurred.

 19 And we certainly shouldn't go into explicit
- 20 details about sexual encounters or other things
- 21 that are highly prejudicial, shocking, and hard to
- 22 forget.

- 1 functioning. That's what we're looking for.
- 2 Again, I said we don't have a crystal ball. We're.
- 3 not wizards, we can't get into somebody's head.
- 4 What we're looking for, were there identifiable
- 5 changes in the way the person engaged in their
- 6 world, were they able to keep their job? PTSD is
- 7 an extremely disabling diagnosis. When a person
- 8 has true PTSD, it is difficult for them to work.
- 9 You'll see unemployment, job loss. It causes
- 10 extreme levels of distress and impairment.
- 11 There's divorce, there's isolation and
- 12 estrangement from children, from family members.
- 13 Extreme alcohol abuse, often a string of sudden
- 14 DUIs, when the person never had any before. They
- 15 become homebound, they can't go to the store.
- 16 They're certainly not going to events. They're
- 17 not having success in their film career, usually.
- 18 They're not exercising every day, pursuing their
- 19 hobbies, being avid readers, obtaining level 3
- 20 Sommelier training, having dinner parties with
- 21 friends, speaking to public groups. Those are
- 22 just indications of very high functioning, and

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7126	7128
1 when you're looking for a decrease in functioning	1 about it.
2 over time, that is inconsistent with that	2 THE COURT: All right.
3 decrease.	MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you, Your Honor.
4 Q What about Dr. Hughes's suggestion that	4 BY MR. DENNISON:
5 Mr. Waldman's statements served as a trigger for	5 Q Dr. Hughes suggested that perhaps
6 Ms. Heard's PTSD?	6 Ms. Heard's PTSD was somehow triggered. What's
7 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection. Your Honor,	7 your view on that?
8 may we approach?	8 A I would say that it can't be triggered
9 THE COURT: All right.	9 if PTSD isn't present. 10 MR. DENNISON: Thank you very much,
10 (Sidebar.)	11 Doctor.
MS. BREDEHOFT: Very explicitly, she is	12 THE COURT: All right.
12 not testifying about and I elicited it in trial	13 Cross-examination.
13 testimony early, she is not testifying about	14 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you, Your Honor.
14 whether Ms. Heard suffered any PTSD as a result of	15 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND
15 defamatory statements. Explicitly testified she	16 · COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF
16 is not. She's not it's not anywhere in her	17 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:
17 report. It's not in her rebuttal report, and she	18 Q Dr. Curry, I just want to make sure
i -	19 that we all remember, you're not board certified,
18 admitted that on the stand, that she is not	20 correct?
19 addressing that, was not asked to address that.	21 A No, I'm not.
20 MR. DENNISON: Her answer, and it's the	22 Q Okay. And you've been licensed for how
21 last answer of this inquiry, is there is no PTSD	
22 to be triggered.	
7127 1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Still, he's asking	7129 1 long?
2 he's trying to connect it to the defamation. You	1
	A I've been licensed for ten years.
3 know, she can say that there's no PTSD, but she	Q Okay. And you are being paid by
4 cannot connect it to the defamation. She did not	4 Mr. Depp's legal team to be here, correct?
5 disclose that opinion.	5 A Yes.
6 THE COURT: She's going to bring up the	6 Q How much have you charged so far?
7 defamation is the issue.	7 A I actually don't know.
8 MR. DENNISON: All it literally says is	8 Q Over a hundred thousand?
9 it brings it into the current time frame. There's	9 A I truly don't know. I don't do my own
10 no effort to connect it other than saying there	10 books.
11 was no PTSD to be triggered.	11 Q Over 200,000?
12 THE COURT: I think you can state there	12 A I don't know.
13 is no PTSD to be triggered. I get that.	13 Q Over 300,000?
MS. BREDEHOFT: I disagree, Your Honor.	14 A That would be way too much, but I do
15 He's bringing up the defamation and letting her	15 not know.
16 give an opinion about whether she has PTSD as a	16 Q Okay. Now, just so that we all
17 result of the defamation, and she explicitly said	17 remember, you had dinner at Mr. Depp's house for
18 she's not speaking to that.	18 three to four hours with Mr. Depp, Mr. Waldman,
19 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot ask the	19 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez, correct?
20 defamation, but he can say no PTSD has been	20 A I was interviewed. I asked if there
21 triggered.	21 was anything I could eat because at about
22 MR. DENNISON: I can do it and not talk	22 three hours, I started to get hungry. Mr. Depp,

	7132
1 then, offered to order takeout for the entire	1 A I did not talk to Mr. Depp. I was
2 team.	2 talking to his legal team. He was there to
3 Q So you had dinner at Mr. Depp's home	3 observe.
4 with Mr. Waldman, Mr. Chew, Ms. Vasquez, and	4 Q He was present for the three or
5 Mr. Depp, correct?	5 four hours?
6 A Yes.	6 A Yes.
7 Q And you had drinks as well, correct?	7 Q And are you saying now he just stayed
8 A I actually don't know. I do remember	8 silent and said nothing all day?
9 that there were drinks.	9 A I don't recall what he did or didn't
10 Q Do you recall testifying earlier that	10 do. I was answering questions.
11 you did have a drink, a mule something?	11 Q Okay. Now, your expertise here is
12 A No, I remember testifying that there	12 limited to whether Amber Heard suffers from PTSD
13 might have been a mule, a Moscow mule.	13 currently; is that correct?
14 Q Thank you.	14 A Yes. I was tasked with conducting
We didn't have animals there as well,	15 evaluation to determine.
16 right?	16 Q Okay.
17 A No animals.	17 A To –
18 Q That's good to know.	18 Q Dr. Curry, you know, we're on very,
19 You talked about transparency. I want	19 very strict time limitations because we promised
20 to make sure. You had several designations,	20 to get this case to the jury, so I'd really
21 expert designations and reports in this case,	21 appreciate it if you just answer my question
22 correct?	22 rather than trying to go further.
7131	7133
1 A Yes.	1 A Sure.
2 Q And in not one of them did you disclose	2 Q Okay. Thank you very much.
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house	Now, after you did have the dinner,
1	Now, after you did have the dinner, you, then, provided the designation in February of
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house	<u>-</u>
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman,	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of
 3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before
 3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 	you, then, provided the designation in February of 2021, in which you said, and this is long before you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that
 3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 	you, then, provided the designation in February of 2021, in which you said, and this is long before you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are
 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing what occurred. Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality
that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing what occurred. Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports?	you, then, provided the designation in February of 2021, in which you said, and this is long before you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder."
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder."
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure.	you, then, provided the designation in February of 2021, in which you said, and this is long before you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before.
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone 14 to a client's house to be interviewed for an	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did.
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did.
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone 14 to a client's house to be interviewed for an 15 expert witness position, correct?	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did. 15 Q And that was on the designation; was it
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone 14 to a client's house to be interviewed for an 15 expert witness position, correct? 16 A Yes. Because I never had a client that	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did. 15 Q And that was on the designation; was it 16 not?
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone 14 to a client's house to be interviewed for an 15 expert witness position, correct? 16 A Yes. Because I never had a client that 17 was essentially homebound because of their	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did. 15 Q And that was on the designation; was it 16 not? 17 A I told you last time that I did not
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone 14 to a client's house to be interviewed for an 15 expert witness position, correct? 16 A Yes. Because I never had a client that 17 was essentially homebound because of their 18 celebrity status.	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did. 15 Q And that was on the designation; was it 16 not? 17 A I told you last time that I did not 18 write that.
that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing what occurred. Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. Not once did you disclose this in any of your reports? A I did not disclose that I was la interviewed because that's standard procedure. Q But it's true that you have never gone to a client's house to be interviewed for an sexpert witness position, correct? A Yes. Because I never had a client that was essentially homebound because of their scelebrity status. Q All right. And you talked to Mr. Depp	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did. 15 Q And that was on the designation; was it 16 not? 17 A I told you last time that I did not 18 write that. 19 Q Okay. And you don't know who did, on
3 that you had dinner and drinks at Mr. Depp's house 4 for three to four hours with Mr. Waldman, 5 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez; is that correct? 6 A Ms. Bredehoft, you're mischaracterizing 7 what occurred. 8 Q Dr. Curry, please answer the question. 9 Not once did you disclose this in any of your 10 reports? 11 A I did not disclose that I was 12 interviewed because that's standard procedure. 13 Q But it's true that you have never gone 14 to a client's house to be interviewed for an 15 expert witness position, correct? 16 A Yes. Because I never had a client that 17 was essentially homebound because of their 18 celebrity status. 19 Q All right. And you talked to Mr. Depp 20 for three to four hours before taking on the role	4 you, then, provided the designation in February of 5 2021, in which you said, and this is long before 6 you ever saw Amber Heard, correct, you said that 7 Amber "exhibits patterns of behavior that are 8 consistent with co-occurring Cluster B personality 9 disorder traits, especially borderline personality 10 disorder." 11 Correct? 12 A No. 13 Q No? We went through this before. 14 A We did. 15 Q And that was on the designation; was it 16 not? 17 A I told you last time that I did not 18 write that. 19 Q Okay. And you don't know who did, on 20 the legal team, correct?

7134 1 you might recall, whether you listened to the 1 to her? Would that be the best way to do it? 2 audio recording in which Mr. Depp taunted Amber MR. DENNISON: I'm perfectly fine if 3 Heard that she had a borderline personality 3 she approaches the witness and shows her the 4 disorder. deposition. Do you recall that? THE COURT: Right. Just remember you 6 A I recall you asking me that, yes. 6 need a microphone, so don't start talking. Q Did you recall listening to that MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. Thank you for audiotape? the reminder. A I don't recall Mr. Depp taunting 9 MR. DENNISON: Thank you. 10 Ms. Heard. I do recall that he, at some point, 10 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you. 11 suggested she might have that diagnosis. 11 (Open court.) Q Okay. And that was back in these 12 12 BY MS. BREDEHOFT: 13 audiotapes, back when they were together, correct? O Now, you recall testifying in your 13 A Yes. 14 deposition on March 21, 2022, correct? 15 Q Okay. Now, you've never before been 15 A Yes. 16 asked to testify or serve as an expert witness 16 Q And you were under oath at that time, 17 with respect to someone who has bipolar disorder, 17 correct? 18 correct? 18 A Yes. A No, as I previously stated, that's not 19 19 Q And the question I just showed on 20 true. 20 page 207, line 5, "Have you ever been asked to 21 Q All right. Let's get your deposition. 21 testify or serve as an expert with respect to 22 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, my extra 22 whether someone has bipolar disorder?" And your 7137 7135 1 answer at that time was no, correct? 1 copies were all distributed before. Does everybody have those? A Yes. I had forgotten a case. Q Okay. And have you ever been asked to 3 THE COURT: Not up here anymore. MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. Then my testify whether anyone has behavioral or apologies, but I'm going to go -characterological conduct that suggests they may be an IPV perpetrator? MR. DENNISON: Your Honor, may we 6 7 approach? A I can't - I may have. It's difficult, 8 THE COURT: Okay. after about 250 cases, it's difficult to remember 9 specifically. (Sidebar.) 10 10 Q All right. And have you ever been THE COURT: I think we've cleaned up 11 qualified as an expert in the area of IPV? 11 since then. 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: My apologies on that 12 A No. 13 Q Have you ever been qualified to testify 13 one. I thought they were still here. MR. DENNISON: I get it. Are we going 14 as an expert in domestic abuse or violence? 14 15 to rehash the entire previous cross-examination? 15 A Violence -16 MS. BREDEHOFT: She just testified to 16 Domestic abuse or violence? 17 17 bipolar. A Yes. That's been a component of 18 MR. DENNISON: It's her time. 18 testimony. 19 THE COURT: It's her cross-examination. 19 MS. BREDEHOFT: May I approach, Your 20 MR. DENNISON: Right. 20 Honor? 21 THE COURT: All right. 21 THE COURT: I don't have mine. MS. BREDEHOFT: We're still on the same MS. BREDEHOFT: Should I just show it 22 22

7140 1 treatment records for the psychologist Bonnie 1 page. Q Line 16 on page 207, "Have you ever Jacobs, who saw Amber Heard over five years? 3 been qualified as an expert in the area of IPV?" A I do. 4 Your answer, on line 20, was no, under oath, Q And did you see anything in Bonnie correct? Jacobs' notes over five years in which she Then the next question, "Have you ever 6 diagnosed Ms. Heard with borderline personality or 6 7 been qualified to testify as an expert in domestic histrionic personality disorder? 8 abuse or violence?" And it goes into page 208, 8 A No. 9 line 4, the answer, then, under oath, was no. Q Now, you also saw the notes of 10 Dr. Connell Cowan, right, you even attended his 10 Now, you would agree that the 11 deposition, correct? 11 literature is quite clear that trauma-based 12 A Yes. 12 symptoms, such as PTSD, are complex; PTSD has 13 symptoms that overlap with borderline personality 13 Q He saw Amber for, roughly, two years, 14 disorder and histrionic personality disorder, 14 he was part of Dr. Kipper connection, right? 15 correct? 15 A Uh-huh. 16 A Yes. 16 Correct? Q Q And you would agree that it's important A Yes. 17 17 18 to use valid and reliable measures for an accurate Okay. Did you see anything in 18 19 diagnosis, correct? 19 Dr. Cowan's notes and did he say, in his 20 A Absolutely. 20 deposition, that he diagnosed Amber Heard with 21 borderline personality disorder or histrionic Q Okay. You chose, however, not to 22 administer the structured clinical interview to 22 personality disorder? 7139 7141 1 DSM Personality Disorders, the SCID; is that A I saw the symptoms clearly delineated 2 correct? throughout his notes and his deposition. He does not use diagnoses, so he would not have diagnosed 3 A That's correct. Q Would you agree that that is a her. state-of-the-art structured clinical interview? 5 Q He said specifically, in his A Not for a forensic evaluation of this deposition, he did not diagnose her with that, correct? sophisticated examinee. Q But to determine if a personality A Yes. And he also specifically stated 9 disorder is present? that he does not use diagnoses. A No, not in this setting. Q All right. And you also have seen 10 11 Q You don't agree with that? 11 Dr. Banks, Dr. Amy Banks, the psychiatrist, her 12 deposition, correct? A I do not. 12 Q You don't agree that that is the gold 13 A Yes - not her deposition, I reviewed 14 standard assessment for reliable, accurate 14 her notes and the transcript. Q Did Dr. Anderson diagnoses Ms. Heard 15 psychiatric diagnosis? 16 with borderline personality disorder or histrionic A It's a good one but, for treatments 17 personality disorder? 17 that are (indiscernible). O Now, did Ms. Heard -- you said you A I don't believe she provided any 19 talked about you read all of the treatment 19 diagnosis, and she was a couples therapist.

PLANET DEPOS

20 records, right?

A Yes.

Okay. Do you recall reading the

21

22

20 Q Now, you said quite a bit about Dawn 21 Hughes. Do you remember how many years of

22 experience Dawn Hughes has in IPV and domestic

7142 abuse and violence? A That's not a psychological assessment. 2 A I know it's quite a bit. We can't assess for intimate partner violence. 3 Extensive. And she is board certified, That's an event. correct? Q Dr. Hughes administered a full intimate partner violence assessment, right? A Yes, she is. Q And she spent 29 hours of examination A She stated that, and that's actually with Amber Heard; did she not? something I'm rebutting today. 8 A Yes. And you reviewed her psychological 9 testing? And she admitted -- and she interviewed 10 her therapists, Bonnie Jacobs and Connell Cowan, 10 A I sure did, yes. 11 correct? 11 Q And are you aware that in 12 A Yes. 12 September 2019, Ms. Heard had a trauma-based 13 0 And she also interviewed Amber's late 13 symptom on many of those valid tests? 14 mother? A Can you be a little bit more specific? 15 A Yes. 15 Those valid tests? Which tests are you talking Q And she administered 12 different tests 16 16 about? 17 over the period of that time, correct? 17 Q Do you have a recollection of that, A Well, as I said, the majority of those 18 September 2019? 19 were checklists, which are inappropriate in the A She administered all her testing on 20 forensic setting. 20 September 2019, so I'm not sure — except for the Q I understand that's what you're saying. 21 CAPS-5, which was ten days after mine, 2021. 22 But she administered 12 different tests, correct? Q Now, Dr. Hughes clinically evaluated 7143 7145 1 A If you want to qualify them as tests, 1 those symptoms and established that Ms. Heard does sure. have PTSD from the totality of the intimate Q And so, you disregard -- no, I'm not partner violence by Mr. Depp, correct? even going to say that. 4 A That's what she stated, yes. 5 Okay. Let's go to the CAPS-5 and PTSD. Q Okay. Now, Dr. Anderson's clinical 6 Now, you assessed Ms. Heard's traumas in her life, notes that said Amber -correct? 7 MR. DENNISON: Objection. Hearsay. 8 A Yes. I did give her an instrument to MS. BREDEHOFT: I haven't even asked 9 assess for any trauma exposure throughout the the question yet, Your Honor. 10 10 entire life-span. THE COURT: Are you going to read her Q Yes, that's fine. And you wrote that 11 notes? 12 Ms. Heard's exposure to a traumatic event, namely 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, no. Actually, I 13 one of the sexual assaults by Mr. Depp, more than 13 wasn't going to read her notes. I was going to 14 satisfied this requirement; did you not write that 14 ask a particular question. 15 in your notes? 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16. A That is not what I wrote in my notes. 16 Q You talked about danger.

20 you, as a couples therapist, with two black eyes,

21 would you assess that there may be a potential 22 danger there?

Do you recall that in your testimony?

Q All right. Now, if a patient comes to

PLANET DEPOS

17

18

19

17 Do you have my notes, so I can look at them?

A Not exactly. It's not quite right.

22 intimate partner violence assessment, correct?

Q Now, Dr. Hughes administered a full

19 interview based on that trauma, correct?

20

21

Q You administered a structured clinical

Conducted of	1 Way 25, 2022
7146	7148
1 A Sure.	1 Q Correct?
2 Q Did you read Dr. Anderson's notes?	Now, in addition to not listing the
3 A I believe I did.	3 four hours you spent with Mr. Depp, Mr. Waldman,
4 Q Now, you administered the Minnesota	4 Mr. Chew, and Ms. Vasquez, you also did not list
5 Multiphasic Personality Inventory 2, the MMPI-2.	5 that you spent an hour with Dr. Shaw, correct?
6 Do you recall that?	6 A That's incorrect.
7 A Yes.	7 Q Are you saying that the designation
8 Q And you used that to determine whether	8 said that you
9 Amber had PTSD, right?	9 A During my deposition, I also clarified
10 A Not by itself. But it was a part of	10 this. I didn't spend an hour with Dr. Shaw.
11 the data.	
I · · ·	11 There was an introduction with the attorneys
	12 present on Zoom. My time on that call was less
13 range for that test, which "deliberate attempts to	13 than 30 minutes.
14 mislead are uncommon"; isn't that correct?	14 Q But you still didn't disclose it, did
15 A Sorry, could you repeat that?	15 you, in your report?
16 Q In the T score section of that, which	16 A No.
17 assesses deliberate attempts to mislead, do you	17 Q Okay. Now, you are not you have not
18 recall she scored a 60 on that test, correct?	18 been asked to testify about Ms. Heard's behavior
19 A So there are multiple T scores for each	19 in the context of her relationship with Mr. Depp;
20 scale, so I'm not sure which scale you're talking	20 is that correct?
21 about.	21 A I was asked to testify about somebody's
22 Q Okay. Well, we can deal with that	22 behavioral mental status, in general, so that can
7147 1 later.	7149
7147 1 later.	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct?	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710,
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes.	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach?
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct,	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.)
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right?	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh.	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct?	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes.	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that 16 forensic practitioners "strive for accuracy,	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury. 15 MR. DENNISON: Okay. That's the issue.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that 16 forensic practitioners "strive for accuracy,	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury. 15 MR. DENNISON: Okay. That's the issue. 16 THE COURT: This is to refresh?
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that 16 forensic practitioners "strive for accuracy, 17 impartiality, fairness, and independence,"	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury. 15 MR. DENNISON: Okay. That's the issue. 16 THE COURT: This is to refresh? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: This is what I should
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that 16 forensic practitioners "strive for accuracy, 17 impartiality, fairness, and independence," 18 correct?	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury. 15 MR. DENNISON: Okay. That's the issue. 16 THE COURT: This is to refresh? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: This is what I should 18 have done in deposition. It would have made it go 19 a lot faster.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that 16 forensic practitioners "strive for accuracy, 17 impartiality, fairness, and independence," 18 correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And specialty guidelines 1.03 states	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury. 15 MR. DENNISON: Okay. That's the issue. 16 THE COURT: This is to refresh? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: This is what I should 18 have done in deposition. It would have made it go 19 a lot faster. 20 MR. DENNISON: That was why I asked.
1 later. 2 So you would agree that you need to 3 follow ethics and best practices in forensic 4 psychology, correct? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. And the two primary sources are 7 the American Psychological Association Ethical 8 Principles and Professional Code of Conduct, 9 right? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q And the American Psychological 12 Association's Specialty Guidelines for Forensic 13 Psychology, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And special guidelines 1.02 states that 16 forensic practitioners "strive for accuracy, 17 impartiality, fairness, and independence," 18 correct? 19 A Yes.	1 include behavior involved in the relationship with 2 Mr. Depp, but not specifically. 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you pull up day 10 4 of the trial testimony, at page 2710. 2710, 5 lines 12 through 13. 6 MR. DENNISON: May we approach? 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 (Sidebar.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: I think the request I 11 heard, may we pull up some trial testimony and 12 I'm 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not going to show 14 it to the jury. 15 MR. DENNISON: Okay. That's the issue. 16 THE COURT: This is to refresh? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: This is what I should 18 have done in deposition. It would have made it go 19 a lot faster.

Conducted on May 25, 2022				
1 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	7152 1 THE COURT: Withdrawn.			
2 Q So, Dr. Curry, this is your testimony	2 (Open court.)			
3 from day ten in this case, and if you can look at	3 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:			
4 page 2710, line 13. Now is it my question was,	4 Q Now, you have not rendered any opinion			
5 "Now is it your testimony, under oath, today that	5 as to whether Amber Heard exhibits patterns of			
6 you have not been asked to testify concerning	6 behavior that would suggest her allegations of			
7 Ms. Heard's behavior in the context of her	7 abuse against Mr. Depp are false; would you agree?			
8 relationship with Mr. Depp, including any abuse?"	8 A No – I mean, yes, I would agree with			
9 And your answer, under oath, to this jury that day	9 that.			
10 was that's correct.	10 Q Thank you.			
11 A Yes.	11 And you have not no, that's all			
12 Q Is that correct?	12 right.			
13 A I still agree with that question.	13 MS. BREDEHOFT: That's all I've got.			
14 Q All right. And you have not made any	14 No further questions.			
15 determinations, including any opinions, that	15 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.			
16 Ms. Heard abused Mr. Depp or Mr. Depp abused	16 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND			
17 Ms. Heard, correct?	17 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT			
•	18 BY MR. DENNISON:			
20 outside the scope, correct?	1			
A Yes, of psychology.	21 Q What's that? 22 A It's a structured clinical interview.			
22 Q And you cannot testify whether	22 A It's a structured clinical interview. 7153			
7151 1 Ms. Heard suffered any emotional distress as a	1 It's for rendering a diagnosis. It's best for			
2 result of any of the defamatory comments that she	2 treatment because you're asking direct questions			
3 has alleged Mr. Waldman made through Mr. Depp or	3 of the examinee and about symptoms. So if you			
4 Mr. Depp made through Mr. Waldman, correct?	4 have an examinee who has a tendency to minimize,			
5 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor.	5 you're not going to get much information.			
6 A Do you want me to read my response?	6 Q Why didn't you use it?			
7 Q Sure.	7 A Because, well, first of all, I had a			
8 THE COURT: Objection.	8 limited amount of time for my evaluation, and I			
9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	9 already had to use just to complete the			
10 THE COURT: That's okay.	10 interview was extremely time consuming, and I had			
11 What was the objection?	11 to even restructure it into handouts so that I			
12 (Sidebar.)	12 could keep Ms. Heard on track. I determined,			
13 MR. DENNISON: That's the question she	13 based on that so this is where you would make			
14 wouldn't let me ask.	14 an interference. Because I was having difficulty			
15 THE COURT: Well, it opens it up for	15 getting direct answers to my questions from			
16 redirect.	16 Ms. Heard, I had determined that creating forms of			
17 MR. DENNISON: Yeah.	17 those questions would be a better use of the time,			
18 MS. BREDEHOFT: Well, she's going to	18 which it was, and then I further deduced that			
19 say what I can testify is there's no indication of	19 adding on the structured clinical interview would			
20 a decline in psychological functioning since she's	20 probably be unproductive, given that I had limited			
21 been with Mr. Depp.	21 time to use the best, most reliable methods for			
22 I'll withdraw that.	22 getting information at that time.			
	I DEDOG			

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7154	7156
1 Q You were asked about the APA Specialty	1 THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
2 Guidelines.	2 (Sidebar.)
3 A Yes.	3 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I think
4 Q Specifically, 1.02	4 earlier when we were discussing the expert
5 A Yes.	5 issue
6 Q and 1.03?	6 THE COURT: Oh, we're going backwards?
7 A Yes.	7 MS. MEYERS: I understand that. But
8 Q Have you complied with it?	8 this is why I wanted to grab this.
9 A I have.	9 THE COURT: Sure.
10 MR. DENNISON: No further questions.	10 MS. MEYERS: This is our rebuttal
11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.	11 designations.
12 THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Curry.	12 THE COURT: Right.
13 You're free to go. Thank you, ma'am.	MS. MEYERS: We incorporate, by
14 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let's	14 reference, the affirmative designations of both
15 go ahead and take our morning recess for	15 Mr. Neumeister and Dr. Collins.
16 15 minutes. Do not discuss the case, and do not	16 THE COURT: Right.
17 do any outside research, okay?	MS. MEYERS: And so, we are offering to
18 (Whereupon, the jury exited the	18 rebut not a fact witness, but evidence that was
19 courtroom and the following proceedings took	19 presented in their defensive case. We understand
20 place.)	20 that rebuttal evidence is evidence that a
21 THE COURT: All right. Just for	21 plaintiff offers to explain or repel evidence that
22 planning purposes, Sammy asked Mr. Tobin to be	22 the defendant offered
7155	7157
1 here as early as noon, just in case. With the	THE COURT: It's only to rebut an
2 fluidity of trial, I'm not sure what time we can	2 expert.
3 get to that motion.	3 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I think the
4 MR. CHEW: That's fine.	4 rule.
5 THE COURT: Just to let you know.	5 THE COURT: I didn't work that way when
6 MS. BREDEHOFT: We have to know who it	
7 is.	7 MS. MEYERS: Well, Your Honor, I think
8 THE COURT: Yeah, they need to work on	8 that was a different issue because their expert
9 that. They're going to find out who the next	9 was only designated in rebuttal to rebut the
10 witness is. So let's go ahead and take a recess	10 testimony of our expert doctor or Ms. Frost.
11 until 10:55, okay?	11 In this case, we designated them affirmatively.
12 MR. CHEW: 10:55. Okay. Thank you,	12 In fairness
13 Your Honor.	13 THE COURT: In your case-in-chief.
14 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	MS. MEYERS: In our case-in-chief. And
15 (Recess taken from 10:36 a.m. to	15 then those affirmative.
16 10:55 a.m.)	16 THE COURT: It's not in your defense.
17 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	MS. MEYERS: But this is also our
Please be seated and come to order.	18 rebuttal case, Your Honor, and we identified them
19 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready	19 as rebuttal witness that incorporated their expert
20 for the jury?	20 testimony from their affirmative designations.
21 MR. CHEW: Your Honor, we do have an	21 THE COURT: Right, so you could have
22 issue we would like to raise with you.	22 called them in your case-in-chief.

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 23 Conducted on May 25, 2022

7158 MS. MEYERS: But we also designated 1 them as rebuttal witnesses that would testify 2 3 to ---4 THE COURT: Testify to what? 5 MS. MEYERS: To rebut the evidence that was provided during their --THE COURT: And expert can only rebut an expert --MS. MEYERS: So, Your Honor, my 10 understanding is that an expert can be call --THE COURT: It is reversible error if I 12 let an expert testify unless they are designated. 13 MS. MEYERS: So we designated --14 THE COURT: Reversible error is what 15 I'm trying to avoid in this case. MS. MEYERS: I understand that, Your 17 Honor. So my understanding is that the rule is 18 not that an expert can't be called in rebuttal 19 unless they're rebutting another expert. But they 20 can be called an expert -- you know, under that 21 rule, an expert can never be called in rebuttal if 22 there's no expert testimony on -- in the defensive 7159

1 relevant once that evidence came in during their 2 defensive case. And so, we are now offering -- which we -- you know, trials are 4 fluid, we can't anticipate when or if certain 5 evidence will come in. Photographs only came in 6 during their defensive case, as well as 7 Ms. Heard's testimony about how those injuries occurred. And so, now, the -- after their 9 defensive case, the testimony of Mr. Neumeister 10 and Dr. Collins has now become relevant based off 11 of that evidence that they put in. And we submit 12 that those experts, because we designated them at 13 the first available date, we have designated what 14 they intended to testify about, at that time, and 15 then we incorporated, by a reference, that 16 testimony, that they would respond to any 17 photographic evidence and any purported injuries. 18 We identified that when we submitted the experts 19 for our rebuttal case, as well as identifying them 20 to rebut to certain experts if they put them up. But we identified them as rebuttal 21 22 witnesses who could testify about photographic

5

1 evidence and other injuries that only came in during their defensive case.

3 So we submit that that's proper and fair to permit them to testify.

MR. ROTTENBORN: I don't have anything to add to my arguments from this morning, Your Honor, unless you have any questions.

8 THE COURT: So they're saying since they incorporated -- as their expert designated 10 for their case-in-chief, that the evidence came up 11 about photographs in your case and, therefore, 12 they can bring an expert in to talk about the 13 photograph is, I believe, their argument.

14 MR. ROTTENBORN: They could have put 15 the experts on in their case-in-chief. They 16 obviously objected, as Your Honor pointed out, to 17 our attempt to get pictures in. And for all the 18 reasons that we discussed this morning and that 19 Your Honor ruled, they're going backward.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Murphy, do you want 21 to -- this is your motion, so... 22 MR. MURPHY: Yes, absolutely, Your

1 case.

2

THE COURT: Okay.

3 MS. MEYERS: And so, what we are --4 basically, during their defensive case -- so this is an issue of fairness. In our affirmative case the -- I would like to make a record, if I may.

THE COURT: Yes. Sure.

8 MS. MEYERS: Right. So the testimony 9 of our experts, Mr. Neumeister and Dr. Collins, 10 only became relevant once the photographic 11 evidence of Ms. Heard's purported injuries came 12 into evidence. That did -- we cannot control --13 we obviously were not offering that, and they did 14 not offer it when they were crossing Mr. Depp or 15 anyone else during our case-in-chief.

THE COURT: They tried to offer it when 17 they did the depositions of the police officers, 18 which was objected to.

19 MS. MEYERS: Which was objected to.

20 And then, it came in during their 21 defensive case. And so, the testimony of

22 Mr. Neumeister and Dr. Collins only became

1 Honor. Mr. Neumeister, Your Honor, the exact

- 2 argument on Mr. Bercovici, I have the transcript,
- 3 was that the expert was here to rebut the facts.
- 4 And Your Honor said, no, experts essentially rebut
- 5 experts. Now they're trying to say exactly what
- 6 they argued against. Their expert is here to
- 7 rebut the facts. That is a complete contradiction
- 8 of Bercovici, from my understanding of it looking 9 at the transcript.
- THE COURT: Well, Bercovici was just a 11 rebuttal expert. What they're saying the
- 12 difference is -- so I want to go through this --
- 13 is that they actually had him designated, also, in 14 their case-in-chief.
- MR. MURPHY: They did, and they didn't 16 call him. They also could have called Ms. Heard 17 in their case-in-chief and put in the photographs,
- 18 and they chose not to, that's, again, their
- 19 choice. So there's no reason they can now put on
- 20 an expert to rebut the factual testimony when he 21 wasn't identified in the disclosure, and the
- 22 rebuttal disclosure says he's here, over and over
- 1 again, to rebut Ms. Ackert, who has not yet 2 testified.
- THE COURT: Well, no, it also says it incorporates the case-in-chief.
- 5 MR. MURPHY: In the line above that.
- 6 But, Your Honor, if you can just insert
- 7 incorporates everything previously in the case
- 8 into every expert disclosure, it would just defeat
- 9 the purpose of what the specific testimony is and 10 specific disclosures and specific parts of the
- 11 case.
 12 I mean, Mr. Rottenborn said Your Honor
- 13 ruled on this, this morning, and we're now trying 14 to go backward.
- 15 THE COURT: Well, I want to make sure 16 we get it right.
- 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: The incorporation, if 18 you recall, Your Honor didn't let me have 19 Dr. Hughes testify on things that we incorporated 20 by reference into the designation for her
- 21 testimony.
- 22 MS. MEYERS: So, Your Honor, a couple

- I things. We can't be punished for not calling the
- 2 defendant in our case-in-chief. The reason we
- 3 objected to those pictures were foundational
- 4 because there had not been a foundation laid for
- 5 those photographs, and, you know, as we saw, some
- 6 of these photographs actually did end up coming in
- 7 for various reasons. So these experts truly only
- 8 became relevant -- look, we couldn't have
- 9 anticipated that. We identified them just in case
- 10 these paragraphs did come in and that testimony
- 11 did come in, in our case-in-chief, and it did not.
- 12 And then on defendant's, they offered it in. I
- 13 think that, you know, an expert can be called to
- 14 rebut factual evidence --
- 15 THE COURT: Does anybody have case law 16 for me? Any case law?
- MS. MEYERS: We looked very hard.
- 18 There's not a clear case law on this either way.
- 19 THE COURT: There's a reason for that.
- 20 MR. ROTTENBORN: They clearly knew they
- 21 could have designated and called the experts in
- 22 their case-in-chief because they put them in their

7163

2

1 January designations.

THE COURT: Designated them in their defense.

- 4 MR. ROTTENBORN: But they didn't.
- MS. MEYERS: This is all rebuttal case.
- 6 We're offering these not as a defense witness, but 7 we're rebutting --
- THE COURT: If you're offering them as rebuttal, then they don't testify.
- MS. MEYERS: Well, we are offering them
- 12 case -- in their defensive case.
- 13 THE COURT: All the case law I've ever 14 read says you can't use an expert to rebut lay 15 witness testimony.
- 16 MS. MEYERS: But it's not just lay

11 to rebut evidence that came in during their

- 17 witness testimony. We're rebutting fact --
- 18 documentary and photographic evidence.
- 19 THE COURT: That came in through lay 20 witnesses, right?
- 21 MS. MEYERS: Yeah, but I think that -- 22 again, I think the rule cannot be that an expert

```
7166
                                                                                                        7168
1 can't be called unless they're rebutting another
                                                       1 in from Dr. Moore, who testified about Mr. Depp's
2 expert, I think it has --
                                                          finger injury, and that was designated in her
3
         THE COURT: I'll tell you what, I'll
                                                          affirmative disclosure.
4 give you until lunchtime to find me some case that
                                                       4
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay.
5 says that in rebuttal, an expert can testify, even
                                                       5
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, that's -- I'll
                                                       6
6 though an expert did not testify in direct, all
                                                          wait.
                                                       7
7 right? I'll give them a chance to give me case
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: And I believe that she
8 law.
                                                          could also be designated to come -- I think she's
9
         MR. ROTTENBORN: At some point, we just
                                                          also rebutting the testimony from Dr. Hughes, that
10 need to move on.
                                                       10 Ms. Heard's injuries resulting from IPV were more
         THE COURT: I'm giving them an hour and
                                                       11 severe than Mr. Depp's, and she testifies to the
12 then we'll move on, okay? So we'll give you until
                                                        12 severity of the injuries. So I think that was --
13 lunchtime, which I guess will be around -- I'm not
                                                       13 those types of information were disclosed in our
14 really sure. Who's up next?
                                                        14 affirmative designations and identified in
         MS. MEYERS: If we cannot call
                                                        15 rebuttal, which under Your Honor's ruling, can be
15
16 Dr. Collins, I think we will call Mr. Depp at this
                                                        16 offered to rebut expert testimony.
17 time.
                                                        17
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: A couple things, Your
18
         THE COURT: We'll do that. So we're
                                                        18 Honor. She's designated to rebut the opinions of
19 near the end. We're probably not going to have
                                                        19 Dr. Jordan --
20 testimony tomorrow? Is that what we're thinking?
                                                       20
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: But she is also
                                                       21 designated -- her original designations are also
21 Even if you get these witnesses in?
         MS. VASQUEZ: So there is another
                                                       22 incorporated by reference --
                                                 7167
                                                                                                        7169
I issue, Your Honor. Our expert, Dr. Gilbert, who
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: If I may finish, Your
2 is rebutting and was designated just to rebut
                                                          Honor.
                                                       3
3 Dr. Moore, the hand surgeon, he can only testify
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: -- on rebuttal.
4 in person tomorrow.
                                                       4
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: Dr. Moore was not
                                                          designated until February. Ms. Meyers just told
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MS. VASQUEZ: He's scheduled to fly
                                                          you that she was designated to rebut the opinions
                                                          of Dr. Moore. That's not true.
7 this evening.
                                                       8
          THE COURT: Well, I don't think the
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: I did not.
9 jury has any problems with me releasing them
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: You did just say that.
10 early. We can work on jury instructions if that's
                                                        10 She was designated to rebut the opinion of
                                                        11 Dr. Jordan, and I have her testimony where I asked
11 the case.
12
          Were you planning any rebuttal on
                                                       12 her, are you rebutting the testimony of Dr. Moore,
13 evidence?
                                                        13 and she says no.
14
          MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor, we're
                                                       14
                                                                 I want to -- I can get it from the
15 planning on calling Dr. Hughes and Amber Heard.
                                                        15 outline.
16 That's it. And both are relatively short.
                                                       16
                                                                 MS. VASQUEZ: If I may, Your Honor,
         THE COURT: Is there any way -- well, I
                                                        17 just briefly be heard. I'll wait.
17
18 guess it'll depend on this, this issue.
                                                        18
                                                                 THE COURT: Yes. Wait.
         MS. MEYERS: And, Your Honor, if I may,
                                                       19
                                                                 MS. VASQUEZ: If I may briefly be heard
20 and Ms. Vasquez just reminded me of this. I do
                                                       20 on that point.
21 believe, especially with respect to Dr. Collins,
                                                                 THE COURT: Uh-huh.
                                                       21
22 her testimony is rebutting the evidence that came
                                                       22
                                                                 MS. VASQUEZ: She, in her affirmative
```

```
1 designations, Dr. Collins opined as to the cause
                                                        1
                                                                 THE COURT: Right.
2
  of the finger injury.
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: We will look for that
3
          THE COURT: Okav.
                                                          because that would apply to both of them.
4
          MR. ROTTENBORN: Here's page 87.
                                                        4
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: I would disagree that
          THE COURT: I have to go by
                                                          that's the only issue. We can see what they come
  designations. You understand that.
                                                          up with. We still think that there's the initial
7
          MS. VASQUEZ: We do.
                                                          rebuttal things. As Your Honor will remember with
          THE COURT: Again, we're not getting
                                                        8 Mr. Bercovici, he said I'll testify in rebuttal to
9 reversible error in this case.
                                                        9 Mr. Frost and there was a comma and he said --
10
          MS. VASQUEZ: We understand, Your
                                                       10
                                                                 THE COURT: Oxford comma.
11 Honor.
                                                        11
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm not going
12
          THE COURT: I'll read the designations
                                                        12 backwards on that. I'm just saying that was
13 to the rule.
                                                        13 something that was designated.
                                                                 THE COURT: Well, he was just
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Just because they told 14
15 you that she was rebutting Dr. Moore's testimony,
                                                        15 designated as a rebuttal expert. I think this is
16 please, just read that.
                                                        16 apples and oranges, but I just -- I have never
17
          THE COURT: You're not offering any
                                                        17 seen, and I don't want to create reversible error,
18 expert opinion in reaction to or in rebuttal to
                                                        18 I have just never seen an expert rebut lay
19 Dr. Moore's opinion, correct? Correct.
                                                        19 testimony.
20.
                                                        20
         MS. MEYERS: Okay, so, Your Honor, I
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: Particularly when they
21 think --
                                                        21 have the opportunity when they designated --
22
         THE COURT: That's the deposition.
                                                       22
                                                                 THE COURT: And I even took the
                                                 7171
                                                                                                        7173
         MS. MEYERS: I understand that. Your
                                                        1 metadata out of it, so there's not even any
2 Honor, I think the point is, is that we identified
                                                        2 metadata in the evidence.
3 her -- what her testimony from her affirmative
                                                       3
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: I understand that, I
4 designations as potential rebuttal evidence, and
                                                        4 think, particularly with respect with Dr. Collins,
5 we could not have known whether Dr. Moore was
                                                       5 it's not so much that she's responding to -- not
6 coming in. Her testimony -- she's not rebutting
                                                        6 responding to lay testimony, she's providing an
7 Moore specifically, and she won't opine onto any
                                                       7 expert opinion to explain the factual evidence
8 of his things. But her testimony about the finger
                                                          that came in during the defense's case.
9 injury is explanatory or, you know, rebuts what
                                                                 THE COURT: That's a no for sure. So
10 Dr. Moore testified to.
                                                        10 if you want --
          THE COURT: That needs to be
11
                                                        11
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Your Honor, may we have
12 designated. I have to go by the designations,
                                                        12 until 1, since we have an argument at 12?
13 okay?
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay.
14
         MS. MEYERS: I understand.
                                                       14
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, we have all
15
         THE COURT: So that was clear. Now, if
                                                        15 our experts and we are releasing them all, I
16 you want to find me something, in the next hour,
                                                        16 mean --
                                                        17
                                                                 THE COURT: Excuse me. You're
17 on Mr. Neumeister, we'll take that up.
         MS. MEYERS: Well, I think the issue
                                                       18 releasing -- oh, you have Dr. Ackert here.
19 that we -- excuse me, the case law that you asked
                                                       19
                                                                 I can only give you until noon.
20 us to look for is whether an expert can be offered
                                                       20
                                                                 MR. CHEW: What's that, Your Honor?
21 to rebut factual evidence that didn't necessarily
                                                       21
                                                                 THE COURT: Yeah, I can only give you
22 come in through an expert witness.
                                                       22 until noon to see what you can find out, okay? I
```

	May 25, 2022
1 don't think there's going to be much there.	7176 1 that Mr. Waldman made.
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.	2 Do you remember that?
THE COURT: I'm not creating reversible	3 A Yes.
4 error. You have to understand this,	4 Q And Mr. Waldman is your attorney or was
5 MS. VASQUEZ: We do understand that.	5 your attorney?
6 The only point I'll make is that I don't	6 A Yes.
7 understand that how a party can designate a	7 MS. MEYERS: Could we, please, pull up
8 rebuttal witness	8 Defendant's Exhibit 1245.
9 THE COURT: Rebuttal expert.	9 And this is already in evidence, so
10 MS. VASQUEZ: A rebuttal expert witness	10 permission to, please, publish?
11 only to testify if the defense puts on an expert?	11 THE COURT: Yes.
12 THE COURT: That's what rebuttal	12 MS. MEYERS: If we could scroll down to
13 experts are. In Virginia.	13 the second page.
14 MS. VASQUEZ: I understand. But we'll	14 Q Mr. Depp, do you see the statement here
15 find the case law, I hope. Thank you, Your Honor.	15 attributed to Mr. Waldman?
16 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	16 A Yes, I do.
17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.	17 Q When's the first time that you saw this
18 (Open court.)	18 statement?
19 THE COURT: All right. Your next	19 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
20 witness. I'm sorry, let's get the jury first,	20 May we approach?
21 right?	21 THE COURT: All right.
22 Are we ready for the jury?	22 (Sidebar.)
7175	7177
1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor.	1 THE COURT: Is this going to
2 (Whereupon, the jury entered the	2 attorney-client?
3 courtroom and the following proceedings took	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: It sure is. Yep.
4 place.)	4 They refused to let him answer.
5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	5 MS. MEYERS: This question was never
6 Are we ready for the next witness?	6 answered never asked and it was never answered.
7 MS. MEYERS: Yes. We call Mr. Depp.	7 There was no attorney-client privilege asserted to
8 THE COURT: Okay.	8 this specific question. Never asked.
9 All right. Sir, just to remind you,	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: This question still
10 you're still under oath, okay?	10 goes into the argument of whether or not Mr. Depp
11 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am Thank you,	11 was had authorized Mr. Waldman, and they didn't
12 Your Honor.	12 let him answer any questions on that, so I think
13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you	13 even going to this is inappropriate.
14 JOHN C. DEPP, II,	MS. MEYERS: This question was never
being first duly sworn, was examined	15 asked, Your Honor, and certain questions, he did
16 and testified as follows:	16 answer. He answered when
17 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	17 THE COURT: I believe the Motion in
18 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	18 Limine was any question that he asserted
19 BY MS. MEYERS:	19 attorney-client privilege will not be elicited at
20 Q Good morning, Mr. Depp.	20 trial.
A Good morning. O We heard a lot about some statements	21 MR. ROTTENBORN: I think it goes 22 broader than that to the subject matter.
22 Q We heard a lot about some statements	ZZ Oroader man mar to the subject matter.

Conducted or	May 25, 2022
7178	7180
1 THE COURT: That's not what the Motion	1 you.
2 in Limine said, was it?	Q Mr. Depp, do you see the statement
MR. ROTTENBORN: No, I'm not	3 attributed to Mr. Waldman?
4 representing that. I'm saying my argument here is	A I do, indeed, yes.
5 that is shouldn't have to be phrased the exact	5 Q And when's the first time that you saw
6 same way for it to go to subject matter.	6 this statement?
7 THE COURT: They're not going to change	7 A This is the same. It's the
8 just an "and" or a "to," but it's a different	8 counterclaim, August 2020.
9 question. We can go from there. 10 MR. ROTTENBORN: All right.	9 Q After you saw these statements for the
	10 first time, did you form an understanding as to
	11 where they appeared?
12 attorney-client privilege for it. 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. I'll be at the	12 A I did – as to where they had appeared,
	13 these statements?
14 ready.	14 Q In what publication.
15 THE COURT: Okay.	15 A No. Off the bat, I didn't know
16 (Open court.) 17 MS. MEYERS: If we can have the exhibit	16 exactly. It just seemed like a lot of word salad
	17 to me. I didn't know where they'd come from – or
18 back up. Thank you. 19 BY MS, MEYERS:	18 I mean where they ended up. 19 Q Mr. Depp, do you recall Ms. Heard
1	T
20 Q Mr. Depp, when is the first time that	20 testifying that you did not assist her in getting
21 you saw this statement by Mr. Waldman? 22 A The first time that I ever saw this	21 her role in Aquaman? 22 A Yes, I do. Yes.
1 statement was in August – when the piece was,	7181 1 Q And what is your response to that?
2 the – when she – August 2020, when I was	2 A It's not — it's not exactly true.
3 countersued by Ms. Heard, is the first time that I	3 Q Do you know when Ms. Heard first
4 saw any of these statements.	4 auditioned for Aquaman?
5 MS. MEYERS: Can we, please, pull up	5 A Strangely, I know the date. Well, yes,
6 Defendant's Exhibit 1246.	6 I do know the date because I was scheduled with my
7 And this is also already in evidence.	7 band, the Hollywood Vampires. We had done two
8 THE COURT: All right.	8 shows at the Roxy, which is a place in
9 MS. MEYERS: Thank you.	9 Los Angeles, to rehearse for a we were invited
10 If we could scroll down to the second	10 to play at the Rock and Rio concert, which is a
11 page or the third, perhaps. Thank you.	11 huge rock and roll festival. So we did the two
12 Q Mr. Depp, do you see this statement	12 shows to go to Rio and play there. Ms. Heard had
13 that's attributed to Mr. Waldman here?	13 wanted to come with me, and Whitney, her sister,
14 A I do.	14 had come as well. While we were there, in Rio, we
15 Q And when is the first time that you saw	15 were rehearsing, getting ready for the show,
16 these statements?	16 Ms. Heard informed me that she would have to be
17 A Same. When the countersuit was filed.	17 going - she would have to get back to Los Angeles
18 MS. MEYERS: And could we, please, go	18 for an audition, meaning, basically, after our
19 to Defendant's Exhibit 1247.	19 two-hour show or whatever. We had to - we would
20 And, again, this is already in	20 have to get on the plane immediately to make it
21 evidence.	21 back to Los Angeles for this audition. And that
22 If we could scroll down, please. Thank	22 audition was at Warner Brothers, it was whatever

Conducted on May 25, 2022	
7182	7184
1 film it was.	1 MR. ROTTENBORN: Ms. Heard and Mr. Depp
2 Q And when were you performing at the	2 had brought dogs to Australia.
3 Rock and Rio?	THE COURT: Right, I understand that.
4 A I'm sorry. Yeah, I believe that was	4 MR. ROTTENBORN: But that, Your Honor,
5 the 24th of September.	5 has been kept out.
6 Q And what year?	6 MS. MEYERS: So, Your Honor, I assure
7 A That was '15.	7 you that he understands that he cannot
8 Q What do you understand happened after	8 MR. ROTTENBORN: He just said
9 Ms. Heard auditioned for Aquaman?	9 MS. MEYERS: Yes, he understands he
10 A After Ms. Heard's audition, or possibly	10 can't reference, like, the legal issue. He's
11 auditions for Warner Brothers, and, I suppose, the	11 going to say there's an issue, generally.
12 creative team, Ms. Heard expressed to me that the	MR. ROTTENBORN: He just testified that
13 film was going to be - Warner Brothers had said	13 there's a problem with her getting in Australia.
14 that the film was going to be shooting in	14 That goes over the line.
15 Australia. And Australia was a, for Ms. Heard,	15 MS. MEYERS: I don't believe that
16 that was a potential problem, which –	16 that's true, Your Honor. I understood Your
17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.	17 Honor's ruling. We tried to get in the fact of
18 May we approach?	18 her pleading guilty to the false form issue, and
19 THE COURT: Okay.	19 we understand that that's
20 (Sidebar.)	20 THE COURT: He's saying there were
21 THE COURT: Yes.	21 problems. What else is he going to say?
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: I don't know where	22 MS. MEYERS: He is just explaining that
7183 1 he's going to go with this testimony on the	1 because there was a problem, he was asked to
l	2 intercede with Warner Brothers.
2 problem, but if he's going to talk about the dog 3 issue and the visas in Australia, Your Honor has	3 THE COURT: He was asked?
4 already made a couple rulings, I believe, in this	4 MS. MEYERS: To intercede with Warner
5 case that that's not that's a collateral issue,	5 Brothers. I assure you that he's not going to
6 that's something that's not coming in.	6 touch the specific issue at all.
7 THE COURT: At the Motion in Limine, I	7 THE COURT: Intercede with Warner
8 said I wouldn't allow it in testimony, but then	8 Brothers? I have no idea what that means.
9 Ms. Bredehoft, in her opening statements, kind of	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: I have no idea what
10 threw everything out.	10 that means either. Even just saying there was a
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: About an Australia	11 problem, I think, runs afoul.
12 dog? I don't	12 THE COURT: I think saying there was a
13 THE COURT: About the dog poop in	13 problem is fine. We're not going into what the
14 Australia.	14 problems was or anything about Ms. Heard.
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, that's a different	15 MS. MEYERS: Yes, Your Honor.
16-dog.	16 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
17 THE COURT: Oh, that's different.	17 MR. ROTTENBORN: And then there's
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: She addressed the dog	18 okay. If he was asked to intercede
19 poop on the bed. Believe me, I wish that	19 THE COURT: With Warner Brothers.
20 hadn't	20 MR. ROTTENBORN: Who's he going to
21 THE COURT: Yes, okay. So you're just	21 testify he was asked by, other than Ms. Heard?
22 talking about the dog getting into Australia?	22 MS. MEYERS: Amber. He's just saying
ZZ mixing noon; no dog gotting into Australia:	1710, 1711 I LICO, TIMOOI, 110 3 Just saying

7188 1 that Ms. Heard - my understanding is he got this Q Mr. Depp, do you recall Ms. Heard 2 information from Ms. Heard, which wouldn't be 2 testifying that she saw you consume eight to ten 3 hearsay, as it's a statement of party opponent. MDMA pills at once while you were in Australia in MR. ROTTENBORN: Well, we can take it March of 2015? as it comes. A Yes, I do remember that. THE COURT: Take it one at a time. 6 Q How many --MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Appreciate it. 7 A I also remember her saying that I took 8 (Open court.) a handful. 9 BY MS. MEYERS: MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Beyond the Q I apologize, Mr. Depp. Could you 10 scope of the question. 11 please continue. A Sorry, that was extra. 11 12 What happened after Ms. Heard 12 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 13 auditioned for Aquaman? 13 Next question. A I was informed by Ms. Heard that the 14 Q How many times have you done MDMA in 15 film was going to be shooting in Australia. And 15 your life, Mr. Depp? 16 that was of concern to her and - because it was A Actually, not many. Not that many 17 of concern to Warner Brothers. So she asked if I 17 times. I would say, in my lifetime, maybe - in 18 would – because I had had a multi – for a few 18 my lifetime, MDMA, six, seven, maybe. 19 years, I had had a multi-film deal with Warner Q And how much MDMA have you done on 20 Brothers, and so we'd been in business together. 20 those occasions? 21 So I knew these people, I'd been in — on films A Not enough to properly - well, not 22 with them. So I - she asked me if I would speak 22 enough to properly experience the — what the 7187 7189 1 to them. I made a phone call and I spoke to — 1 chemicals are supposed to do to you. MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Hearsay, Q Have you ever consumed eight to 10 MDMA Your Honor. pills at once? MS. MEYERS: I don't believe he said A No, ma'am, no, I have not. anything yet. I think he was going to say who he 5 Q And why is that? spoke to. 6 A Because I'd be dead. I'm pretty sure THE COURT: All right. Let's see. 7 I'd be dead. I think one would die, yes. Overruled at this point. Probably rather quickly. Q Mr. Depp, I'd like to show you some A I spoke to three — the three upper 10 pictures from the home in Australia that Ms. Heard 10 echelon, Disney's executive – excuse me, Warner 11 testified about. 11 executives, Kevin Tsujihara, Sue Kroll, and Greg 12 Silverstein. And I told them that -12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Your 13 MS. MEYERS: Could we, please, pull up 14 Honor, hearsay. 14 Defendant's Exhibit 1817, which is already in THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain 15 evidence. 15 16 the objection. 16 Q Mr. Depp, do you recognize what's 17 Next question. 17 depicted in this photograph? Q What was the result of you speaking 18 A Yes, I do. That's the downstairs bar 19 with those individuals? 19 of the house we rented in Australia. 20 A Well, I can only say that ultimately Q And can you, please, show the jury 21 she did get the job in the film. So hopefully, I 21 where you were sitting when Ms. Heard threw the 22 two vodka bottles at you?

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

22 suppose, I had curbed the worries to some degree.

Conducted or	1 May 25, 2022
7190	7192
1 A If I touch this thing, will it make a	1 A This is behind that very bar.
2 mark?	2 Q And what do you see on the floor in
3 Q Yes, it will.	3 this picture?
4 A Okay. So this chair, that one, here,	4 A I see what looks, to me, like a – some
5 was over here, and it was in pretty much – when I	5 kind of napkin. It looks sullied, soiled, blood,
6 was turned around, toward – they were on swivel,	6 I don't know. And I see glass in the corner,
7 so when I was turned around toward the bar, they	7 blood, obviously, on the floor, and a towel
8 faced the bar. When I turn this way, this chair,	8 leaning up on some cab - something.
9 here, was in pretty much exactly this same	9 Q Do you know how that bloody tissue got
10 position as this chair. It was face - well, I	10 on the floor?
11 was facing Ms. Heard, who was - let's see. She	11 A My best guess —
12 was - if you're looking at the photograph, she	12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Calls for
13 would be about here (indicating).	13 speculation.
14 Q Could you draw a line in the direction	14 THE COURT: Sustained.
15 where Ms. Heard was, relative to where you were	15 Q Do you know how the blood got on the
16 sitting?	16 floor, Mr. Depp?
17 A Yes. Absolutely. So if I'm sitting	17 A I'm pretty – well, I know how the
18 here, she was over here, back here (indicating).	18 blood got on the floor. It came from my dripping
19 Q Approximately how far away from	19 finger. So that's why the tissue is – I'm
20 Ms. Heard from you was Ms. Heard, if you can	20 99.9 percent sure, since it is, it looks like it's
21 recall?	21 got blood on it as well, is what I held my
22 A I would say it was probably ten, 12,	22 finger — held my finger with.
7191	7193
1 15 feet, maybe. 10 feet, 12 feet.	1 Q Do you see the wall to the on the
2 Q And approximately where was your hand	2 left side of the photograph?
3 when the vodka bottle hit it?	3 A I do.
4 A It was leaning, my arm was – sorry, my	4 Q Was there a wall-mounted phone on that
5 arm was leaning on the marble bar, that was	5 wall?
6 imaginary, see this, leaning kind of just leaning	6 A On the left side of the photo? No, I
7 back and looking at Ms. Heard. She just walked	7 didn't – no. Not that I recall, no.
8 away with the second bottle. I mean, she walked	8 MS. MEYERS: Could we, please, pull up
9 this way when she threw the first bottle, which	9 Defendant's Exhibit 394, which is already in
10 is, actually, visible in the background, on the	10 evidence.
11 floor.	11 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
1	
13 bottle is?	13 message?
14 A Oh, excuse me. Yeah. All that is the	14 A I do.
15 exploded first bottle (indicating) that went past	15 Q And what is this message?
16 my that went past my head.	16 A It's a text to Dr. Kipper.
17 And the second bottle hit right up	17 Q And
18 here, where my hand is resting on the marble bar.	18 A I'm sorry, I'm just reading through.
MS. MEYERS: Can we, please, pull up	19 Q Sure.
20 Defendant's Exhibit 1820.	20 A Yeah, this is my text to the – to
21 Q Mr. Depp, do you recognize what's	21 Dr. Kipper, who had just happened to be in town,
22 depicted in this photograph?	22 telling him that I've had it and that I just lost
757 4.3 7977	P DEDOC

7194 a finger, fingertip. 1 crossed Mr. Depp, they impeached him multiple 12 Q How long after your finger had been 2 times with prior inconsistent statements about the injured did you send this text message, if you can loss of his fingers. They showed him multiple recall? text messages where they intimated that he was A It's hard to tell because looking at suggesting he had cut it off himself. There is a 6 the time stamp - because it's 3/7/2015, 5:00, but prior consistent statement. I know that, because of Australia time, it was the MR. ROTTENBORN: This is no different 8 from Ms. Heard's prior consistent statement that 8th, and it was probably -- this was -- the whole 9 thing lasted probably until about 2:00 p.m. or so, 9 we argued and Your Honor didn't let it in about 10 when -- that was when Kipper was called, Jerry was 10 the abuse and certain times when she told her 11 brought in, Jerry Judge, sorry, excuse me. 11 doctors that she had abused at certain times. 12 So do you have an estimate as to how 12 Your Honor will remember in the designation 13 long after your finger had actually been injured 13 argument on Dr. Kipper, Your Honor clearly -- Your 14 that you sent this message? 14 Honor limited this, and I understand the argument 15 A I don't think it was very long. I 15 is well, they've attacked this, so now we get to 16 think it was probably within the next -- I'm sure 16 use it. To be consistent, Your Honor, Your 17 it was in the next half hour or so. I would have 17 Honor's kept out Ms. Heard's reports to her 18 had to sneak into a bathroom, lock myself in to 18 medical providers of abuse. 19 type this out. 19 THE COURT: Well, you were putting your **1**20 O And how were you able to send this text 20 points in evidence. 21 message to Dr. Kipper in the state that you were 21 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm sorry? 22 in? 22 THE COURT: You were putting those 7195 7197 A Well, he wasn't available at the time, 1 points into evidence at that point. so you just sort of find your way through, don't MR. ROTTENBORN: No, even letting her you? 13 testify that she had told, like, contemporaneous, Q How long after sending this text consistent statements, consistent reports of abuse 5 message did you see Dr. Kipper? about -- after certain incidents, Your Honor has A I don't recall, but I think it took kept out. If Your Honor lets this in, tomorrow's 6 7 them probably 30 minutes or more; 30 to 40 minutes 17 examination of Ms. Heard goes way longer to get there. 8 because --9 Q And what did Dr. Kipper do when he THE COURT: You have two hours. 10 10 first arrived at the home? MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah, thank goodness. 11 A The first thing he wanted to do was MS. MEYERS: May I? 12 inspect the damage of my finger and try and figure 12 THE COURT: You're not getting it into 13 evidence, you're just asking him about it? 13 out exactly what had happened, how it happened. Q And what did you tell Dr. Kipper about 14 MS. MEYERS: Well, Your Honor, first of 15 how your finger had been injured? 15 all, I think that they tried to get Ms. Heard's MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor. 16 prior consistent statements in on her direct 16 17 testimony, and I believe on her -- on the 17 MS. MEYERS: May we approach? MR. ROTTENBORN: We've discussed this 18 redirect, you actually allowed her to say what she 18 19 several times. 19 told Nurse Boerum, under the theory that it was a 20 THE COURT: Okay. 20 prior consistent statement. This is the exact 21 (Sidebar.) 21 same principal. He's been impeached with a prior

PLANET DEPOS

22 inconsistent statement, and we're offering what he

22

MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, when they

```
7200
 told Dr. Kipper as a prior consistent statement.
                                                              A There were times when it was very
2
         THE COURT: Tomorrow, the roles will be
                                                          agreeable, very nice, and then there were times
3
  reversed.
                                                          when something had become dissatisfactory for her,
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Right.
                                                          and she would start the rant, the blooming of
         THE COURT: So I'll overrule the
                                                         the -- of a fight would be on deck there. And at
  objection.
                                                         one point, it didn't -- I don't remember it
7
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay.
                                                          lasting long at all. I just remember that I took
8
         THE COURT: You can handle it on
                                                          a pretty good shot to the face, to the eye, to
9 rebuttal.
                                                          somewhere up here (indicating), so I had a bit of
10
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. All right.
                                                       10 a shiner.
11
         THE COURT: Thanks.
                                                       11
                                                                 But the -- it all ended and then
12
         (Open court.)
                                                       12 everything got fine again. We'd go to dinner, and
13 BY MS. MEYERS:
                                                       13 it was all fine.
      Q Mr. Depp, when Dr. Kipper was treating
                                                              Q Did Ms. Heard ever apologize to you for
15 your finger, what did you tell him about how your
                                                       15 giving you the shiner?
16 finger became injured?
                                                       16
                                                              A I don't recall.
      A I told him that there was obviously -
                                                       17
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: Can we, please, pull up
18 I mean, when you saw the damage in the house and
                                                       18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 162, which is already in
                                                       19 evidence.
19 the blood everywhere, I mean, obviously, there's
20 serious damage done. There would be no point in
                                                              Q Mr. Depp, do you recognize this
21 lying to the man. He'd been through it with me
                                                       21 photograph?
22 and Ms. Heard before. I told him that she had
                                                       22
                                                              A I do. It was with the - yes, the chef
                                                                                                       7201
                                               , 7199
1 thrown a bottle of vodka and smashed my — or
                                                       1 and the maître d' and the staff were asking if
                                                          they could take a photo with us, and they'd been
  smashed and cut my finger off, the tip of my
  finger, just the - a good chunk. I miss it.
                                                          very kind and given us a private dinner car.
      Q Mr. Depp, you heard Ms. Heard testify
                                                              Q So where was this photograph taken?
  about an alleged incident of abuse on your
                                                              A That was in the - that looks like -
  honeymoon.
                                                         yes, that's toward the back of the Orient Express,
                                                       7 that's in the back train, bar compartment. And
         Do you remember that?
                                                       8 just out back, you could smoke on the sort of
8
      A I remember her testifying to that, yes.
      Q And when did you and Ms. Heard go on
                                                          caboose or whatever.
                                                              Q And what, if any, injuries do you have
                                                       10
10 your honeymoon together?
      A I believe it was somewhere in the
                                                       11 in this photograph?
12 neighborhood of August, because I had just
                                                       12
                                                              A I think the eye's a little bit bugged
                                                       13 out, if you will. Yeah.
13 finished the film, maybe end of July, August. I'm
                                                              Q How did that happen?
                                                       14
14 not quite good on the exact date.
15
                                                       15
                                                              A These things could happen very quickly
      Q Do you recall the year?
                                                       16 if you disagreed.
16
      A It was 2015, I believe.
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
          And where did you and Ms. Heard go on
                                                       17
17
18 your honeymoon?
                                                       18 Nonresponsive. She just asked how did that
                                                       19 happen.
      A We took the Orient Express from
                                                       20
                                                                 THE COURT: All right.
20 Bangkok, Thailand to Singapore.
                                                       21
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: I believe he was about to
      Q And what happened while you and
22 Ms. Heard were together on the Orient Express?
                                                       22 explain.
```

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7202	7204
1 THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the	1 Q Mr. Depp, what, if any, injuries do you
2 objection.	2 see on your face in this photograph?
3 Go ahead.	3 A I see pretty much the same. I see that
4 Q Mr. Depp, specifically, how did the	4 the area in here has been well, is swollen
5 injury in this photograph occur?	5 and yeah, there's a bit of a shiner there.
6 A Ms. Heard hit me. Is that better?	6 MS. MEYERS: Thank you. We can take
7 Q Does this picture accurately reflect	7 this down.
8 what you looked like on that date?	8 Q Mr. Depp, do you recall Ms. Heard
9 A I don't look at myself much, but it	9 testifying that she punched you in the staircase
10 certainly looks like me with a black eye, yeah.	10 incident because she thought of Kate Moss and the
11 Q Does this picture appear to have been	11 stairs?
12 photographed Photoshopped in any way?	12 A Do I remember her saying that?
13 A No. No. Think it would be difficult	13 Q Yes.
14 to photograph – or to start getting into sort of	14 A Yes, I do. Three times. Yes, I do.
15 digital processing with a number of people in the	15 Q Do you have any understanding as to
16 shot, especially in a wide shot.	16 what Ms. Heard was referring to?
MS. MEYERS: Could we, please, pull up	17 A Yes, I do.
18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1301, and this is a new one,	18 Q And
19 Your Honor, so this is not in evidence.	19 A As Kate Moss, Kate testified, it was
20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	20 many, many years ago, and exactly what happened is
21 Q Mr. Depp, do you recognize what's	21 what she said happened.
22 reflected in this photograph?	22 I recall speaking with Ms. Heard about
7203	7205
1 A Yes. This is the staff, it's the	1 that very incident because of the down-pouring of
2 manager and his staff at the Raffles hotel in	2 rain because it was raining very heavily that day
3 Singapore. Before we left, they asked if they	3 that Kate slipped. And I recalled the story to
4 could take a photograph with us.	4 her –
5 Q And when was this photograph taken?	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
6 A Well, that would have been - we were	6 Hearsay.
7 off the Orient Express. We stayed in Raffles, I	7 MS. MEYERS: May we approach, Your
8 believe, a couple of days, a few days. And then	8 Honor?
9 from there, we flew to San Francisco.	9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 Q So this photograph was taken after the	10 (Sidebar.)
11 photograph we just looked at?	MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, this goes to
12 A This photograph was taken after the	12 Ms. Heard's knowledge. It's not being offered for
13 photograph in the dining car of the train, yes.	13 the truth, but the fact that he told it to her.
14 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I'd move	14 And she testified that she I mean, she implied
15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1301 into evidence.	15 very strongly that Mr. Depp had thrown Ms. Moss
16 THE COURT: Any objection?	16 down the stairs, and if she knew that that was not
17 A Oh, happy honeymoon. 31st of October.	17 true, that's certainly relevant to assessing her
18 THE COURT: Any objection?	18 credibility.
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: No objection, Your	MR. ROTTENBORN: She didn't imply that
20 Honor.	20 at all. You just saw the testimony this morning.
21 THE COURT: All right. 1301 in is	21 She said she heard rumors that that happened and
22 evidence and can be published to the jury.	22 that went through her head.
22 0.1001100 and oan oo paomined to the july.	and the day of the state of the

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
, 7206	7208
1 THE COURT: I'll overrule the	1 ever that's the whole story.
2 objection.	2 But then the rumor of it, I'd never
3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay.	3 heard a rumor of that before Ms. Heard grabbed
4 (Open court.)	4 hold of it. Like that. I'm sorry.
5 Q Please, continue, Mr. Depp, what did	5 Q Mr. Depp, we heard testimony from
6 you tell Ms. Heard about staircase or Kate	6 Ms. Heard's sister, Whitney during this trial.
7 Moss?	7 Do you remember that?
8 A I'll make it easy for Mr. Rottenborn.	8 A Yes.
9 Ms. Heard took the story and turned it	9 Q And how would you describe your
10 into a very ugly incident, all in her mind.	10 relationship with Whitney when you were in a
11 There was never a moment where I pushed	11 relationship with Ms. Heard?
12 Kate down any set of stairs. Yet, she's skewed	12 A I liked Whitney very much. Initially,
13 this three times before.	13 I mean, when I first met her, I liked her very
MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.	14 much, and grew to love Whitney, very much.
15 Ms. Heard simply testified she heard a rumor, and	15 Because I was – it seemed – Whitney, Amber's
16 that's nonresponsive to the question.	16 sister Whitney, seemed to always get the sort of
17 THE WITNESS: What's the rumor?	17 dirty end of the stick, and I felt bad for her,
18 THE COURT: Sir, hold on. There's an	18 for that. Because it wasn't new. It had been
19 objection.	19 there for life. And that was - seemed pretty
20 THE WITNESS: Sorry.	20 obvious.
21 THE COURT: I'll overrule the	21 So I took to Whitney very, very
22 objection.	22 quickly, very easily. She was a very sweet kid.
7207	7209
1 MR. ROTTENBORN: Misstates the facts in	1 She was wonderful.
2 evidence.	2 Q What do you mean that Whitney got the
3 THE COURT: I'll overrule the	3 dirty end of the stick?
4 objection.	4 A It was kind of a strange combination of
5 A Sorry, I was drawn by Mr. Rottenborn's	5 loving sister, trusted sister and friend, and
6 voice. What would you like?	6 then, lackey. And then, you know, either the
7 Q So, what, specifically, had you	7 punching bag or the dart board, or the recipient
8 actually told Ms. Heard about the incident with	8 of some rather demeaning and ugly words. Or she
9 Ms. Moss and the stairs?	9 would have wine thrown in her face.
10 A Very simply that she had we were in	10 Q And who was the source of those
11 Jamaica, I had left our bungalow about	11 demeaning words and the wine that you just
12 three minutes prior to her, I was standing	12 referenced?
13 outside, and suddenly rain starts just coming down	13 A Oh, it would be Amber Heard, her
14 like it's, you know, a monsoon, and then I	14 sister.
15 remembered looking and seeing Kate coming out the	15 Q And how do you know that?
16 door, and there were three little wooden stairs.	16 A Well, I witnessed quite a lot of it.
17 And she slipped, her legs went up (indicating),	17 The wine in the face was something that happened
18 and she landed directly on her coccyx, on her	18 in New York, which I think that even made it into
19 lower back, and she was obviously physically in	19 the papers. I believe that even made it into the
20 pain. She was hurt, she was crying. So I ran	20 papers. It was in an elevator.
21 over and grabbed her to make sure she was all	21 Q How did you first learn about that
22 right. That's it. That's the that's all I	22 incident?

	May 25, 2022
7210	7212
A Ms. Heard told me, in detail.	1 Whitney around, do you mean physically push her or
Q What else did you observe of Ms. Heard	2 metaphorically? 3 A Both.
and her sister Whitney's interactions during your	-
4 relationship with Ms. Heard?	4 Q You heard Whitney testify that she
5 A They were just constantly up and down.	5 lived in penthouse 4 at the Eastern Columbia 6 Building for a time, correct?
6 But I, you know, I could sense, I could feel that	1
7 Whitney was trying to please her sister, trying to	
8 be up to snuff, and it just seemed like she got	1
9 shot down. 10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.	l -
11 This has gone beyond the scope of the question and	1
	11 came to stay at the Eastern Columbia Building, in
12 his foundation for knowledge of that.	12 penthouse 4, was she and her boyfriend, Sean, had
13 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I asked what	13 broken up and she needed a place to go. And so,
14 he observed, you know, between them. I think this	14 Amber asked if she could stay in penthouse 4, and
15 is responsive to that. 16 MR. ROTTENBORN: And his testimony as	15 I said, well, of course she — of course, you 16 know.
	li -
17 to what Whitney felt is 18 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.	17 Q How long did Whitney live in penthouse 18 4?
	19 A It was well over a year, on and off.
-	l
· ·	20 Q Did you ever ask Whitney to move out of 21 penthouse 4?
21 Q Did you ever see Ms. Heard physically	l -
22 attack Whitney?	22 A No, I did not. No.
7211 1 A No, I've never seen any full-on	7213 1 Q Why did Whitney ultimately move out of
2 blowouts, physical blowouts between them. Tons of	2 penthouse 4?
3 verbal blowouts.	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection.
4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Beyond the	4 Foundation.
5 scope.	MS. MEYERS: It's his apartment, Your
6 THE COURT: Overruled.	6 Honor. He was living there.
7 A I've certainly seen Ms. Heard grab	7 THE COURT: Overruled.
8 Whitney, push her, push her around. There were a	8 A Whitney moved out of penthouse 4 long
9 number of number. There were half a dozen	9 before the marriage. And it was due to an
10 times when we some of us, whoever was in the	10 argument that Ms. Heard and Whitney had had, which
11 general vicinity, would have to leave. This is at	11 had to do with Whitney working at the Art of
12 Orange, when Whitney and Amber were living at	12 Elysium with Jennifer Howell and those people.
13 Orange.	13 And Amber asked her to leave, get out.
14 Whitney and her boyfriend, at the time,	14 Q Where did Whitney live when she moved
15 Sean Krasinski, we actually had to leave the	15 out of penthouse 4?
16 apartment	16 A My understanding, she went to live with
17 Q Why was that?	17 Jennifer Howell.
18 A and wait in the car while they	18 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I know you
19 fought.	19 anticipated having a motion at noon.
20 Q When you say "fought," do you mean	20 THE COURT: You can keep going. That's
21 A Physical, physical.	21 fine. We can keep going. How much longer on
22 Q When you said Ms. Heard would push	22 direct do you have?

```
7214
                                                                                                        7216
                                                        1 question, I have no objection to it. But if they
1
         MS. MEYERS: I have a bit.
2
         THE COURT: Okay. That's okay.
                                                        2 try to go beyond that, I think it runs afoul of
13
      Q Mr. Depp, do you recall hearing
                                                          the motion.
  testimony during Ms. Heard's case from Mr. Mandel?
                                                        4
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: My question is, what is
                                                        5
                                                          your response to that?
5
      A Yes, I do.
6
      Q And who is that?
                                                        6
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's fine. I have
      A Mr. Mandel is my former business
                                                          no objection.
                                                        8
                                                                 (Open court.)
8 manager of 17 1/2 years, who, at a certain point,
                                                       9 BY MS. MEYERS:
9 I discovered had been embezzling quite a lot of
                                                              Q Mr. Depp, just to remind you, my
10 money, so I had to take action against him, and he
                                                       110
11 and my lawyers, 17 1/2 years, as they were in
                                                        11 question was, what is your response to
                                                        12 Mr. Mandel's testimony that you do not spend very
12 cahoots, as it were, and, so, yes, Joel Mandel is
                                                        13 much on charity?
13 a - and in which they settled their case with me.
14 They made their settlement.
                                                              A My response is that Mr. Mandel is a
15
         But, yes, it was the - that was a
                                                        15 very bitter man. And one thing about me, myself,
16 very – yeah, Joel Mandel is a very bitter man who
                                                       16 personally, with regard to charity donations,
17 ended up with a lot of money that I worked hard
                                                        17 sending money to a charity, I'd prefer — I
18 for over the years.
                                                        18 don't - I would rather that my name were not on
      Q Do you recall Mr. Mandel testifying in
                                                        19 it. I don't want the name to be the important
20 this case that you do not spend very much money on
                                                       20 thing or the thing that people talk about. So
                                                        21 when I donate money, I donate without my name
21 charity?
                                                        22 being involved because I don't see that that's
22
      A That I don't, sorry?
      Q That you do not spend very much money
                                                        1 important, my name being there, in terms of money.
  on charity?
                                                                 Now, if I am able to visit hospitals,
          MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
3
                                                       3 or if I'm able to meet with Make-A-Wish children,
                                                        4 I've held onto the relationships that I've held
          THE COURT: Do you want to approach?
          MR. ROTTENBORN: May we approach?
                                                        5 onto within the Make-A-Wish Foundation and the
         THE COURT: Okay.
                                                        6 Children's Hospital and the various, various other
          (Sidebar.)
                                                          places, then, obviously, my name is involved.
          MR. ROTTENBORN: This was covered in
                                                                 When we held premieres in Lester Square
9 the Motions in Limine.
                                                        9 for several films of Charlie and the Chocolate
10
          MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, they put in
                                                        10 Factory --
11 testimony in their case-in-chief from Mr. Mandel
                                                        11
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
12 where he said Mr. Depp does not spend very much
                                                        12 This is, again, beyond the scope of his response
13 money on charity. I believe that opens the door
                                                        13 to Mr. Mandel's testimony.
14 for him to rebut that.
                                                        14
                                                                 MS. MEYERS: I believe this is in
15
         MR. ROTTENBORN: I have no objection to
                                                        15 response to Mr. Mandel.
16 that. I don't remember that testimony. But I'm
                                                                 THE COURT: Overrule the objection.
                                                        16
17 not doubting her. But if that came in, I have no
                                                        17
                                                              A Basically, when it was a public, let's
18 objection to that limited thing. But if he's
                                                        18 call it a donation or whatever, I would talk to
19 going to talk about some, you know --
                                                        19 the studio. I would talk to Disney, I would talk
          THE COURT: Is that the only question
20
                                                        20 to Warner Brothers, I would talk to whoever the
21 on this?
                                                        21 studio was well before the premiere and make the
```

22 premiere a benefit that would -- once we did, we

22

MR. ROTTENBORN: If that's the only

7221

1 benefited -- we did a benefit premiere for Great 2 Omond Street Hospital. We did a couple of benefit premieres for the Make-A-Wish Foundation. I mean, if you can turn a premiere with that many thousands and thousands and thousands of 6 people there into a benefit, it works and it helps. But it wasn't presented under my name, you 8 know. It was Disney's doing this or Warner 9 Brothers is doing this. I'm not looking for the 10 pat on the back, as it were. If I can make it 11 happen, great. But I don't need the pat on the 12 back. I don't need the adulation. I don't need 13 the attention. Q Did you hear Ms. Heard testify that one 15 of the charities she donated a portion of your 16 divorce settlement to was the Children's Hospital 17 of Los Angeles? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What is your relationship with the 20 CHLA? MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor. 22 Irrelevant to the issues.

I direct, though. 2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understood. But the statement where Ms. Meyers is referring to, I believe came in on Ms. Vasquez's cross. I'm not going to say I'm a hundred percent certain of that, but I believe it did. Number two, this is kind of what we've been afraid of this whole time, which is they're going to talk about him going into the CHLA in 10 pirate costumes, and you've heard Mr. Chew talk 11 about how she doesn't care about sick kids and all 12 that nonsense. It's totally unrelated. They're 13 going to try to get to, you know, have him to say 14 that he marches around in a pirate costume. 15 That's so far beyond the scope of rebuttal. THE COURT: I haven't heard any of that 17 testimony yet. There was evidence in your 18 examination about these issues. I'll allow. 19 MR. ROTTENBORN: There's evidence about 20 what? Her donating to the CHLA. THE COURT: She's saying that when he 22 made his donation in the area that's how it was

7219 MS. MEYERS: May we approach, Your 2 Honor. 13 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 4 (Sidebar.) MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, this is a 6 different rebuttal point. This is -- Mr. Depp had 7 a previous relationship with the CHLA when 8 Ms. Heard selected that as one of the places to 9 make a donation, and I think we saw her statement 10 about the donation, when he donated the money to 11 the CHLA, she said this was a newfound interest in 12 the charity. MR. ROTTENBORN: That's really an 14 attenuated attempt to rebuttal. It's not 15 relevant. 16 THE COURT: Well, she testified. 17 MR. ROTTENBORN: It's not relevant, and 18 also -- they brought that out on cross-examination 19 of her, first of all. They brought out that 20 statement, didn't they? I believe it was during a

MS. MEYERS: The CHLA came in on her

21 cross of Ms. Heard.

22

MR. ROTTENBORN: I mean, I guess I don't have -- I guess if it's going to be like, hey, I gave to the CHLA in the past, that's fine. 5 But if he's going to be talking about walking around in the pirate costumes and what a great guy 7 he is with respect to CHLA, I think that goes 8 beyond the scope of any sort of impeachment. MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I would also 10 note that in Ms. Heard's affirmative testimony, 11 she did testify about, in her youth, volunteering 12 at soup kitchens. This is really -- if they're 13 going to bring in this to bolster her character --14 THE COURT: That's not allowed. 15 MS. MEYERS: I understand. 16 THE COURT: I'll allow that question. 17 MS. MEYERS: Okay. Understood. 18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. 19 BY MS, MEYERS: 20 Q Mr. Depp, what is your relationship 21 with the CHLA? 22 A I've had a relationship with the CHLA

1 made, something along those lines.

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7222	7224
1 for probably 20 years or so.	1 exactly six years prior to this week, the week of
2 Q And what's the nature of that	2 May 21st, through May 27th, 2016.
3 relationship?	What happened at the beginning of that
A Well, since, you know, sometimes there	4 week?
5 are Make-A-Wish kids who are in the hospital	5 A May 21st?
6 there, and their wish is to –	6 Q Excuse me, May 20th.
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.	,
8 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, may we	8 Q Yes.
9 approach?	9 A May 20th, the afternoon of May 20th,
10 THE COURT: Okay. Sure.	10 afternoon/evening, my mom made her exit. She
11 (Sidebar.)	11 she'd been fighting cancer numerous times, and for
12 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor.	12 many years, and she fought all the way to the end.
13 MR. ROTTENBORN: This is why I	13 And, so, my mother passed away on the 20th of May.
MS. MEYERS: This is his relationship	14 I which does bring instant
15 with the CHLA. I mean this is how	15 perspective into one's mind.
16 THE COURT: You can ask limited	16 I spoke to Amber that night, called her
17 questions. That was the rebuttal part.	17 on the telephone, explained to her that my mom had
18 MS. MEYERS: Okay.	18 passed, that Betty Sue had passed, and that I felt
19 THE COURT: 20 years. Now let's move	19 that the best thing we could do was to -
20 on.	20 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's kind of the	21 Hearsay. What Mr. Depp told Ms. Heard.
22 problem with the limited question with him, is she	22 MS. MEYERS: We can move on, Your
7223	7225
1 can say what happened, and we all know where his	1 Honor.
2 testimony is going.	2 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, ma'am.
3 THE COURT: We're working on one	3 Q Mr. Depp, what happened at the end of
4 question at a time.	4 that week, on May 27th, 2016?
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: All right.	5 A May 27th, my daughter's birthday.
6 MS. MEYERS: If I may.	6 May 27th, I was not in Los Angeles. I was on the
7 THE COURT: Okay.	7 way to on tour. That was when Ms. Heard went for
8 MS. MEYERS: In anticipation.	8 the restraining order. And, oh, yeah, also that
9 THE COURT: I appreciate that.	9 was the day that Alice, Alice Through the Looking
MS. MEYERS: I was going to ask whether	10 Glass, a film I had done, was opening.
11 Ms. Heard knew about that, the relationship with	11 Q Did Ms. Heard know that you were out of
12 the CHLA.	12 town on May 27th?
MR. ROTTENBORN: Irrelevant.	13 A Yes.
14 THE COURT: What would be the relevance	14 Q How would she have known that?
15 of it?	15 A Well, I told her I was going on tour.
MS. MEYERS: She testified he was not	16 I mean, that was well established.
17 charitable.	17 Q How long were you going to be out of
18 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection	18 town on that tour?
19 at this time, okay?	19 A Two to three months.
20 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Thank you.	20 Q And did Ms. Heard know how long you'd
21 BY MS. MEYERS:	21 be out of town?
22 Q Mr. Depp, I'd like to take you back to	22 A I don't know if she knew exactly how

```
7226
                                                                                                      7228
                                                       1 case, Your Honor. I think we've made it very
1 long I'd be out of town, but it was a pretty
2 extensive tour of Europe.
                                                       2
                                                         clear.
                                                       3
3
      O How did Ms. Heard's actions on
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: -- liable for
4 May 27th, 2016, affect you?
                                                         traditionally immune statements that were made in
5
      A Changed everything.
                                                         2016.
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                      6
                                                                MS. MEYERS: I think we've made it very
6
                                                       7 clear that the op-ed that is at issue in this case
7 Relevance ---
8
         THE WITNESS: Oh, it didn't change
                                                       8 is -- the defamatory statements are understood in
9 everything?
                                                         the context of what happened in May of 2016.
10
         MR. ROTTENBORN: - to this lawsuit.
                                                       10
                                                                THE COURT: You need to get to
         THE COURT: Sir, if you could wait
                                                       11 relevance. The objection is relevance.
11
12 until the objection, please.
                                                       12
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: Right. Because this
         THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
13
                                                       13 case is about the statements made in 2018, and
         MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, this is one of
14
                                                       14 it's not a republication case. The jury cannot
15 the key --
                                                       15 find Ms. Heard liable for statements she made in
16
         THE COURT: If you want to approach.
                                                       162016, and that's exactly what Ms. Meyers is trying
17
         (Sidebar.)
                                                       17 to get the jury to do through this testimony. So,
18
         MR. ROTTENBORN: How -- they're trying
                                                       18 therefore, how did it affect you is irrelevant.
19 to bootstrap what she said on May 27th into the
                                                       19 Yeah.
20 lawsuit. How what she did on May 27th affected
                                                       20
                                                                MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I think that
21 him? That's not relevant.
                                                       21 we've been very clear that what happened in May of
22
         MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, this is one of
                                                       22 2016, when she made these statements public for
                                                 7227
1 the key dates in this. And this is the date that
                                                       1 the first time, color how the op-ed was understood
2 the allegations essentially came out and the
                                                      2 by people. And I think that what happened on that
                                                       3
                                                        date --
3 allegations that we contend were republished in
4 the op-ed that she published in 2018. That op-ed
                                                       4
                                                                THE COURT: The statements made in 2018
5 is understood against the backdrop on what
                                                         in context with 2016?
6 happened on May 27th.
                                                       6
                                                                MS. MEYERS: Well, our contention is
         MR. ROTTENBORN: This isn't a
                                                         that people understood the statements in the op-ed
8 republication case, except their theory is that
                                                         to be about Mr. Depp and to imply that he had been
9 the tweet is republication, but 2018, this is not
                                                         physically abusive because there had been a media
10 a republication of 2016. That's not what this
                                                       10 circus around Ms. Heard's walking into court in
11 case is about. That's not the theory of the case.
                                                       11 May of 2016.
12 The law doesn't support that. That's not what the
                                                       12
                                                                THE COURT: Right. You can ask those
13 case is about.
                                                       13 questions. That's not the question you just
14
         MS. MEYERS: It's her repeating what we
                                                       14 asked. So I'll sustain the question as to that.
15 contend are false statements she first made
                                                       15
                                                                MS. MEYERS: Okav.
16 two years prior to.
                                                       16
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: I'll also ask that
17
         MR. ROTTENBORN: That's exactly the
                                                       17 Your Honor consider -- I don't want say it in open
18 point I was trying to make when I walked up here.
                                                       18 court, for obvious reasons, but admonishing
19 That's what they're trying to do, and that's
                                                       19 Mr. Depp the next time he makes a --
20 inappropriate. They're trying to get the jury to
                                                       20
                                                                THE COURT: I just did.
21 hold him --
                                                       21
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: Oh, okay. I didn't
                                                      22 hear that.
22
         MS. MEYERS: That's our theory of the
```

7232 THE COURT: I did. 1 anything on your way out. Thank you. MR. ROTTENBORN: I didn't want to say (Whereupon, the jury exited the that. Thank you. courtroom and the following proceedings took (Open court.) place.) 5 BY MS. MEYERS: THE COURT: All right. Sir, just a Q Mr. Depp, what has it been like for you 6 reminder that since you are back on the stand, do to listen to Ms. Heard's testimony at this trial? not discuss this case with anybody, to include A I'm sorry? your attorneys at this point. 9 Q What has it been like for you to listen THE WITNESS: Sure. Thank you, Your 10 to Ms. Heard's testimony at this trial? 10 Honor. MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Relevance, 11 THE COURT: If you can have a seat back 12 Your Honor. 12 there. We do have some other issues to take care 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 of. 14 A Insane. It's insane to hear beinous 14 Before we take care of the third-party 15 accusations of violence, sexual violence that 15 motion, can I have attorneys come forward on our 16 she's attributed to me, that she's accused me of. 16 other issue. 17 17 I don't think anyone enjoys having to split (Sidebar.) 18 themselves open and tell the truth, but there are THE COURT: All right. Did you do 18 19 times when one just simply has to because it's 19 research? I want to know where you're at, at this 20 gotten out of control. It - horrible. 20 point. So in rebuttal, designated rebuttal 21 Ridiculous, humiliating, ludicrous, painful, 21 evidence -- rebuttal expert in this matter, not 22 savage, unimaginably brutal, cruel, and all false. 22 just to rebut certain expert but also all of the 7231 7233 1 All false. 1 designations from the case-in-chief -- in the I want - no human being's perfect, 2 case-in-chief were also incorporated. I 3 certainly not. None of us. But I have never, in 3 understand that. 4 my life, committed sexual battery, physical abuse, The difference I see with Ms. Heard's 5 expert on the police policy was that that was just 5 all these outlandish, outrageous stories of me 6 committing these things, and living with it for 6 a rebuttal expert designated just to rebut a 7 six years and waiting to be able to bring the 7 certain expert who did not testify. And I know 8 truth out. 8 you had an argument with that Oxford comma. I 9 just don't agree with the Oxford comma. That's So this is not easy for any of us. I 10 know that. But no matter what happens, I did get 10 where we are. 11 here, and I did tell the truth, and I have spoken 11 Looking at this matter, an expert, 12 up for what I've been carrying on my back, 12 rebuttal expert cannot testify just to a lay 13 reluctantly, for six years. 13 testimony as to witnesses; however, in this case, 14 MS. MEYERS: Thank you. I have no 14 which I wanted to look into when you brought it 15 further questions. 15 up, is that we're talking about photographs and THE COURT: All right. Did you want to 16 16 not testimony that came into evidence, and that 17 take a lunch at this point? Okay. All right. 17 the expert should be able to opine as to those, to 18 Let's do that. 18 rebut those particular photographs that are in

> |22 | |PLANET DEPOS

21

20 where I'm at,

19 evidence as to their authenticity. So that's

MR. MURPHY: May I be heard on that?

THE COURT: Just wanted to let you know

19

Ladies and gentlemen, let's go ahead

20 and take lunch at this time. Do not discuss this

21 case with anybody, and do not do any outside

22 research, okay? Thank you. And don't break

7237

```
1 had to object to them as inauthentic at the time,
  where I was.
         MR. MURPHY: Absolutely, Your Honor. I
                                                         Your Honor. One of the things is we have asked
                                                         through discovery and our request for admissions
  did research over the past hour.
                                                      4 is that they identify any that they claim were not
         THE COURT: I think we all have.
         MR. MURPHY: Yes. And what the cases
                                                        authentic, and they did not do that. And then
6 say, Your Honor, is that exactly what Your Honor
                                                      6 when we moved the admission of them, there was no
                                                         objection, whatsoever, other than the metadata,
7 was saying before, the rebuttal expert is here to
8 testify to an expert opinion. I've got four cases
                                                         which came out.
                                                      9
9 holding that backwards, forwards, left and right.
                                                                THE COURT: How come they --
10
         THE COURT: Right. Okay.
                                                      10
                                                                MR. MURPHY: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
11
         MR. MURPHY: So this first one, which
                                                      11
                                                                MS. BREDEHOFT: So, how can they now
                                                      12 say, oh, these unobjected to photographs, we're
12 is Middle Northern District of California, 1985,
13 defines a supplemental rebuttal cannot --
                                                      13 going to object to them now and say they're not
         THE COURT: We can view cases -- I
                                                      14 authentic. I don't know how they can do that.
                                                      15 And they didn't identify them. David's got a lot
15 found cases in Virginia.
                                                      16 on the different arguments for Neumeister separate
         MR. MURPHY: I wasn't able to find
17 anything in Virginia.
                                                      17 than that, but I don't know how that -- why your
                                                      18 ruling should change, Your Honor, and let him come
18
         THE COURT: I did -- well, Sammy did,
19 I'm sorry. I apologize. I like to incorporate
                                                      19 in to rebut what nobody --
20 Sammy into my findings.
                                                      20
                                                                THE COURT: I still stand --
                                                      21
                                                                MS. BREDEHOFT: -- challenged.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: There is one point
                                                                THE COURT: -- that a rebuttal witness
22 here. None of those photographs were objected to
                                                      22
                                                7235
1 when they came into evidence. They call came into
                                                      1 can't come in to rebut lay testimony, unless
  evidence, authenticated and came in.
                                                         somebody gives a medical opinion.
Ì
         THE COURT: But --
                                                      3
                                                                MS. BREDEHOFT: Right.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: What are they
                                                                THE COURT: Right. My knee is sore,
5 rebutting? Are they now challenging to what was
                                                        and an expert in medmal case can come in and say,
                                                         well, no, actually the knee should be whatever,
  not objected to?
         THE COURT: No, they're saying they
                                                         so --
                                                      8
  can't do metadata.
                                                                MS. BREDEHOFT: Right. My point here
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Well, Your Honor didn't
                                                      9 is that he's not rebutting anything because nobody
                                                       10 challenged it. These were unobjected to. All the
10 let in the metadata.
11
         THE COURT: I understand that,
                                                       11 photographs came in unobjected to after the
12
         MS. BREDEHOFT: But the photographs --
                                                      12 metadata came out.
13
         THE COURT: I'm sorry. I let in the
                                                                THE COURT: Well, you don't -- rebuttal
14 dates, and she said this is when it happened.
                                                      14 testimony doesn't have to be challenged. To bring
15 These are different photographs. I mean...
                                                      15 in rebuttal testimony, you don't have to object to
         MS. BREDEHOFT: But nobody objected to
                                                       16 everything. They're rebutting your evidence.
16
17 them when they came in, so ... .
                                                                MS. BREDEHOFT: If they didn't object
         THE COURT: I don't think you have to
                                                       18 to it, I don't think they deserve to be able to
19 object to them. Now they're in evidence, so an
                                                      19 object.
20 expert can opine as to an issue that will help the
                                                                THE COURT: You're saying if you don't
```

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

MS. BREDEHOFT: I think they would have

21 jury.

22

21 object, you can't bring any rebuttal testimony in?

MS. BREDEHOFT: To challenge something

7238 7240 1 you didn't object to. 1 evaluated Greer at the request of his counsel. We 2 find no merit to Greer's contention that the trial MR. MURPHY: Essentially, Your Honor, I 12 3 understand it as evidence requires authenticity 3 court erred in allowing this testimony. The 4 under Rule 9, whatever it is to be admitted, it 4 evidence was relevant because it provided 5 was admitted, therefore, it's been authenticated; 5 background information about the experts and 6 therefore, the authenticity is not an issue, is 6 showed how they became involved in the case. So, 7 what I understand Ms. Bredehoft to be arguing. 7 there, Your Honor, we have Virginia Supreme Court THE COURT: That's the weight of it, 8 saying it was not error to allow experts in 9 right? They can argue the weight of anything in 9 rebuttal that had not been called in the 10 evidence. 10 case-in-chief. 11 MR. MURPHY: But that returns to the 11 MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, what I see 12 overall point. Granted I'm not looking at 12 here is this is talking about relevance. We're 13 Virginia case, Your Honor has. Time and time 13 talking about an expert disclosure issue, the 14 again, in these cases, they talk about rebuttal 14 mechanism of disclosure and the defined roles of 15 experts are here to oppose previous expert 15 experts. That a much different issue than a 16 testimony. Over and over again. 16 relevance issue. What I see here is the relevance THE COURT: But it doesn't have to be 17 because it provided ---18 just expert testimony. 18 THE COURT: But they did disclose him MR. MURPHY: I understand. I don't 19 as a rebuttal expert. 20 have the Virginia cite, Your Honor, but these 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. But it's talking 21 cases, 4th Circuit, it's saying that's exactly 21 about relevance. Not talking about purpose. 22 what a rebuttal expert does, and, actually, not 22 THE COURT: I think this is relevance. 7239 7241 1 exactly, that's only what a rebuttal expert can 1 MR. MURPHY: It doesn't seem like, from 2 do. what I'm seeing here, Your Honor, that the 3 THE COURT: If they're only designated argument we're having right now was made. So I to rebut a certain expert, I agree with you. would say this case is not on point. There isn't MR. ROTTENBORN: What's the Virginia any relevance to the testimony. cite, Your Honor? 6 MS. LECAROZ: I think that's all we 7 have from Virginia, Your Honor. We do have a THE COURT: I have a few of them here. 8 District of Colorado case. We didn't have Hodges, You might be able to get them from Sammy faster. 9 I don't think. MR. ROTTENBORN: We can get them from 10 Sammy. THE COURT: That was not a Commonwealth 11 MS. LECAROZ: We have Greer v. 11 case where the expert was DNA -- gave testimony on 12 DNA. 12 Commonwealth, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. I think mine was 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, just to be 14 Hodges v. Commonwealth. 14 clear, Your Honor's made the ruling that 15 Dr. Collins is out; is that correct? MS. VASQUEZ: We have that one as well, 16 I believe so. I've read Hodges v. Commonwealth. 16 THE COURT: That's correct. 17 MS. LECAROZ: So I have Greer here. 17 MS. VASQUEZ: She's opining on just So in Greer, at trial, after Greer, the 18 pictures as well, Your Honor.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

20 designation.

19 defendant, rested, the Commonwealth called the

22 elicit testimony from the experts that they

20 three experts as rebuttal witnesses. Over Greer's

21 objections, the Court allowed the Commonwealth to 21

THE COURT: No, that's not in the

22 reviewed pictures, but she's saying Amber's

MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, she

7243

7242 1 account doesn't match the pictures. That's totally different. MS. VASQUEZ: She has reviewed photographs. THE COURT: But that's -- that's just commenting on Ms. Heard's plain testimony. MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes. MR. MURPHY: Actually, her testimony is 9 she opines as to whether the injuries reflected in 10 the photographs aren't consistent with --THE COURT: No, I'm not going to keep 12 going over that. I'll sustain the objection as to 13 that expert. MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, I recognize 15 this is out of state, but if I can send you one 16 federal case. It really addresses this issue. THE COURT: Yes, sir, if you'd like to. 17 MR. MURPHY: So this is Boles v. United 18 19 States Middle District of North Carolina. 20 Literally, "rebuttal experts cannot put forth 21 their own theories; they mut restrict their

22 testimony to attacking the theories offered by the

1 adversary's experts."

That's exactly what I understood Your 3 Honor's ruling earlier to mean, and that's exactly 4 what we're arguing now. And then, on the next 5 page, talks about they don't address any of the 6 defendant's expert reports, they offer their own 7 theories. And that's exactly what our argument is 8 here, Your Honor. They could have called 9 Mr. Neumeister in their case-in-chief, as that's 10 how he was designated. They chose not to do that. 11 They fought against the photographs and 12 our summary of the metadata coming into evidence. 13 Your Honor sustained that objection. And then, 14 additionally, they could have called Ms. Heard. 15 They chose not to do that, as is their right. But 16 for them to say they didn't have the opportunity, 17 or I heard earlier the argument that trials are 18 fluid, they don't know what evidence is going to 19 come in. I mean, come on, the whole forensic 20 order process, they knew these photographs were 21 going to come in. They've known that for 22 five years. So that argument, I don't think,

1 really holds any sway. And, again, it's

2 essentially, their expert cannot rebut a fact

3 witness, and I don't see this case holding that.

4 That's essentially what their argument is. I did

5 not find any Virginia law that said an expert

6 witness, in rebuttal, can rebut a fact witness's

7 testimony. Your Honor actually said Ms. Heard

8 cannot testify to the metadata because that's

9 expert testimony.

10 THE COURT: They're not rebutting a 11 fact witness. That's -- they're rebutting 12 photographs themselves.

MR. MURPHY: Right. So if Mr. Ackert 14 had been called in our defense and said these 15 photographs are authentic, I wouldn't have this 16 argument right now.

17 THE COURT: You can call him in 18 rebuttal.

19 MR. MURPHY: He hasn't been called yet, 20 Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR, MURPHY: And I would also point out

7245

that Mr. Bercovici actually was designated in the
 opposition expert disclosure, it just had the
 language Your Honor said. I'm not trying to
 revisit that, I'm just trying to point out - THE COURT: He was just rebutting that

6 particular -7 MR. MURPHY: I'm not trying to revisit

8 that ruling. My point is, he was even included in 9 the opposition expert disclosure, whereas 10 Mr. Neumeister isn't mentioned, period, in that 11 opposition expert disclosure. I wanted to point 12 that out as well.

THE COURT: They're not using it as 14 opposition; they're just using it as rebuttal.

MR. MURPHY: So then I will focus my 16 arguments on rebuttal and this case law. And 17 there's no Virginia case law I have found, a 18 least, that said a rebuttal expert can rebut 19 anything but expert testimony. That's their

20 purpose.

THE COURT: Lunderstand Lithink

21 THE COURT: I understand. I think in 22 this particular case, just the photographs, in

7249

7247

3

this limited, it's going to be extremely limited,
as far as only photographs that are in evidence
that he can opine on. There's not going to be
talk about any discovery issues, period. General.
No software issues. We have that. So it's just
literally going to be photographs that are in
evidence and his opinions on those photographs.

MS. LECAROZ: So, Your Honor, just so I
understand your ruling, because of the way that
understand the photographs into evidence, they

11 submitted them as screen grabs of a photo. So, 12 what Mr. Neumeister will testify to are the 13 photographs that underlie the screen grabs, which 14 are not necessarily the trial exhibits, but they

15 are the underlying photo that is a part of the 16 trial exhibit.

17 THE COURT: What is he going to testify 18 to?

MS. LECAROZ: To issues with the 20 underlying photos and the authenticity and issues 21 with the photo-editing applications that the 22 underlying photos --

MR. MURPHY: The word "screen grab"
does not appear in the disclosure. I don't even
know what they are talking about. They are not
screen grabs, Your Honor.

MS. LECAROZ: You can look at them,
Your Honor. They are in our opposition. You can
see. And Your Honor obviously dealt with this --

THE COURT: He's not going to opine about the ones that are in evidence?

10 MS. LECAROZ: He's going to opine about 11 the --

12 THE COURT: There was no objection
13 about them being screen grabs at the time of
14 trial, so we are not going to go back to that
15 because they are in evidence as they are. So
16 there is no objection. You could have objected to
17 the authenticity of them as being screen grabs, I
18 would have addressed that issue. But now you
19 can't just let them in evidence and then say, oh,
20 well, now those are screen grabs. I can't do
21 that.

MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, may I just be

22

heard on that one point. I believe I called them
 screenshots, not screen grabs, when I objected
 because the metadata --

THE COURT: We dealt with that.

MS. VASQUEZ: It was a picture of a

picture. When I made my objection that it was a

screenshot, that was -- my objection was that it

is a screenshot. It will not -- I should have

articulated that. When I said it was a

screenshot, I was trying to articulate that it

wasn't authentic.

12 THE COURT: You didn't say that.
13 MS. VASQUEZ: I did say that. I said
14 it's a picture of a picture, Your Honor.

15 MR. MURPHY: All I would respond to 16 that is, Your Honor, it doesn't matter what she 17 argued, what matters is Your Honor's ruling, and 18 what matters is what came into evidence --

19 THE COURT: Well, if she objected to 20 it. She did object to it because she did say it 21 was a picture of a picture.

22 MR. MURPHY: There's nothing in

1 evidence for him to opine to because of their 2 objection.

THE COURT: But I overruled their objection and allowed it into evidence.

MR. MURPHY: Without the metadata.

THE COURT: Without the metadata. But
she wasn't saying about the metadata. She was
saying it was a screenshot of a shot, so taking a
picture from a computer or --

10 MS. VASQUEZ: An iPad.

11 THE COURT: And I allowed it in.

MR. MURPHY: I want to make sure I
13 understand your scope clearly. Are they saying
14 he's only going to be opining to photographs that,
15 due to Your Honor's ruling, now have the black box
16 over the metadata? Because what I will -- his
17 disclosure doesn't have any of those pictures in
18 it. There was -- none of those pictures with the
19 metadata are in his disclosure. This is the first
20 time hearing that's what he's going to testify to.

21 THE COURT: Well --

MS. LECAROZ: The photographs that he

7252 1 look at matched the photographs that came into MS. VASQUEZ: He can -- just to 2 evidence. 2 confirm, he can opine as to the metadata that's in MR. MURPHY: That's their brief, not the underlying photographs? 13 THE COURT: In the underlying their disclosure. MS. LECAROZ: I understand that. But photographs. 6 those pictures came from Bryan's disclosure and MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah, that is part of the 7 his report -- Mr. Neumeister, and same with the screenshot. 8 photo, obviously came in. He can talk about all THE COURT: Then they can redirect --9 the versions of that photo. or cross-examine on it in their expert as well. THE COURT: The ones that are in 10 MR. MURPHY: That raises another 11 evidence over objection with screenshots, and he's 11 related issue, Your Honor. Our expert. 12 Mr. Ackert, as they know from the expert 12 going to testify that they are screenshots and 13 that the original photo, fill in the blank? 13 disclosure, has found versions of the photographs MS. LECAROZ: Right. So he's not going 14 that do not have this metadata issue. 15 to say original photo because of the way that the 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 collection was done. There's an issue with the 16 MR. MURPHY: And if they are now 17 way the collection was done, so you can't say 17 allowed to bring Mr. Neumeister to talk about 18 which of the photos is the original. This is the 18 that. I want to make sure that there's no reason 19 authenticity issue. 19 that our expert cannot rebut that saying, I found THE COURT: So he's saying you can't 20 X, Y and Z. I'm looking at Your Honor's earlier 20 21 tell when they were taken? 21 ruling of the disclosure and the timing of the MS. LECAROZ: He's saying you can't 22 photographs --7251 7253 1 confirm it, based on the way that --MS. LECAROZ: Mr. Ackert testified, at MR. MURPHY: Additionally, Your Honor, 2 his deposition, that he couldn't opine as to any 3 again, the photos she's showing you in their specific photographs to which he was going to 4 brief, with the metadata on them. I have lived in 4 offer an opinion that they were originals. MR. MURPHY: That's not true, Your 5 this disclosure. Then -- that is not in his 6 disclosure, so it's outside of the scope of his 6 Honor. 7 disclosure to testify about the photographs with THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, he's 8 the metadata on them. going to be your rebuttal expert, so he can opine 9 to that. That's fine. Okay? MS. LECAROZ: This is his disclosure, MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: So does that resolve that MR. MURPHY: That's not. Do you see 11 11 12 metadata on these photographs in the --12 issue? You have another issue? THE COURT: It wouldn't matter if it's 13 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: One brief issue. THE COURT: Okay. You were actually 14 there or not. 14 15 MR. MURPHY: But that's what's in 15 very quiet. 16 MR. CHEW: Thank you. 16 evidence. 17 MS. VASQUEZ: It's the underlying. 17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Mr. Depp testified, at MS. LECAROZ: This is EXIF data that 18 the end of his testimony, just now, he said I've 18 19 been living it -- living with it for six years and 19 that is the photograph. 20 waiting to be able to get the truth out. I've THE COURT: I'm going to allow that. 21 spoken up for what I've been carrying on my back. 21 We're going to allow that, very limited, and then

PLANET DEPOS

22

22 you can have your rebuttal.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

```
MR. ROTTENBORN: All out of us up here
                                                                 MR. TOBIN: Please. Thank you, Your
2 know that that's not true, because of the U.K.
                                                       2 Honor.
  trial. He has had his chance and we believe we
                                                                 THE COURT: All right. Yes, sir. As
  should be able to get in the U.K. judgment on that
                                                       4 far as your comments about Virginia Rule of
5
  basis.
                                                       5 Evidence 2:508, that's a criminal Rule of
6
         THE COURT: No.
                                                       6 Evidence, so that's not.
7
         MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                                 MR. TOBIN: Understood.
                                                       8
8
         MR. ROTTENBORN: It's a question about
                                                                 THE COURT: Not your strongest
  everything other than that --
                                                       9 argument. And as far as what goes on when the --
10
          THE COURT: Not to the judgment.
                                                       10 if the witness testifies, whether it's hearsay or
11
         MR. ROTTENBORN: -- not the U.K. trial.
                                                       11 it's third-party knowledge, that's something I'll
12
         MS. BREDEHOFT: But he can testify to
                                                       12 deal with at trial. So, again, not what I'm
13 anything else?
                                                       13 concerned with.
         THE COURT: Right. Are you ready for
                                                       14
                                                                 As far as Supreme Court Rule 314, which
15 the other motion?
                                                       15 I would like you to talk a little bit about that,
16
         MS. VASQUEZ: Yes.
                                                       16 as far as intervention, and I've got to tell you
17
         MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                       17 where I'm at right now, the concern -- the issue I
18
         (Open court.)
                                                       18 have with your argument is intervention,
19
         THE COURT: Okay. Got that matter.
                                                       19 obviously, would make you a plaintiff or defendant
20 Then let me take up the emergency motion for
                                                       20 in the case, and it has to deal with an issue
21 Mr. Tobin.
                                                       21 that's germane to this case, and this is a
                                                       22 defamation case. So if you could just tailor your
22
         MR. TOBIN: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                 7255
                                                                                                       7257
                                                       1 argument to that issue, sir.
         THE COURT: How are you doing, sir?
2
         MR. TOBIN: Very well, Your Honor.
                                                                 MR. TOBIN: Sure. I'm happy to address
         THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Tobin, if
                                                         the intervention. For the record, Your Honor,
  you could just come to the center.
                                                          Charles Tobin, from the law firm of Ballard Spahr,
         MR. CHEW: Your Honor, before we begin,
                                                       5 here representing TMZ, which is the publisher for
  I would just -- may I approach.
                                                       6 news and entertainment for the celebrity and
         THE COURT: Sure.
                                                       7
                                                         entertainment industry.
8
         MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                       8
                                                                 And, Your Honor, we're seeking to
         THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Give it to
                                                       9 intervene simply to protect the relationship
10 the other side.
                                                       10 between reporters and their sources when it comes
11
         Mr. Tobin, if you want to.
                                                       11 to reporting news in the public interest. As the
12
         MR. TOBIN: Certainly, Your Honor.
                                                       12 Court noted, we really don't have a dog in this
13
         THE COURT: I've got to make this
                                                       13 hunt, as far as Mr. Depp, Ms. Heard. We're really
14 relatively short, as you can understand, but I
                                                       14 here purely to the First Amendment-based issues,
15 wanted to take up your motion. I had read your
                                                       15 reporters' privilege and reporters and their
16 motion and the declaration and everything attached
                                                       16 sources. The intervention rule, as the Court is
17 to it and all the cases. And I have reviewed it,
                                                       17 aware, allows intervention by anybody where the
                                                       18 issue is germane to the subject matter of the
18 so I'd rather you not regurgitate that, based on
19 our time limit, but anything you wish to add to
                                                       19 proceeding. And certainly, Your Honor, the
20 that is fine.
                                                       20 purported testimony, the proffered testimony of a
21
         If you want to -- if I could focus you
                                                       21 former employee of TMZ, who purports to be in a
22 a little bit.
                                                       22 position to disclose confidential information
```

7261

1 learned during the operation of journalism, during 2 his work as a journalist, is a germane issue that 3 is being raised in this case.

And, Your Honor, we would point the 5 Court to the Tayss Fletcher Maiden & Reed v. 6 Southern Bank & Trust case, 2013 Va. Cir. LEXIS 7 253. It's a Norfolk Circuit Court decision from 8 2013. And there, it was an interpleader action, 9 the funds had been interpleaded into the court by 10 two trust companies that were fighting over it. 11 And the man who had sold his property, who had no 12 interest in the funds themselves, intervened in 13 the case because he was uncertain as to his 14 liability for excess funds, which was an issue 15 that was not directly in litigation between the 16 two parties; it was not part of the cause of 17 action between the two trusts fighting over the 18 money that had been pleaded into the court. The 19 Circuit Court held that, certainly, the rights of 20 that man was going to be affected by the 21 decision-making in the case. He would be 22 prejudiced if he didn't have an opportunity to

1 intervene, and no party was in the position to 2 assert his rights.

So, similarly, here, Your Honor, TMZ is 4 a news organization, it routinely accepts 5 information, as is common in journalism, under 6 exchanges of promises of confidentiality. If it 7 is not able to intervene in this action and 8 neither of the parties is going to be in a 9 position to assert the reporter's privilege, it is 10 TMZ's journalist privilege that we're talking 11 about, then the rights are certainly going to be 12 prejudiced.

THE COURT: But the witness -- in all 13 14 the cases, and I've reviewed the cases that you 15 have, in those cases, the witness was compelled to 16 testify and came and was forced to testify, so 17 there was an issue about the privilege of the 18 witness. It's my understanding, this case, this 19 witness wants to testify and is not under 20 subpoena.

MR. TOBIN: He has been subpoenaed, 22 Your Honor.

MR. CHEW: That is incorrect, Your 2 Honor. He's voluntarily here. A subpoena from this court would not be enforceable.

4 MR. TOBIN: Your Honor, I have a copy 5 of a subpoena that entered last night compelling 6 Mr. Tremaine to give testimony in this case, and so he is coming under a compulsion under subpoena.

THE COURT: If he takes the stand and 9 he asserts some sort of privilege, then that's 10 something I will deal with at that time.

MR. TOBIN: Sure. But I'm here because 12 of the scenario where he may not assert that 13 privilege.

THE COURT: Right. Exactly. That's 15 what I'm saying. All your cases that you showed 16 were the opposite, where they did assert the 17 privilege.

18 MR. TOBIN: Well, it is a unique 19 situation.

20 THE COURT: Right. And I understand 21 you might have some issues with a former employee 22 and you have some avenues to go deal with that,

7259

6

MR. TOBIN: Once he testifies and the privileged is waived, we've lost our opportunity to intervene and proceed. And, Your Honor, the privilege -- I know Your Honor, you said you read

the case law. I appreciate that.

THE COURT: Yes. MR. TOBIN: But the privilege, it has by the Virginia Supreme Court in the Brown case and applied by the Circuit Courts uniformly. It 10 is very important unpinning of the relationship 11 between reporters and sources and reporters and 12 the public. Without the ability to enforce its 13 promises by current employees or former employees, 14 news organizations have absolutely no control over 15 being able to enforce its promises. And so, we 16 would ask the Court to permit us to intervene and 17 to assert the privilege that belongs to TMZ, which 18 is the organization, after all, Your Honor, that 19 would be responsible to the source if the 20 privilege were waived.

THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Tobin, 22 your argument. I appreciate it very much.

7262 Okay. Do the attorneys wish to be 2 heard? MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor. Good 3 4 morning, your -- good afternoon, Your Honor, I 5 would like to begin where Your Honor began. As a 6 threshold matter, the Court should deny TMZ's 7 motion to intervene. Intervention is not 8 appropriate for the reasons suggested in Your 9 Honor's questions. As Your Honor is well aware, 10 intervention is only appropriate with leave of 11 court, where a third party seeks to "file a 12 pleading to intervene as a plaintiff or as a 13 defendant to assert any claim or defense germane 14 to the subject matter of the proceeding." Virginia 15 Supreme Court Rule 3:14.

As Mr. Tobin, to his credit, has 17 conceded, TMZ is not asking to file a pleading, it 18 cannot properly categorize itself either as 19 plaintiff nor defendant, and it seeks to assert no 20 claim or defense germane to the subject matter of 21 this action.

22 Moreover, even if the privilege were 1 litigation.

2 Finally, Your Honor, TMZ lacks standing 3 to object to testimony by a third party in this 4 action because TMZ, as Your Honor pointed out, is 5 not being compelled to testify. TMZ's reliance on 6 the Philip Morris case for the proposition that the privilege cannot be circumvented by seeking 8 confidential source information from an employee 9 is inapposite, 36 Va. Cir. at 1. One thing is 10 noted, in that case, there's no testimonial 11 privilege akin to that being enjoyed under the 12 Fifth Amendment, which would allow a reporter to 13 refuse to appear before a grand jury and answer 14 questions. In Philip Morris, as Your Honor is 15 aware, the party issued a third-party subpoena for 16 records to trace confidential sources. Philip 17 Morris is inapposite here as that case related 18 to -- as this case is related to witness 19 testimony, not records.

20 As Your Honor suggested, TMZ's quarrel, 21 if any, is with Mr. Tremaine, to the extent that 22 he had an NDA that was enforceable, applicable,

7263

1 applicable, which is not the case here, the 2 testimony intended is directly relevant and would 3 outweigh any qualified privilege as "an intervener 4 must be asserting an interest that is part of the 5 subject matter of the litigation." Hudson v. 6 Jarrett, 269 Va. 24 at 32.

7 Here, as Mr. Tobin stated, TMZ seeks to 8 protect a potential -- seeks to protect potential 9 information solicited from the third-party 10 witness. Which is in no way a matter before this 11 court. Citing Commonwealth v. Gill, 89 Va. Cir. 12 323, a 2014 case denying a motion to intervene 13 where the intervener filed it "to protect a 14 property right not a matter before the Court." 15 The outcome of this trial will not 16 affect TMZ and it does not have a sufficient 17 interest in the subject matter of this suit to

18 intervene. See Tayss Fletcher Maiden & Reed, PC 19 v. Bank National Trust, Co., 2013 Westlaw 5849140, 20 granting -- and this is distinguishing. Granting

21 the motion to intervene where the intervener's 22 liability would be affected by the outcome of the

1 and that's not what we're hearing from Mr. Tobin.

2 So, to the extent that TMZ, which is not exactly

3 Edward R. Murrow, Your Honor, to the extent they

have a beef, as it were, a cognizable beef, it is

with Mr. Tremaine, it is not with Mr. Depp. And

they clearly do not have standing to assert or to 7 intervene because they are not intervening as a

party, plaintiff or defendant. So we respectfully

submit -- to the extent the Court disagrees, I can

10 go into the arguments --

11 THE COURT: That's okay.

MR. CHEW: -- why the privilege is 13 inapplicable, but I will reserve that.

14 THE COURT: That's okay. All right.

15 Yes. ma'am.

16 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, I would 17 like to just weigh in from just a different 18 perspective.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BREDEHOFT: That is because we're 20 21 trying to deal with some important issues of 22 privileged, et cetera, but from our perspective,

7268 1 representing Ms. Heard, we have issues with this interesting procedural issue for appeal, Your 2 Honor, whether a journalist organization or 2 witness separately, and I want to make them very 3 clear for the record. This is somebody who should anybody else who's a First Amendment holder would 4 be denied intervention on a constitutional-based 4 have been identified in discovery, was never. 5 Second of all, it's not relevant whether -privileged. 6 6 apparently, what they're saying he's going to I also, just for the record, and for 7 testify, and we have not had the opportunity to the merits of the case, if I understood Mr. Chew 8 correctly, he said this is not an issue in the 8 discover that, is he's going to claim that someone 9 leaked to TMZ that Ms. Heard was going to obtain 9 litigation. This does not relate to an issue in 10 the TRO on that Friday, and, also, leaked the 10 the litigation. Well, if it's not an issue in the 11 video, the kitchen video with Mr. Depp being 11 litigation, if it's not part of a prima facie part 12 rather violent. And I'm almost certain he's not 12 of the defense, part of the allegations of the 13 complaint, if it's impeachment evidence, if it's 13 going to claim it's Ms. Heard, so I think it's 14 never going to come in. 14 collateral to the main issues in the case, under 15 the Brown v. Commonwealth decision of the Virginia 15 THE COURT: Ms. Bredehoft, I understand 16 Supreme Court, under the application of that 16 all that. Do you have any argument as to this 17 particular motion? 17 privilege, in the Philip Morris v. ABC News, is 18 MS. BREDEHOFT: No. My --18 not supposed to be compelled in this case. 19 THE COURT: Which, again, it's not THE COURT: Okay. Then we can address 20 yours --20 being compelled, it appears. 21 MS. BREDEHOFT: My point is, though, if MR. TOBIN: Well, he is appearing by 22 subpoena, and it is a compulsory process, and will 22 you balance the prejudice versus the probative 7267 7269 1 value, I don't even see how he can come in on 1 have an obligation, unless he asserts privilege foundation or hearsay or relevancy. 2 under oath, but it is our privilege, Your Honor, THE COURT: That's just not part of 3 it's not an employee -- a loyal or a rogue 4 this motion at this time. Thank you, ma'am. 4 employee's privilege to waive on behalf of its employer. This is an unusual situation. Mr. Tobin, your motion, you get the 6 last word, sir. THE COURT: You don't have to tell me MR. TOBIN: Thank you, Your Honor. I about that. 8 appreciate that the Court shook your head when he MR. TOBIN: But the answers are there 8 9 made the snarky comment, that this is not Edward 9 in law, and it is a First Amendment concern. 10 R. Murrow. Obviously, the First Amendment applies THE COURT: This is not the first 11 to everyone, citizens, New York Times, or TMZ, and 11 unusual situation in this case --12 this is a First Amendment-based privilege. 12 MR. TOBIN: For anybody here. Your Honor, the Philip Morris case is 13 THE COURT: I appreciate you coming in 14 actually a very good case to answer Your Honor's 14 today, and I appreciate your arguments. Yes, sir. 15 question about the intervention of somebody else In this matter, under Virginia Rules 16 in order to assert the privilege. Their ABC was a 16 Supreme Court 3:14, a new party may intervene as a 17 defendant in the case, and it moved in order to 17 plaintiff or defendant to assert any claim or 18 prevent other people, a phone company. 18 defense germane to the subject matter of the 19 THE COURT: Right. But they were 19 proceeding. A new party may not intervene unless 20 already in the case in that particular matter. 20 they assert some right involved in the underlying

PLANET DEPOS

21 litigation. A party is not entitled to intervene

22 merely because a byproduct of the litigation

MR. TOBIN: I understand. There is no

22 other mechanic, though, so it would be an

```
7272
                                                                 THE COURT: No, sir. You're not a
1 adversely impacts them, and the decision to allow
2 intervention is within the broad discretion of the
                                                       2 party to this case. However, I will note, for
                                                          your record, your objection to his complete
3 trial court. Here, the rights asserted are not
                                                         testimony, on behalf of your client.
4 germane to the trial. The central issues in this
                                                       5
                                                                 MR. TOBIN: All right. Thank you, Your
5 case are whether defendant defamed plaintiff and
                                                       6
6 whether plaintiff defamed defendant through a
                                                         Honor.
  theory of vicarious liability.
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor.
                                                       8
                                                                THE COURT: Microphone.
         The issue of the confidentiality of
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: As with the Hicksville
9 source has not come up as in other cases cited by
                                                       10 witness, Your Honor I would ask that we can voir
10 EHM, which is the corporation that TMZ belongs to,
                                                       11 dire him before the jury to find out when he
11 is under their umbrella. In Brown v. the
                                                       12 contacted counsel and when they became aware. But
12 Commonwealth, there is an attempt by the criminal
                                                       13 I think, also, under the circumstances, in
13 defendant to subpoena institutions in order to
                                                       14 fairness, I think we should at least be able to
14 obtain the name of the confidential source. When
15 the author of the article was subpoenaed, she
                                                       15 ask him what he's going to claim.
                                                                 THE COURT: Response.
16 refused to identify her confidential source on the
                                                       16
17 stand. And in this case, it appears that the
                                                       17
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Your Honor, I don't think
                                                       18 that's appropriate. Certainly, I don't think a
18 witness is willing to state the name of the
                                                       19 proffer is necessary or appropriate in this case.
19 confidential source without being compelled,
                                                       20
                                                                 THE COURT: I'm not going to do a
20 voluntarily. Whether that breaches a
                                                       21 proffer, Ms. Bredehoft, okay?
21 nondisclosure agreement between Mr. Tremaine and
22 EHM is not germane to this matter and can be
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm sorry, so sorry.
                                                 7271
                                                                                                       7273
                                                       1
1 litigated in a separate matter, if EHM so chooses.
                                                                 THE COURT: Mr. Rottenborn, almost at
                                                         lunch.
         And while breaches of contract must be
                                                       2
3 taken seriously, and the court does, any alleged
                                                       3
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: But I truly am the
4 breach is not germane to the underlying litigation
                                                         messenger here.
                                                       5
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: Can we just have one
5 here. That contractual action has no bearing on
6 this case and is thus not germane to this
                                                          clarification?
                                                       7
7 litigation; therefore, I'll deny the nonparty, EHM
                                                                 THE COURT: That's fine. I think we
                                                          need Ms. Meyers.
8 Production's, motion to intervene.
                                                       9
         MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor. For
                                                                 (Sidebar.)
                                                       10
                                                                 THE COURT: Well, if somebody could
10 the record, I've known Mr. Tobin for several
11 years. I meant no snarkiness toward him.
                                                       11 just represent her here.
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: I'm not trying to keep
12
         THE COURT: You're just a snarky guy.
13
         MR. TOBIN: Your Honor, may I just ask
                                                       13 Your Honor.
14 one more procedural issue for the record?
                                                                 THE COURT: No, I know you want to know
         THE COURT: Yes.
                                                       15 what to do for witness.
15
                                                       16
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: Yes. I heard that Your
16
         MR. TOBIN: Your Honor, I am concerned
17 that we preserve the issue fully, and so --
                                                       17 Honor said he can to the metadata. I would like
         THE COURT: For the record, yes.
                                                       18 to know what exactly that means because there's
                                                       19 hundreds and hundreds of lines of metadata. And
19
         MR. TOBIN: For the record. So, I
20 would ask, may I have the opportunity to object
                                                       20 let me just say why this is important, Your Honor.
                                                       21 I just read the brief, because I was off
21 when Mr. Tremaine is questioned, question by
```

22 question.

22 researching their opposition, and it talks about

Conducted or	1 May 25, 2022
7274	7276
1 the metadata indicating this photo is 3. Your	1 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND
2 Honor, I have to say, Mr. Neumeister said, in his	2 COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF
3 report, literally, "none of the photographs in	BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
4 Ms. Heard's"	Q Mr. Depp, I'd like to start with the honeymoon that you and Ms. Heard took in late
5 THE COURT: You can cross-examine on	6 July 2015.
6 that.	7 A Certainly.
7 MR. MURPHY: It's not in evidence, Your	8 Q You testified that you took a train
8 Honor. He literally said they're not in the trial	9 ride from Bangkok to Singapore; is that right?
9 exhibits, period, so how can he testify to that?	10 A That's correct.
THE COURT: Mr. Murphy, we're going to	II Q. And you claim that on this train ride,
11 see what's going to happen with the testimony, but	12 that Ms. Heard hit you in the face, correct?
12 if you want to get with counsel during the lunch	13 A Yes.
13 break and see exactly which photos we're talking	14 Q And left a black eye, correct?
14 about which what, he's going to testify to.	15 A Yes.
MS. VASQUEZ: The one's in his report,	16 Q And
16 Your Honor, part of this disclosure.	17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Michelle, could you
17 THE COURT: If you want to get with	18 please pull up PX162.
18 him, you can work it out.	19 THE COURT: Is that already in
19 MR. MURPHY: Who's my partner on that?	20 evidence?
20 MS. VASQUEZ: Rebecca.	21 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: Would it be possible	22 THE COURT: Okay. Publish to the jury.
22 to get an updated time?	
7275	7077
1 THE COURT: You can get it from Sammy.	1 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.
2 Let's just announce to everybody after lunch. Get	2 Q Mr. Depp, this is the picture that your
3 back just one second.	3 counsel showed you both in your prior — or showed
4 All right. So court will be in recess.	4 you this morning, correct?
5 Let's come back at 2, so everybody gets an hour	5 A Yes, sir.
6 for lunch, okay? 2:00.	6 Q Okay. And that mark under your left
7 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	7 eye is what you claim to be a black eye caused by
1:	8 Ms. Heard, correct?
	1
9 2:00 p.m.)	9 A Seems to be. There's some scratches
10 THE BAILIFF: All rise. Please be	10 around my nose as well.
11 seated and come to order.	11 Q Okay. All right. But it's your left
12 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready	12 eye, the one close to the chef, that's what you
13 for the jury?	13 said is your black eye, correct?
14 MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor.	14 A Yes, sir.
15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Depp, if you	15 Q Okay. And that was the picture your
16 could, come back to the stand, please.	16 team chose to show you, right?
17 (Whereupon, the jury entered the	17 A That's a picture someone showed me,
18 courtroom and the following proceedings took	18 yes.
19 place.)	MR. ROTTENBORN: Pull up Exhibit 1905,
20 THE COURT: All right. Have a seat.	20 Michelle, please.
21 All right. Cross-examination.	21 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Which number
	_
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.	

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7278	7280
1 MR. ROTTENBORN: This is a new exhibit,	1 redact the just have the photographs in it?
2 Your Honor, 1905.	2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Sure. We'll do
THE COURT: And that's defendant's?	3 nineteen-oh yeah, 1905.
4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Defendant's	4 THE COURT: 1905, just with redactions,
5 Exhibit 1905.	5 will be fine.
6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Michelle.
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Ask for permission to	7 THE COURT: All right. Publish.
8 publish it.	8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Oh. You want to put it in	9 Michelle, could you please scroll down
10 evidence?	10 to the bottom two pictures there.
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Uh-huh.	11 Q Mr. Depp, in these pictures that were
12 THE COURT: Okay. Any objection to	12 taken before you got on the train ride for your
13 1905?	13 honeymoon, where you claim that Ms. Heard hit you
MS. MEYERS: With the comments we	14 and gave you a black eye, you have the exact same
15 have no objection to the photograph itself; we	15 shadow or sunburn or mark under your left eye, the
16 would ask the comments be redacted as hearsay.	16 exact same mark, don't you?
MR. ROTTENBORN: Well, I would like to	17 A That's the when you get a side
18 question the witness about the comments.	18 light, you see the occipital bone, so that is the
19 MS. MEYERS: I have to objection to the	19 exact area.
20 photograph being published.	20 Q Yep. And it's actually
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Well, then	21 A A side light will cause that as well.
22 let's wait a minute.	22 Q Yeah. But the picture is not being
7279	7281
1 Q Mr. Depp, you see here, this these	1 taken from the side, is it? It's been taken head
2 are four pictures of you, right?	2 on?
3 A Yes.	A No, no, no, no. The camera's in front.
4 Q And below it they indicate that they	4 Q Yeah.
5 were taken on July 24th, 2015, in Bangkok,	5 A Light on the side —
6 Thailand, correct?	6 Q Right.
7 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Lack of	7 A - will cause that occipital bone, I
8 foundation. Calls for speculation.	8 believe it's called –
9 THE COURT: Overruled.	9 Q Uh-huh.
10 A In Bangkok, Thailand, so before the	10 A — to appear sunken and —
11 train ride.	11 Q Just like lights on the side of a train
12 Q Correct, before the train ride.	12 car, correct?
13 Because you didn't get on the train ride until the	13 A – where –
14 25th; is that right?	14 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for
15 A Somewhere in that area, I guess.	15 speculation.
16 Q Okay.	16 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that
17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, I'd ask	17 down, Michelle.
18 for permission to publish this to the jury.	18 A That was, in fact, in the dark, and I
19 THE COURT: All right. Do you	19 had a chef — I had —
20 MS. MEYERS: If it's just the 21 photographs, we have no objection.	1 **
22 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to	21 A - people on either side of me. 22 THE COURT: It would be great if you

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7282	7284
1 A So, I don't see where the light fill is	1 authentication. Lack of foundation.
2 from the side there.	2 THE COURT: All right. Over objection,
3 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you can, wait	3 I'll allow 1859 in evidence.
4 for the next question.	4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5 Next question.	5 Michelle, could I please get you to
6 THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor.	6 THE COURT: You need to redact it
7 Q Even the picture your team chose to	7 first.
8 show you on the train isn't accurate, is it?	8 MR. ROTTENBORN: We actually have one
9 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for	9 that we'll admit or ask to be admitted as 1858
10 speculation.	10 that is just the picture.
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up	11 THE COURT: I already have
12 Exhibit 1859, please.	MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. So we'll call
THE COURT: 1859, is that in evidence?	13 this 1859, then.
14 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, Your Honor.	14 THE COURT: So this is 1859?
15 Q Mr. Depp, this is the same picture of	MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. We just need
16 the same the exact same scene displayed in	16 to
17 PX 162 that you looked at this morning, correct?	17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 A That looks like my face has been – the	18 MR. ROTTENBORN: We'll fix that exhibit
19 eyes have been Photoshopped.	19 sticker on the bottom and get you that. Correct,
20 Q Okay. So this this post from the	20 1859.
21 Eastern and Oriental Express's Facebook page,	21 THE COURT: 1859.
22 you're saying that that's Photoshopped?	22 MR. ROTTENBORN: And, Michelle, what
7283	7285
1 A Is that from that page? Sure. Why	1 I'd like to ask you to do, please, is to put the
2 wouldn't they?	2 picture displayed as Exhibit 1859, just was
3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull them up	3 admitted into evidence, next to PX 162 that was
4 side by side, please.	4 shown to Mr. Depp this morning.
5 Your Honor, I move for the admission of	5 THE COURT: Okay, You can pub those
6 this exhibit. We can just have the pictures. We	6 are both in evidence.
7 don't need the	7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. Can you try to
8 THE COURT: Any objection to the	8 make them the same size, please?
9 pictures?	9 Q This is the exact same picture, isn't
10 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Lack of	10 it, Mr. Depp?
11 foundation. Lack of authentication.	11 A With radically different quality and -
12 Q Is that you in the photo, Mr. Depp?	12 Q No. You answered my question.
13 A It is me, but it's clearly – it's	13 A No. I wasn't done answering.
14 been —	14 Q You answered my question, sir, thank
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, I'd move	15 you. Appreciate it.
16 to strike anything after that, first of all.	16 A You're very welcome.
17 THE COURT: Okay.	17 Q Mr. Depp, you had that whatever mark it
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: And would ask for	18 is, whether it's a sunburn, whether it's a shadow,
19 admission of this photograph.	19 whether it's the light reflecting, you had that
20 THE COURT: All right. Just the	20 same mark on your eye before you got on that
21 photograph? Are you going to	21 train?
22 MS. MEYERS: We maintain our lack of	22 A Well, it's pretty difficult to get a
· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Conducted or	May 25, 2022
7286	7288
1 sunburn on a train. And the photo that was with	1 Q You just said you don't recall you were
2 the child —	2 begging for any drugs?
3 Q Well, let's go	3 A No, I don't. But I do recall being in
4 A $-I$ don't look particularly sunburned.	4 great pain and great distress. So -
5 Can we see those again?	5 Q Okay.
6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up	6 A − I could have asked for a teddy bear.
7 Exhibit 1 actually, no.	7 Q Okay.
8 Q Let's talk about Australia for a little	8 MR. ROTTENBORN: So this has been
9 bit.	9 admitted, Your Honor.
10 A Oh, good.	10 THE COURT: This is in evidence? Okay.
11 Q You testified you've never done ecstasy	11 You can publish.
12 more than a handful of times in your life,	MR. ROTTENBORN: And if you can, blow
13 correct?	13 up the text, please, Michelle.
14 A Six, seven times.	14 Q In this text message, Mr. Depp, after
15 Q Now, you heard Ms. Heard's testimony;	15 you suffered your finger injury, you just
16 you've been sitting here. And I know you didn't	16 testified you don't recall asking for any drugs.
17 look at her, but you heard her testimony. And you	17 You're texting Nathan Holmes, your personal
18 didn't hear her say you ingested eight to ten all	18 assistant, "Need more whitey stuff ASAP, brother
19 at once? She says she came after being apart from	19 man and the E business."
20 you for some time, and there were eight to 10 gone	Now, we went over this in your
21 from the bag, correct?	21 cross-examination, didn't we?
22 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Form.	22 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
7287	7289
1 Compound.	1 A If you say so, sir.
2 THE COURT: Overruled.	2 Q And "whitey stuff" is cocaine?
3 A I also heard Ms. Heard say I reached	3 A I would say.
4 into a bag and threw them, poured a bunch of -	4 Q And "the E business" is ecstasy?
5 Q Yep.	5 A Likely, yes. So I didn't recall that.
6 A – MDMA down my mouth.	6 But
7 Q Correct, correct, that's right. She	7 Q Thank you.
8 didn't say threw ten at one time?	8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up
9 A No, she said a handful, which is more	9 Exhibit 1817, please.
10 than ten, I believe.	10 Q This is a picture that you were
11 Q Okay. The fact is, Mr. Depp, you were	11 showed shown this morning, Mr. Depp.
12 asking for ecstasy, you who have only done it six	12 A Yes, sir.
13 times in your life, you were asking for ecstasy	13 Q And you kind of made some illustrations
14 and cocaine within minutes of being admitted to	14 on the picture and gave your account of what you
15 the hospital after suffering your finger injury,	15 see here.
16 weren't you?	Mr. Depp, you testified previously that
MS. MEYERS: Objection. Compound.	17 the vodka bottle that you allege cut off your
18 A I don't recall that I was —	18 finger was a handle of vodka, correct?
19 THE COURT: Overruled.	19 A Yes.
20 A – taking any drugs.	20 Q You already testified to that.
MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. Let's pull up	21 A Second bottle, though, was a handle on
1	
22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 393, please.	22 that bottle, yes.

Conducted on	Iviay 25, 2022
7290	7292
1 Q Right. This bottle, whatever it is, to	1 A I don't recall a phone in the bar area.
2 the extent it's glass at all, that's in the corner	2 Q Okay.
3 of this room, that's not a handle of vodka?	A I don't recall a Bakelite phone in the
4 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for	4 bar area where I
5 speculation.	5 Q Okay. Let's pull up, Michelle, please,
6 A I think you'll find that —	6 U.K. day 3, page 421.
7 THE COURT: Overruled.	7 Mr. Depp, we've done this drill before.
8 A - I said two bottles.	8 This is your testimony from the U.K., correct?
9 Q Well, actually what you testified to	9 MS. MEYERS: Can I please have
10 this morning, Mr. Depp, was that the bottle in the	10 MR. ROTTENBORN: We don't have copies
11 corner was the handle. And there is no other	11 for everyone. It's on the screen right there.
12 bottle in the picture, is there?	12 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, may I
13 A No, that's not what I testified. I	13 please I would like to have what he's the
14 testified that – may I touch the screen?	14 testimony of the witness.
15 THE COURT: Yes.	MR. ROTTENBORN: Here, you can have my
	16 copy.
16 A This is glass. This is glass. 17 Q Yep. And that's not a handle. Neither) - ·
17 Q Yep. And that's not a handle. Neither 18 of those are handles of vodka?	1
1	
19 A Well, it's that big. It's broken. The	19 in the U.K. trial for several days, correct?
20 handle's at the top on those vodka bottles, sir.	20 A Okay. Yeah. So I do remember a
21 I mean —	21 telephone in the bar area, and it was —
22 Q If you combine all of that glass on the	22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, I
1 floor, that doesn't make up the amount of glass in	7293 1 A Bakelite
2 a handle of vodka, does it?	
3 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for	2 Q This is my turn to do this, Mr. Depp. 3 A I'm sorry.
1.	1
<u> </u>	Q On page 421, line 19, you were asked
1	5 the question "And this telephone that you picked
Table Tabl	6 up was made of" I'm sorry, I'm going to go up
7 objection. Hold on. I'll sustain the objection.	7 one, line 15.
8 Next question.	8 "QUESTION: At one stage when you were
9 Q There's no handle of vodka broken on	9 in the kitchen screaming at Ms. Heard, you picked
10 that floor, is there, Mr. Depp?	10 up a wall-mounted telephone. Do you remember a
	11 telephone in the kitchen?
11 A No. I don't see it. I see glass under	<u> </u>
12 this chair here.	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area.
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on.	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle.	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle. 17 Q You also testified this morning that	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted 17 but retro.
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle. 17 Q You also testified this morning that 18 and I want to get this I want to make sure that	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted 17 but retro. 18 "ANSWER: It was a wall-mounted
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle. 17 Q You also testified this morning that 18 and I want to get this I want to make sure that 19 we're on the same page here. You testified	"ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted 17 but retro. 18 "ANSWER: It was a wall-mounted 19 telephone, but it was not Bakelite; it was a
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle. 17 Q You also testified this morning that 18 and I want to get this I want to make sure that 19 we're on the same page here. You testified 20 earlier this morning that there was no phone in	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted 17 but retro. 18 "ANSWER: It was a wall-mounted 19 telephone, but it was not Bakelite; it was a 20 modern phone. It was plastic.
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle. 17 Q You also testified this morning that 18 and I want to get this I want to make sure that 19 we're on the same page here. You testified 20 earlier this morning that there was no phone in 21 the bar area downstairs; is that what you	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted 17 but retro. 18 "ANSWER: It was a wall=mounted 19 telephone, but it was not Bakelite; it was a 20 modern phone. It was plastic. 21 "QUESTION: A phone that was a
12 this chair here. 13 Q Well, you testified this morning that 14 you did see it, so it's good to get that 15 clarification. Let's move on. 16 A No, I didn't say I saw a handle. 17 Q You also testified this morning that 18 and I want to get this I want to make sure that 19 we're on the same page here. You testified 20 earlier this morning that there was no phone in	12 "ANSWER: No, ma'am. I remember a 13 telephone in the bar area. 14 "QUESTION: And this telephone that you 15 picked up was made of Bakelite. Do you know what 16 I mean by that? A retro telephone, wall-mounted 17 but retro. 18 "ANSWER: It was a wall-mounted 19 telephone, but it was not Bakelite; it was a 20 modern phone. It was plastic.

7296 MR. ROTTENBORN: Will you scroll down, 1 doesn't pay rent. It's got to go. It's got to be 2 please. 2 evicted." "QUESTION: A phone that was a 3 O Did I read that right? 4 wall-mounted phone that was picked up by you, held A You did read that right, yes. in your right hand, and you were repeatedly 5 Thank you. smashing it against the wall in your right hand? 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that "ANSWER: That is possible. But I do down, Michelle, please. 8 not -- if that is the case, I do not believe I Q Now, Mr. Depp, you've also claimed spent very much time on the phone. I remember that -- you've said before that if you want to be 10 ripping the phone off the wall." 10 with a woman sexually, that she is rightfully 11 That was your testimony, correct? 11 yours, haven't you? 12 A It seems to be, yes. 12 Could you repeat that? 13 Q Thank you. 13 And you've also said --14 A I-14 Could you repeat that, please? 15 Q You answered my question. Thank you. 15 Yeah. That if you want to be with a 16 Mr. Depp, you've claimed before --16 woman sexually, that she is rightfully yours. 17 you've said, "If I'm angry and I've got to lash 17 That's ludicrous. 18 out or hit somebody, I'm going to do it. And I 18 You've also said that with respect to 19 don't care what the repercussions are. Anger 19 women that you want to be with, you've remarked, 20 doesn't pay rent. It's got to go. It's got to be 20 "I need, I want, I take," haven't you? 21 evicted." You've said that before, haven't you? 21 A Equally as ludicrous. No. A Have you a quote from me somewhere 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up DX883, 7295 7297 1 saying that? 1 please. Q That's my question to you. You've said A You can pull what you like. I've never that before, haven't you? Well, actually, let's said those words. There's not enough hubris in me refresh your recollection. 4 to say anything like that. 5 5 THE COURT: 883? A That'd be good. MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up -- and 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: 883, Your Honor. 7 then get us to the Machine article, please. THE COURT: It's not -- is it --A Possibly about paparazzis. 8 MR. ROTTENBORN: It's not admitted yet. Q Mr. Depp, you see the picture of you on 9 THE COURT: Okay. 883. 10 the lower left --10 Q Mr. Depp, these are text messages from 11 A Yes. 11 you to Stephen Deuters on February 22nd, 2017, 12 Q -- supposedly shirtless and wearing a 12 correct? 13 crown, I believe? You see the long paragraph 13 A This – no. This looks nothing like 14 above that that starts with "In the Mark Hotel"? 14 me. You might have mistaken -15 A Yes, yes. Q Mr. Depp, we can show the full, 16 Q You see that? At the bottom of that, 16 redacted. You looked at a number of text messages 17 does this refresh your recollection that you said, 17 in this case, and the words "him" as identifier, 18 "I have a lot of love inside me and a lot of anger 18 that's you, correct, in every text message we've 19 inside me as well. If I love somebody, then I'm 19 seen in this case? 20 going to love them. If I'm angry and I've got to 20 A Yeah, sure. It still doesn't mean it 21 lash out or hit somebody, I'm going to do it, and 21 hasn't been screwed with. That's not anything 22 I don't care what the repercussions are. Anger 22 that I've ever said or written.

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7298	7300
1 Q Do you want to see the whole thing	1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 unredacted? We can look at that too.	2 (Open court.)
3 A No. Because you could have typed it up	3 THE COURT: All right. 883 in evidence
4 last night, no.	4 as redacted.
5 Q I can assure you, I didn't type it up	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.
6 last night, Mr. Depp.	6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, I move for	7 Q Mr. Depp, you're aware that these text
8 the admission of Exhibit 883.	8 messages you can see the bottom right where it
9 THE COURT: All right. Any objection?	9 says "Depp," and then it has a number, 8129, those
10 MS. MEYERS: Objection on relevance	10 are produced by you in this litigation; you
11 grounds, Your Honor.	11 understand that, right?
12 THE COURT: All right. Relevance. You	12 A I understand that, sir, yes.
13 want to approach for a moment? Let's just take a	13 Q Okay.
14 look.	14 MR. ROTTENBORN: All right. Michelle,
15 (Sidebar.)	15 could you please let's take a look at the top
16 THE COURT: All right.	16 text first.
17 MR. ROTTENBORN: He testified to	17 Q Mr. Depp, on February 22nd, 2017, you
18 Ms. Meyers that he would never commit sexual	18 texted Mr. Deuters, "Right, exactly. Molly's
19 battery. He just testified to me, "Those words	19 pussy is rightfully mine. Should I not just bust
20 would never come out of my mouth. I would never	20 in and remove its hinges tonight?"
21 say that."	21 Did I read that right?
22 It's relevant and it's impeachment.	22 A You read it right, yeah.
7299	7301
1 MS. MEYERS: This is not there is no	1 Q And the one beneath that, you say, "I
2 foundation that that's what he's talking about	2 want to change her understanding of what it is
3 here. The words "sexual violence" or "assault"	3 like to be thrashed about like a pleading
4 are not in that text message.	4 mackerel." And then in all caps, you write, "I
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, the jury	5 NEED, I WANT, I TAKE."
6 can draw the inference from it that they want.	6 Did I read that right?
7 MS. MEYERS: This is not it's	7 A You read it right. But I did not write
8 unclear what he's talking about. It's not	8 that.
9 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm happy to admit the	9 Q Okay.
10 whole chain. I just figured every other time,	10 A Perhaps some other -
11 they wanted to redact those words, so	11 Q You wrote every other text that you
12 THE COURT: Do you want to see it	12 produced that came from you in this litigation,
13 unredacted to see the context of it for a	13 didn't you?
14 redirect?	14 A Not necessarily. Sometimes you can
15 MS. MEYERS: I would like that	15 give people your phone to people, and they text –
16 opportunity, but I suspect I would like it	16 Q Now, when you got off that plane from
17 admitted in redacted form.	17 Boston
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: I was just trying to	18 A Excuse me? I'm sorry.
19 do you a favor, Counsel.	19 Q When you got off the plane from Boston,
20 THE COURT: I mean, it's impeachment to	20 you knew Ms. Heard was angry with you, didn't you?
21 what he said, so I'll allow it.	21 A It was pretty much a given.
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.	·

. Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7302	7304
1 speculation.	I fight, you would sometimes have them communicate
2 THE COURT: Overruled.	2 with Ms. Heard on your behalf, correct?
3 Q And you understood her to be angry,	3 A I think I caught on very quickly
4 right?	4 that
5 A She was always angry, yes.	5 Q Just a yes or no, Mr. Depp.
6 Q And you asked Mr. Deuters, same	6 A would be necessary for them to
7 person	7 Q No. Just a yes or no.
8 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take this	8 It was not uncommon for after you and
9 down, Michelle, please. Thank you.	9 Ms. Heard had
10 Q same person that you texted in that	10 MS. MEYERS: Objection, Your Honor.
11 last exhibit, you asked Mr. Deuters to communicate	11 I'd ask that he be allowed to finish his answer.
12 with her on your behalf, correct?	12 MR. ROTTENBORN: It's a yes-or-no
13 A I don't know what you're talking about.	13 question, Your Honor.
14 You'll have to explain.	14 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and
15 Q You asked Mr. Deuters to communicate	15 ask your question again.
16 with Ms. Heard by text to speak to her about the	MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 incident, correct?	17 Q It wasn't uncommon for you to have one
18 A About what incident?	18 of your personal assistants communicate with
19 Q To speak to her about the plane flight.	19 Ms. Heard after you and her had a fight?
20 A The plane plane?	20 A I disagree. Because you are assuming
21 Q The Boston plane.	21 that I had them do it, then you don't know that.
22 A The Boston plane. So you're saying	22 Q After the Boston plane fight, you had
7303	7305
1 that I influenced Mr. Deuters, I told him that he	1 Mr. Deuters communicate with Ms. Heard, correct?
2 had to write this, and I had told him that he	2 A When asked what to do, I said, "Placate
3 had to write that? Is that what you're saying?	3 her, just placate her like we always do."
4 Q No, no. It wasn't uncommon for	4 Q And you told him, "Send her whatever .
5 Mr. Deuters to text to communicate with	5 message you need to send to placate"
6 Ms. Heard on your behalf, correct?	6 A "I'm sorry. He's sorry. He feels
7 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for	7 bad," yes, because any other answer, you know, it
8 speculation.	8 would turn into with World War III.
9 Q He was your personal assistant;	9 Q Okay.
10 Mr. Deuters was your personal assistant, correct?	10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up
11 A I had two personal assistants at the	11 Exhibit 229, please.
12 time.	12 Your Honor, I think you know where I'm
13 Q Right. He was one of them, right?	13 going here, and based on Mr. Depp's testimony, I'd
14 A Yeah, Mr. Deuters was one of them, yes,	14 ask to move for the admission of Exhibit 229.
15 sir.	15 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, I can we
16 Q Right. And it wasn't uncommon for you	16 please approach?
17 to ask Mr. Deuters to communicate with Ms. Heard	17 THE COURT: Sure.
18 on your behalf, correct?	18 (Sidebar.)
19 A It wasn't uncommon for any of them to	MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, these are not
20 communicate with Ms. Heard on my behalf if I were	20 Mr. Depp's words. These are the words of
21 on set or unavailable or any of that.	21 THE COURT: He's saying, "Just placate
22 Q Or if Ms. Heard and you had had a	22 her."

MR. ROTTENBORN: He said, "Tell her 2 what she needs to hear." 1 she can't fire Amber. 2 What she needs to hear." 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 with her on June 4th, 2016, isn't it? 4 June 4th, yes. 5 Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Appreciate the try. 7 (Open court.) 7 (Ope	Conducted on	May 25, 2022
2 what she needs to hear." 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. All right. 5 Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Appreciate the try. 7 (Open court.) 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 Q Mr. Depp., you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning. — you claimed that you somehow were 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, move for 6 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: This or 10 write that 11 objection. 821 in evidence. 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 texted your sister, "I want her replaced on that 14 WB film." 15 morning. — You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, move for 6 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Any objection? 11 the COURT: Any objection? 12 Q And on June 4th, 2016, Mr. Depp, you 12 texted your sister, "I want her replaced on that 14 WB film." 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining order, didn't you? 21 A Again, correct. Yes. 22 A Which question would you tike me to 23 your seached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 20 A Greg Silverstein.— 21 Q In A woo 10 your reached out to Sue Kroll to get her fired. 22 Q Mr. Depp,		
THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. All right. Thak you. THE COURT: Appreciate the try. (Open court.) Why MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 awas hurt. A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. Why Mr. Depp, you desimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Wamer 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? A Which question would you like me to 19 Q and will you somehow were 19 Awas and the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't get it down to yes or no 10 no every single time. MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, move for 6 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ally objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll overrule that 10 objection. Stall in evidence. 12 Q And on June 4th, 2016, Mr. Depp, you 13 texted your sister, "I want her replaced on that 14 WB film: 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. That's warre Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining order, didn't you? 3 A Who? 4 Core g Silverstein. I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein to 10 I hand vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 13 texted your sister, Christin Deubrowski. She was the 21 first witners in this case, right? 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q 'Her' is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 2	1	
4 A June 4th, yes. 5 Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Appreciate the try. 7 (Open court.) 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get if down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q I's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, 16 Exhibit 821. 4 A June 4th, yes. MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, 10 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on 9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 the defined. 11 to effect your feathed form A you fall the arm of the temporary is treat the temporary restraining order. 14 WB film." 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q Filer' is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then af	1	
5 Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Appreciate the try. 7 (Open court.) 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 21 thandle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get a her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 16 A Mas her and that vision of Exhibit 821. 17 C Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text invessage that you had 20 with your sister. Christ Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?		3 with her on June 4th, 2016, isn't it?
6 THE COURT: Appreciate the try. 7 (Open court.) 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to Thandle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't— 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 16 A You did. 17 Urer" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text inessage that you had 20 with your sister, Christ'i Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 21 (P You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 22 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 23 her fired from Aquaman and the the temporary 24 Q Greg Silverstein.— 25 A Which 26 The admission of Exhibis 821. 26 A Again, correct. Yes. 27 A Which question would you like me to 28 Q And then after you sent this text to 29 your sister following the temporary restraining order, didn't you? 29 Q Fou reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 29 A D. I don't recall reaching out to 20 Q Fou reached ou	4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. All right.	1
7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 I handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christ Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	5 Thank you.	
8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warmer 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order,? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "WB" is Warner Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q If's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Sthat me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	6 THE COURT: Appreciate the try.	6 the admission of Exhibit 821 as redacted.
9 relevance grounds, Your Honor. 10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 22 your sister following the temporary 24 restraining order, didn't you? 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no a 14 Q All right. 10 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had you is itser, Christ ib Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	7 (Open court.)	1
10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order, 11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified carlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 10 THE COURT: I'll overrule that 11 objection. 821 in evidence. 12 Q And on June 4th, 2016, Mr. Depp, you 13 texted your sister, "I want her replaced on that 14 WB film." 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "WB" is Warner Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining order, didn't you? 25 A Well, what is related to the story 26 about me getting her — 27 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 28 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 29 at all times. I can't get it down to yes or no 20 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 21 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 22 him fire Amber, correct? 23 A Who? 24 Q Greg Silverstein. I'm sorry. 25 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 26 Greg Silverstein — 27 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 28 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 29 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 30 no every single time. 31 Heard — 31 I hand vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 31 Heard — 32 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 31 this morning. 34 A The answer's no. 35 A One of us had two friends — 36 A One of us had two friends — 37 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 38 a Is that me trying to get her fired? 39 Q Mr. Poprite hand that right? 30 Q Mr. Popri, is this — 31 A One of us had two friends — 31 A One of us had two friends — 32 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 33 A One of us had two friends — 34 A One of us h	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	8 MS. MEYERS: We would object on
11 weren't you? 12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified carlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MGR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit \$21. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Climat her inght? 11 objection. 821 in evidence. 12 Q And on June 4th, 2016, Mr. Depp, you 13 texted your sister, "I want her replaced on that 14 WB film." 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein. I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question. Sir, all right? 19 Q This is a tex	9 Q Mr. Depp, you were pretty angry after	1
12 A Angry? I was — more than anything, I 13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit \$21. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, "I want her replaced on that 14 WB film." 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 21 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 22 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein. I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein. 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	10 Ms. Heard got her temporary restraining order,	10 THE COURT: I'll overrule that
13 was hurt. 14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this 15 morning you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 18 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her - 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "WB" is Warmer Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A – particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 19 A One of us had two friends — 10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your	11 weren't you?	11 objection. 821 in evidence.
14 WB film." 15 morning you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q Mr. Depth is the right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "WB" is Warmer Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 22 your sizer following the temporary restraining 24 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 25 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A - particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard - I vetted Ms. 11 Heard 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	12 A Angry? I was - more than anything, I	12 Q And on June 4th, 2016, Mr. Depp, you
15 morning — you claimed that you somehow were 16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 Did I read that right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "wB" is Warner Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7307 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 10 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to	13 was hurt.	1 -
16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner 17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit \$21. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 16 A You did. 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "WB" is Warner Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	14 Q And yet, you testified earlier this	14 WB film."
17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to 18 this morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 21 A Which question would you like me to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining order, didn't you? 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And 'twol' wom's is terd to get her fired from Aquaman, 19 A gain, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7309 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 2 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	15 morning you claimed that you somehow were	Did I read that right?
18 his morning. 19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-ino question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q And "WB" is Wamer Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 Exhibit 821. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	16 responsible for her getting the role with Warner	16 A You did.
19 You also tried to get her fired from 20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 19 Q And "WB" is Warmer Brothers, correct? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7309 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A - particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard - I vetted Ms. 11 Heard 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	17 Brothers, correct? That's what you testified to	17 Q "Her" is referring to Amber, right?
20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary 21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't glease you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 20 A Again, correct. Yes. 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7309 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	18 this morning.	18 A That's correct.
21 restraining order? 22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 21 Q And then after you sent this text to 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7309 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	19 You also tried to get her fired from	19 Q And "WB" is Warner Brothers, correct?
22 A Which question would you like me to 7307 1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 22 your sister following the temporary restraining 7309 7309 7309 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right?	20 Aquaman, didn't you, after the temporary	20 A Again, correct. Yes.
1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	21 restraining order?	21 Q And then after you sent this text to
1 handle first, sir? 2 Q One question, sir. You tried to get 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 1 order, you reached out to Guy Silverstein to have 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	22 A Which question would you like me to	22 your sister following the temporary restraining
2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 2 him fire Amber, correct? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		
3 her fired from Aquaman after the temporary 4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 3 A Who? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	1	
4 restraining order, didn't you? 5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 4 Q Greg Silverstein, I'm sorry. 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	1	
5 A Well, what is related to the story 6 about me getting her — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 5 A Oh. I don't recall reaching out to 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	-	-
6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't — 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this — 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 6 Greg Silverstein — 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7 Q Just yes or no, Mr. Depp. I don't 8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 7 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll to get her 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A - particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	T'	1
8 A Sir, I can't get it down to yes or no 9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 8 to fire Ms. Heard? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		
9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a 10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 9 A — particularly. 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	1	1
10 no every single time. 11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 10 I had vetted Ms. Heard — I vetted Ms. 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor — 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		1
11 Q It's a yes-or-no question, Mr. Depp: 12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 11 Heard — 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had — 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends — 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	9 at all times. I can't please you with a yes or a	-
12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you? 13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 12 Q Mr. Depp, you got your chance to speak 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had - 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		
13 A The answer's no. 14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 13 this morning. 14 A One of us had - 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
14 Q All right. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 14 A One of us had 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	12 You tried to get Ms. Heard fired, didn't you?	
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up 16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor 16 A One of us had two friends 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	13 A The answer's no.	
16 Exhibit 821. 17 Q Mr. Depp, is this 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 16 A One of us had two friends - 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	14 Q All right.	·
17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 17 THE COURT: Mr. Depp, if you could just 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		1
18 A Is that me trying to get her fired? 19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 18 answer the question, sir, all right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		
19 Q This is a text message that you had 20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 19 Next question. 20 Q You reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	17 Q Mr. Depp, is this	1
20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the 21 first witness in this case, right? 20 Vou reached out to Greg Silverstein to 21 get him — to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,	18 A Is that me trying to get her fired?	18 answer the question, sir, all right?
21 first witness in this case, right? 21 get him to try to get Amber fired from Aquaman,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	20 with your sister, Christi Dembrowski. She was the	
22 A She doesn't work at Warner Brothers; 22 didn't you?	· -	1 -
	22 A She doesn't work at Warner Brothers;	22 didn't you?

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7310	7312
1 MS. MEYERS: Asked and answered.	1 Q Okay. And in this text that you sent
2 THE COURT: Excuse me?	2 to Christian Carino Christian Carino is the
3 MS. MEYERS: Asked and answered.	3 person that used to be Amber's agent and then was
4 MR. ROTTENBORN: It's a yes-or-no	4 your agent for a time, correct?
5 question that he hasn't answered yes or no.	5 A That is correct.
6 THE COURT: All right.	6 Q And in this text, you
7 Q Yes or no, sir? You reached out to	7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Oh. Your Honor,
8 Greg Silverstein to try to get Amber fired from	8 permission to publish, please.
9 Aquaman?	9 THE COURT: You want to put it in
10 A Second half of your question is wrong,	10 evidence first?
11 sir.	11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. Move for
12 Q You reached out to Sue Kroll	12 admission of 857A.
13 A I reached out to them because I vetted	THE COURT: Any objection?
14 her.	14 MR. ROTTENBORN: No objection.
15 Q No, no, no. Mr. Depp, you reached out	THE COURT: 857A, as redacted, will be
16 to Sue Kroll to get her to try to get her to	16 in evidence.
17 help you get Amber fired from Aquaman, didn't you?	MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.
18 A No.	18 Q And in this text, Mr. Depp, you said,
19 Q And you reached out to Kevin Tsujihara	19 "She's begging for total global humiliation.
20 to try to get him to help you get Amber fired from	20 She's going to get it. I'm going to need your
21 Aquaman, didn't you?	21 text about San Francisco, brother. I'm even sorry
22 A No.	22 to ask, but she sucked Mollusk's crooked dick, and
7311	7313
1 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up	1 he gave her some shitty lawyers. I have no mercy,
2 Exhibit 857, please.	2 no fear, and not an ounce of emotion for what I
3 Q Mr. Depp, this is a text message that	3 once thought was love for the gold-digging,
4 you sent to Christian Carino on August 15th, 2016,	4 low-level, dime-a-dozen, mushy, pointless,
5 correct?	5 dangling, overused, flappy fish market. I'm so
6 THE COURT: This is already in	6 fucking happy she wants to go to fight this out.
7 evidence, correct? Or if it's	7 "She will hit the wall hard, and I
8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Parts of it are.	8 cannot wait to have this waste of a cum guzzler
9 THE COURT: Oh, so not this	9 out of my life. I met a fucking sublime little
10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Not this version.	10 Russian here which made me realize the time I blew
11 THE COURT: Well, this can't be 857,	11 on that fifty-cent stripper. I wouldn't touch her
12 then. Mr. Rottenborn, you can't do this to me.	12 with a goddamned glove. I can only hope that
13 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	13 Karma kicks in and takes the gift of breath from
14 Understood.	14 her. Sorry, man, but now I will stop at nothing.
15 We'll call it 857A.	"Let's see if Mollusk has a pair. Come
16 THE COURT: 857A, okay. All right.	16 see me face to face. I'll show him things he's
17 Yes, sir. Thank you.	17 never seen before, like the other side of his dick
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: My apologies, Your	18 when I slice it off."
19 Honor.	19 Did I read that right?
20 Q Mr. Depp, you sent this text to	20 A You did.
21 Christian Carino on August 15th?	21 Q Now, not long after this
22 A I most certainly did.	22 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Conducted of	1 Way 23, 2022
7314	7316 1 A Alleged tapes?
down, Michelle. Thank you.	A Alleged tapes? THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
2 Q Not long after this, you met 3 Mr. Waldman in the late summer or fall of 2016,	l
1.	Next question. Q Now, Mr. Depp, you testified and I
	5 wrote it down before lunch you said, when
5 A I believe, yeah. September, October,	6 Ms. Meyers asked you something about, you know,
6 somewhere in there, whatever. 7 Q And he's been your attorney since then,	7 "How does it feel to make you" or "how does it
	8 feel to be here?" you said, "I've been living with
	9 it for six years and waiting to be able to get the
9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q And you met with him with the Daily	10 truth out."
10 Q And you met with him with the Daily 11 Mail in London in February 2020, didn't you?	Do you remember saying that?
	12 A Yes.
T	13 Q You also said, "I've spoken up for what
13 Q You and Mr. Waldman, together, met with 14 the Daily Mail in London in February 2020, didn't	14 I've been carrying on my back," something to that
15 you?	15 effect.
16 A Are you asking me a question about my	16 Do you remember saying that?
17 attorney and I?	17 A Yes.
18 Q Yeah. That you two met with people	18 Q And you've claimed several times in
19 from the Daily Mail in London in February 2020.	19 this proceeding, Mr. Depp, that this trial is your
20 A Was that during the London trial?	20 first chance to tell your story, haven't you?
21 Q No.	21 A Yes, sir.
22 A No?	22 Q But that's just not true, is it,
7315	7317
1 Q Nope. In February.	1 Mr. Depp? That is not true.
2 A I don't recall it, then.	2 A No. For me it is true.
3 Q Okay. To the extent Mr. Waldman	3 Q Okay. Well, here's the thing: You
4 testified that you did, you don't dispute that,	4 the fact is, Mr. Depp, when Dan Wootton wrote an
5 correct?	5 article that was published in The Sun calling you
6 A I just don't - I don't recall it.	6 a wife beater, you brought a lawsuit against The
7 Q Okay. You don't disagree with	7 Sun in June of 2018, correct?
8 Mr. Waldman's testimony that you and he met with	8 A Yes, I brought a lawsuit.
9 people from the Daily Mail in London in February	9 Q And that was six months before
10 2020, correct?	10 Ms. Heard ever wrote her op-ed, correct?
11 A If that's Mr. Waldman's testimony,	11 A I don't know.
12 then —	12 Q And in the summer of 2020, there was a
13 Q Okay.	13 several-week trial in London against The Sun,
14 A But I just didn't necessarily know who	14 correct?
15 these people were.	15 A Ms. Heard wasn't a party to that trial.
16 Q Right.	16 Q That's not my question, Mr. Depp.
17 A I guess.	17 In the article that The Sun wrote that
18 Q The same month that the Daily Mail	18 you sued over, you sued for Mr. Wooton calling you
19 released alleged tapes between you and Amber,	19 a wife beater, correct?
20 correct?	20 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Asked and
21 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for	21 answered.
22 speculation. Lack of personal knowledge.	22 THE COURT: Sustained.

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7318 1 Next question.	7320 1 BY MS. MEYERS:
2 Q And in the trial that you subsequently	
3 brought, you called a lot of witnesses, right?	Q Mr. Depp, Mr. Rottenborn asked you some guestions about the U.K. trial. Why do you feel
4 A I don't know what a lot is. I don't	3 questions about the U.K. trial. Why do you feel 4 that this is the first time that you've actually
5 know.	1
6 Q Many people testified on both sides of	11 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
7 the trial, correct?	6 said, get off get the load off your back? A As the U.K. trial was me suing Dan
8 A Yes, many people.	[
9 Q And many exhibits were introduced,	8 Wootton and The Sun for defamation for calling me
10 correct?	9 a wife beater, the U.K. have different well,
11 A Like a trial, yes.	10 there are different laws; there are different ways
12 Q And you, just like in this trial, you	11 they handle things. There are also limitations in
7,3	12 evidence. Some things can be brought up; some
13 were on the stand for several days in that trial, 14 correct?	13 things cannot be brought up, Mr. Rottenborn.
,	MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, this calls
15 A Yes, sir, I was. 16 Q And that trial involved the same	15 for a legal conclusion. He's talking about
	16 limitations in evidence in English
17 factual issues that you are litigating here, which	MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, he's talking
18 is whether you committed domestic violence against	18 about his experience testifying.
19 Amber Heard?	19 THE COURT: Overruled.
20 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Calls for a	20 A He'll be okay.
21 legal	21 Yes. There was a very everything is
22 THE COURT: Sustain the objection.	22 quite boxed-in with regard to what can be said,
7319 1 Next question.	7321 1 what can be spoken about. So Ms. Heard provided
2 Q You brought that case against The Sun	2 information to The Sun as their star witness, but
3 because you were angry at The Sun for calling you	3 the case was not brought against Ms. Heard; it was
4 a wife beater, correct?	4 brought against The Sun newspaper, journal,
5 A Yeah. That's probably a pretty good	5 whatever it is.
6 reason.	6 Q Mr. Rottenborn referenced that you were
7 Q And you went through that trial in	7 on the stand for multiple days.
8 London, correct?	8 A Four and a half, I believe, yes.
9 A I did indeed, yes.	9 Q Yeah. What was the nature of that
10 Q Mr. Depp, you've had a chance to tell	10 examination or excuse me. Who was conducting
11 your story, haven't you?	11 that examination of you?
12 A No. There were many limitations in the	12 A QC Sasha Wass.
13 U.K. trial.	13 Q And whose attorney was that?
14 MS. MEYERS: Objection. Asked and	14 A The Sun's.
15 answered.	15 MS. MEYERS: Can we please pull up
16 MR. ROTTENBORN: No further questions,	16 DX857A.
17 Your Honor.	17 THE COURT: All right. It's already in
18 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.	18 evidence, so you can publish it.
19 MS. MEYERS: Thank you.	19 Q Mr. Depp, do you recall seeing this
20 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	20 text message when Mr. Rottenborn was questioning
21 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	21 you?
22	22 A Oh, yes, I do.
	r DEDOC

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7322 7324 Q Can you explain what you're conveying Next question. 2 to Mr. Carino in this text message? Q Mr. Depp, without explaining what A I mean, I'm in total shock that this is Warner Brothers felt, why did you send this text 4 happening to me, that my entire life on the planet message to your sister, and what were -- excuse me -- strike that. 5 has been brought to the head of a pin with all 6 this completely utterly false information. So I What were you trying to convey to your 7 am -- yeah. When you're accused of horrific acts sister when you sent this text message? 8 and things that you have not done, when it's A Honestly, I felt responsibility for 9 actually some very ugly things that are going out having gone to those people and, you know, painted 10 there into the world about you on a nonstop basis 10 such a beautiful picture. 11 by Ms. Heard and her team, you have a tendency, as MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection. Hearsay, 12 Your Honor. Now he's talking about what he said 12 humans, to get very, very irate and angry, not to 13 the point where you go out and hurt someone. Not 13 to Warner Brothers. 14 to the point even where you assault a cabinet, but THE COURT: Overruled, 15 you do get irate. You do wonder why this person 15 Q Please continue. 16 16 is doing this to me. Change seats? Huh? So, yeah, many things go through your 17 Q So, sorry. You can continue. 18 head, and then you've got your family. You've got 18 A I felt it was my responsibility to get 19 your kids. You've got your parents -- well, my 19 the truth to Warner Brothers about they were going 20 mom, thankfully, didn't get to read any of this 20 to -- what they were going to end up facing down 21 because that would have killed her. But my father 21 the line, which is two franchises that would be --22 and my family might. Everyone that I've met, the 22 would be causing problems for one another, 7323 7325 1 people that supported me, suddenly I'm scum. And 1 especially as all the -- any news, any press, any why? Never had to happen. One little lie. media that came out about me at that time had been 3 So, yes, very angry. 3 turned into, you know, I was Charles Manson, you MS. MEYERS: Could we please pull up know, I was the worst thing on Earth. And they 5 Defendant's Exhibit 821. just kept coming. It was like a -- it was like a Q Mr. Depp, do you recall seeing this 6 nonstop fire. 7 text message when Mr. Rottenborn was asking you So my responsibility, after having 8 questions? painted a beautiful picture of her for them, was A Yes. He loves this one. Yes. to tell them, "I think you'd better" --10 Q Yes. Would you care to explain what MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor. 11 you're trying to convey in this text message? 11 He's getting into what he claims he told Warner A Well, Warner Brothers was about to 12 Brothers or wanted to. 13 find — they were about to find themselves in MS. MEYERS: He's saying what he wanted 14 quite a dilemma, as the person that they had just 14 to tell them. He's not saying what he actually 15 cast -15 told them. 16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 17 Lack of foundation as to what Warner Brothers knew 17 Next question. 18 or thought. Q Mr. Depp, you mentioned two franchise 19 A Didn't I meet with them? 19 films with Warner Brothers. What two franchise THE COURT: All right. If you could, 20 films were you referring to?

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

21 just wait for the question.

I'll sustain the objection.

22

A There was Aquanet -- I mean Aquaman,

22 sorry -- Aquaman and Fantastic Beasts, the one

F	May 25, 2022
7326 11 that I was in.	7328 1 please.
	<u> </u>
ļ	2 Can we please pull up Defendant's 3 Exhibit 1821.
3 with respect to those two franchise films?	I ⁻
4 A Warner Brothers was starting to get	4 Q Mr. Depp, do you recognize this 5 document?
5 quite upset about some of the things that were	17
6 being said about me in the press that were	6 A That is behind the bar, yes.
7 constant, constant, constant hit pieces, and, you	Q Okay. And do you see Mr. Rottenborn
8 know, on one level, yes, it's just acting. It's	8 asked you some questions about whether there was a
9 just movies. But it's business and it's your	9 telephone in Australia.
10 word, and I had given my word to them and I had	10 A Yes. And I – I see a telephone there
11 to — I felt responsible in — that I had to tell	11 now.
12 them exactly what was going on and to — that it	12 Q And you recall that telephone being
13 was going to end up helping.	13 there?
14 Q And which of those two film franchises	14 A I don't recall the telephone being
15 were you a part of?	15 there, but I can see it now.
16 A I'm sorry?	MS. MEYERS: Can we please pull up
17 Q Which of those two film franchises were	17 Defendant's Exhibit 1820.
18 you a part of?	18 Q Do you recall me showing you this text
19 A I was in Fantastic Beasts and Where to	19 message earlier on? Or excuse me. Do you recall
20 Find Them, and I was in Fantastic Beasts: The	20 me showing you this picture
21 Crimes of Grindelwald.	21 A Yes.
22 MS. MEYERS: Could we please pull up	22 Q during your examination?
7327 1 DX883.	7329 1 A Yes, yes, yeah.
	2 Q Okay. And I think I asked you whether
Q Now, Mr. Depp, do you recall seeing these text messages during Mr. Rottenborn's	3 you recall a phone being mounted on the wall in
4 examination?	4 the left of this picture.
l'	l
1	1
6 Q And you didn't seem to recall these 7 text messages; is that fair?	6 A Yes. 7 Q And what was your answer to that?
8 A It truly is fair. It's not I've not	8 A No.
9 seen those.	9 Q Mr. Depp, Mr. Rottenborn asked you some
10 Q Do you remember	10 questions about your honeymoon.
11 A I don't know who Molly is. I don't	Do you remember that?
12 know any — I don't know nothing about these.	12 A Yes.
13 Q Do you have any understanding of what	13 Q And I believe you had testified that
14 you're referring to in this text message or these	14 you and Ms. Heard were on the Orient Express
15 two text messages?	15 together?
16 A No. Honestly, if somebody else had	16 A That's correct, yes.
17 borrowed my phone or something and made this text	17 Q Where had you been prior to being on
18 to Stephen, possibly. But I don't understand I	18 the Orient Express?
19 don't have that kind of I don't write like I	19 A We had been in Australia and then made
20 don't have that kind of hubris or expectation.	20 it over to Thailand to catch the Orient Express.
21 That's quite grotesque text.	21 MS. MEYERS: If we could, bring up
	22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 162 again.
22 MS. MEYERS: Can we take that down,	122 I MILLIES LAMOR TOZ USANI.

Conducted on	May 25, 2022
7330	7332
1 Q Mr. Depp, do you know who took this 2 picture?	1 BY MS. VASQUEZ:
1 1	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Tremaine. A Hello.
A Malcolm Connolly. Q And despite what Mr. Rottenborn showed	1
5 you about the of the picture of you prior to	4 Q Would you please state your full name 15 for the record.
6 this, do you see a bruise on your face in this	,
7 picture?	6 A Morgan Cliff Tremaine. 7 O What do you do for a living?
-	
8 A I see, like, what looks like a pretty 9 decent shiner and kind of scratched-up nose, yes.	8 A I produce esports events and design 9 video games.
10 Q And do you recall how you got the	"
11 scratches and the shiner?	10 Q And in 2016, what did you do for a 11 living?
	1
12 A There was a very brief freakout that	12 A I worked as the field assignment
13 Ms. Heard had in our cabin just before this	13 manager at TMZ.
14 dinner. I can't remember why, but there were	14 Q What is TMZ?
15 many. I remember taking the photograph, though.	15 A TMZ is an entertainment news website
16 I mean, I remember being there. I remember	16 and television show.
17 meeting the chef and all, but I – I mean, the	17 Q And what were your responsibilities as
18 quality of the photo's not great. The quality of	18 a field assignment manager for TMZ?
19 the other photo that he shows is prettied-up.	19 A I was the go-between, the news desk in
20 Q Mr. Depp, did you ever physically abuse	20 the office, and the reporters in the field, which
21 Ms. Heard during your relationship?	21 you might know as paparazzi.
22 A Never. Never.	22 Q Approximately how many paparazzis were
7331 1 MS. MEYERS: No further questions.	7333
2 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you can	2 A At the time, it was about 20 in LA; a
3 have a seat next to your attorneys. Thank you.	3 handful, maybe three, in New York; and then one in
4 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.	4 D.C.
5 Thank you.	5 Q And what were your responsibilities
6 THE COURT: Your next witness.	6 specifically as to the paparazzi?
7 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Depp calls Morgan	7 A It would be to dispatch paparazzi to
8 Tremaine.	8 various locations based on tips or just direction
9 THE COURT: I didn't quite get that.	9 dictated by having a list of sort of hot spots
10 I'm sorry.	10 where celebrities would be.
11 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Depp calls Morgan	II Q And how were those tips received?
12 Tremaine.	12 A They're received either through tips
13 THE COURT: All right. Morgan	13 that we received through our tip line or directly
14 Tremaine.	14 through news producers in the office.
15 MORGAN TREMAINE,	15 Q And were tips frequently received
16 a witness called on behalf of the	16 directly from sources?
17 plaintiff and counterclaim defendant, having been	17 A Very often the case, yeah.
18 first duly swom by the Clerk, testified as	18 Q And who were the type of sources that
19 follows:	19 TMZ received tips from?
20 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	20 A They received tips from - oftentimes,
21 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT .	21 it would be publicists, managers, agents, or
22 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.	22 B-list celebrities.
DIANE	<u> </u>

7337

Q	Lawyers?
~	Early ors.

- 2 And lawyers, definitely.
- 3 How are tips verified?
- Tips are verified by an extensive
- 5 process. If they come in through our tip line, we
- have to verify who sent it, that they that the
- source is truthful, and so they have to add their
- contact information which is a field in that on
- that website for, like, name, phone number, things 10 like that.
- 11 Q And how long did that process typically 12 take?
- If we receive a tip through the tip 14 line, it could take a while because that would 15 need to be - if it was a tip, we would need to 16 verify it. If it was media such as photos or 17 videos, that would need to be extensively verified 18 to ensure the person sending it is the copyright 19 holder and that we would have the legal ability to 20 air it and distribute it.
- And while working for TMZ, were you 22 involved in any assignments related to Ms. Heard?

7334 Q What was your team of paparazzis

- supposed to do while they were at the LA
- courthouse on May 27th, 2016?
- 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection, Your Honor.
- Hearsay and foundation. 5
- 6 THE COURT: What were they supposed to
- 7 do?
- 8 MS. BREDEHOFT: Right. She's asking
- for -- I don't think there's a foundation laid. 9
- THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection 10 11 at this point. We'll see.
- 12 Go ahead, Mr. Tremaine.
- 13 Can you re - state the question again?
- 14 What was your team of paparazzi
- 15 supposed to do while they were at the Los Angeles 16 courthouse on the May 27th, 2016?
- A Their objective was to capture her 17 18 leaving the courthouse, and then she was going to 19 sort of stop and turn towards the camera to 20 display the bruise on the right side of her face, 21 the alleged bruise.
- Q Did your team of videographers get the 22

7335

- A I was.
- When was the first time you recall
- working on an assignment related to Ms. Heard?
- A I believe it was May 27th, 2016.
- And what was your role in that
- assignment?
- A For that, Ms. Heard was filing a 8 restraining order at a courthouse in downtown
- 9 Los Angeles. So I dispatched camera people to
- 10 that location.
- 11 Q Under what circumstances would you 12 normally send paparazzi to a courthouse?
- A Only if we had been informed prior. 14 It's not by any means a celebrity hot spot. We 15 would only ever send people there if we had been 16 tipped off that something was occurring and there
- 17 was somebody present there.
- Q And what footage was TMZ trying to 19 capture at the LA courthouse on May 27th, 2016?
- A We were trying to capture Amber leaving 20 21 the courthouse and an alleged bruise on the right 22 side of her face.

- 1 shot of Amber Heard?
- 2 A We did.
- What is the difference between 3
- 4 receiving a tip from a news producer and any other
- source?
- A If it's any other source, it would have
- to be verified by copyright. If it was anything
- 8 that was received directly through a news
- producer, then they go through that process to 10 verify the source.
- 11 Q Did you do anything to verify the tip 12 on May 27th, 2016, related to Amber Heard?
- 13 A I did not.
- Why not? 14 Q
- 15 A Because it had come directly from a 16 news producer.
- Does that mean it had been verified? 17
- A It means that they had verified that 19 tip and deemed that it was credible, and, 20 therefore, a camera person needed to be 21 dispatched.
- 22 After May 27th, 2016, were you involved

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7338	7340		
1 in any other assignments related to Amber Heard?	1 Let's see where it goes.		
2 A Yes.	2 Q Please continue.		
3 Q Can you tell me about those	3 A So I received that email, and it		
4 assignments?	4 included a link from some unknown Dropbox-type		
5 A The next one would have been	5 public website in which it can be —		
6 August 6th, 2016, where she was giving a	6 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection, Your Honor.		
7 deposition.	7 Hearsay. He's about to describe what comes from		
	8 the Dropbox website, and that's		
9 tip?	9 THE COURT: So far he's just said it		
10 A I dispatched camera people to a parking	10 was a link from the Dropbox. We'll see with the		
11 lot adjacent to a law office in which she would be	11 next question.		
12 arriving to so we could get the footage of her	Go ahead with your next question.		
13 arriving for the deposition.	13 Q So you received a link. Was in that		
14 Q Do you typically send paparazzis to	14 link?		
15 parking lots of law offices?	15 A A video of Johnny Depp smashing the		
16 A No, not at all.	16 cabinets.		
17 Q Did you get the shot of Ms. Heard on	17 Q And you received this video in your		
18 August 6th, 2016?	18 inbox, correct?		
19 A We did.	19 A I did.		
20 Q After August 6th, 2016, were you	20 Q What did you do once you received that		
21 involved in any other stories involving Ms. Heard?	21 video?		
22 A Yes, I was.	22 A We downloaded it. We alerted the web		
7339	7341		
1 Q And what story was that?	1 editor, who was sitting next to me at the time.		
2 A On the 12th, we received a video	2 We downloaded and then were instructed by the news		
3 depicting Johnny Depp slamming some cabinets that	3 producer to do what we call "slap bumpers and a		
4 was captured by Ms. Heard.	4 bug on it," which is putting a "dun-dun-dun" at		
5 Q And what day was that?	5 the beginning and end and then putting a		
6 A I believe that was the August 12th.	6 translucent watermark over it which indicates		
7 Q Of 2016?	7 copyright ownership.		
8 A Of 2016, yes.	8 Q After you did that, was it posted?		
9 Q Can you describe to the jury how you	9 A It was posted, yes.		
10 received the video on August 12th, 2016?	10 Q Where was it posted?		
11 A Yes. The video was sent in through our	11 A It was posted to tmz.com.		
12 email tip line, which is an email distribution	12 Q Did you do anything else related to		
13 that goes to all the producers and to myself as	13 Amber Heard on August 12th, 2016?		
14 the field assignment manager because it often	14 A Yes. I received a tip that Amber Heard		
15 included celebrity locations. It came in as, as I	15 would be arriving at LAX, and so I dispatched		
16 recall, a — 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection. Hearsay.	16 camera people to film that exit or her arrival 17 to LAX, rather.		
	1		
18 MS. VASQUEZ: He's just describing how 19 it came in.	18 Q Why did you do that? 19 A I was instructed to.		
20 MS. BREDEHOFT: I think he's about to	20 Q How long does it take to post a story		
21 reveal hearsay, Your Honor.	21 after media's been received by TMZ?		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
22 THE COURT: I'll overrule for now.	22 A After media's been received, it could		

6

8

7343

1

1 take any length of time, depending on who owns the copyright. 2

- 3 O How does TMZ obtain copyright over images and videos?
- A The only way to obtain copyright over 6 video would be if we shot it ourselves. If it was 7 sent to the tip line, source verified, it was the 8 original copyright owner and then either purchase 9 from that person or given to us. And then the 10 third option would be if it was directly given to 11 us by the copyright holder like a direct source.
- Q And how long does it take to copyright 12 13 something TMZ has received through the tip line?
- A It can take a while because you have to 15 extensively verify that that person owns the 16 copyright, and then possibly it depends also if 17 they -- you can even get in contact with the 18 person because they might not be super responsive 19 immediately via phone or email that they provided. 20 And then potentially, you'd have to enter 21 negotiation with our clips and clearances
- Q How long does it take for TMZ to obtain a copyright of something received directly from a source?

22 department to figure out the cost of that media.

- A Something in the realm of 15 minutes, just to do what I described before, which is putting bumpers and a bug on something, and write 7 the article and post it. It's pretty fast.
- 8 O How much time had passed from the time 9 you received the kitchen cabinet video to the time 10 it was posted on TMZ?
- 11 A About 15 minutes.
- Q Did any other tabloids other than TMZ 12 13 post this video?
- 14 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection. Leading and 15 calls for hearsay.
- 16 MS. VASOUEZ: "Did any other."
- THE COURT: Overruled. 17
- 18 Q Mr. Tremaine, go ahead.
- 19 A No, they did not.
- 20 Q And why not?
- 21 A Because it was a TMZ exclusive.
- 22 O And what does that mean?

A It means that TMZ owns the copyright to 2 it, so it can't be distributed by any other media source without back-linking to TMZ, and they wouldn't be able to upload that media without getting a copyright strike.

Q Have you seen the kitchen cabinet video that was played in this trial?

A I have.

Q How does that video that was played in 10 this trial compare to the one you received on 11 August 12, 2016?

12 A When I had clicked the direct link that 13 we received and watched the video in its entirety. 14 it was much shorter than the video we had 15 received — than the video that's been played in 16 this trial. There was a bit at the beginning that 17 was played here in which Ms. Heard is seemingly 18 sort of setting up the camera and getting it in a 19 position, and then there's a bit at the end where 20 she's seemingly snickering and looks at the 21 camera. That part was not present in what we 22 received.

7345

Q Did TMZ edit the video?

A No. Not even a little. When we receive something and it's edited, there's a clear indicator because there's a sort of journalistic practice that uses - when there's an edit, you do what's called, like, a white flash transition, 6 which covers the entire screen with white to very clearly indicate to everybody, "There was an edit 9 here for – time – or whatever," just to make it 10 a little more compelling. But in this case it was 11 not edited, as I was staring at the machine that 12 edited it and present for the entirety of receipt 13 to publishing.

14 Q When was the next time you worked on an 15 assignment related to Amber Heard?

16 A It was – well, there was the time 17 where we went to the airport, and then the day 18 after that, because she had flown in for the 19 deposition because I think the first time it. 20 didn't work out. So she was arriving again for a 21 deposition in that same parking lot adjacent to a 22 law office.

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Conducted on May 25, 2022			
	7346			7348
	Q And was that August 13th, 2016?	1	-	Now, if you don't have information
	A That was August 13th, yeah.	2 th	nat's h	elpful to this case, then you wouldn't be
	Q And what was your assignment on	3 a	witne	ss, correct?
1 -	ust 13th, 2016?	4		MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for
1	A To dispatch camera people to that	5 s	pecula	ation.
	king lot at a specific time in order to film	6	\mathbf{A}	I can't.
	ber Heard arriving for the deposition.	7		THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
	Q How did you know that tip was	8		Next question.
	imate?	9.		I'm not lawyer.
	A It came from a news producer. Q While you worked at TMZ, did you ever	10		You do know this case is being
	vive any communications from Mr. Depp or his	1		d, right?
13 cam		12		I am aware that there are cameras.
1	A I did not.	13		And so this gets you your 15 minutes of
15	MS. VASQUEZ: Nothing further, Your		_	
16 Hone	_	i	,	loesn't it?
17	THE COURT: Cross-examination?	15		MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
18	MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes.	1	_	entative.
1	AMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND	17		MS. BREDEHOFT: I can ask that
20	COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF	1	uestio	•
21 BY	MS. BREDEHOFT:	19		THE COURT: Overruled.
22	Q So how did you know what video was	20	A	So I stand to gain nothing from this.
		21 I'm actually putting myself kind of in the target		
		22 o	f TM	Z, a very litigious organization, and I'm not
	7347			7349
1 sho	own at this trial?			g any 15 minutes here. But you're welcome
2	A I was alerted by a friend that TMZ was	2 to	spec	culate. I could say the same thing by
3 bei	ng kind of talked about in this trial, and so I	3 ta	aking	Amber Heard as a client for you.
4 had	l seen a clip of that.	4	Q	A little argumentative, don't you
5	Q So you watched some of this trial?	5 tl	ink?	
6	A Correct.			
7		6	A	Hardly. I find that to be purely
8 cou	Q Okay. When did you first reach out to	1		Hardly. I find that to be purely . Thank you.
1		1	gical	. Thank you.
	insel for Mr. Depp?	7 lo 8	ogical Q	. Thank you. Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's
	nnsel for Mr. Depp? A I believe that was six days ago,	7 lo 8 9 at	ogical Q ttorne	. Thank you. Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was
10 wh	msel for Mr. Depp? A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g	ogical Q ttorney oing to	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was be presented on May 27th?
10 wh: 11 the	msel for Mr. Depp? A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do —	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g 11	ogical Q ttorne; oing to	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for
10 who 11 the 12	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s	ogical Q ttorne; oing to	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion.
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a poena, I think yesterday, in care of your	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s 13	Q ttorne; oing to pecula	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion. Were you aware of that?
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a spoena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right?	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s 13 14	Q ttorne; oing to pecula	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation.
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a spoena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes.	7 lo 8 9 at 10 gt 11 12 sj 13 14 15	ogical Q ttorne; oing to pecula Q	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for action. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can,
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15 16	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a poena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And Cindy Hickox represents	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s 13 14 15 16 at	Q ttorne; oing to Q	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can, it.
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15 16 17 Chr	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a spoena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And Cindy Hickox represents risti Dembrowski, Kate James, Robin Baum	7 lo 8 9 at 10 gs 11 12 sj 13 14 15 16 at 17	egical Q ttorne; oing to pecula Q nswer	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can,
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15 16 17 Chr 18	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a spoena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And Cindy Hickox represents risti Dembrowski, Kate James, Robin Baum	7 lo 8 9 at 10 gt 11 12 sj 13 14 15 16 at 17 18 sc	egical Q ettorne coing to pecula Q enswer A orry.	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can, it. Can you restate the question? I'm
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15 16 17 Chr 18 19 Cal	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a poena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And Cindy Hickox represents risti Dembrowski, Kate James, Robin Baum MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. lls for speculation.	7 lo 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s 13 14 15 16 at 17 18 s 19	pecular Q nswer A orry. Q	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for action. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can, it. Can you restate the question? I'm Were you aware that Mr. Depp's divorce
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15 16 17 Chr 18 19 Cal 20	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a spoena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And Cindy Hickox represents risti Dembrowski, Kate James, Robin Baum MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. ls for speculation. THE COURT: Overruled.	7 ld 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s 13 14 15 16 at 17 18 s 20 at 20 at 2	pecular Q nswer A orry. Q	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for attion. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can, it. Can you restate the question? I'm Were you aware that Mr. Depp's divorce ys were aware that Amber was going in to
10 who 11 the 12 13 sub 14 atto 15 16 17 Chr 18 19 Cal	A I believe that was six days ago, atever that date would be. I would have to do Q All right. And then you received a poena, I think yesterday, in care of your orney, Cindy Hickox, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And Cindy Hickox represents risti Dembrowski, Kate James, Robin Baum MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. lls for speculation.	7 ld 8 9 at 10 g 11 12 s 13 14 15 16 at 17 18 s 20 at 20 at 2	pecular Q nswer A orry. Q ttorne; eek a	Now, are you aware that Mr. Depp's ys were well aware of the TRO that was to be presented on May 27th? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for action. Were you aware of that? MS. VASQUEZ: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: Overruled. If you can, it. Can you restate the question? I'm Were you aware that Mr. Depp's divorce

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7350	7352		
1 question, but I don't think so, no.	1 BY MS. VASQUEZ:		
2 Q Okay. Do you know	2 Q Mr. Tremaine why did you contact me in		
3 A It's kind of a complicated question.	3 relation to this case?		
4 Q Do you know whether Blair Berk, one of	4 A I saw that there was a discrepancy		
5 Mr. Depp's divorce attorneys, has a very close	5 with, like, the video that was shown here and the		
6 had a very close relationship with TMZ at that	6 video that I know I had received. So I had no		
7 time?	7 interest in testifying. It was I had reached out		
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Calls for	8 simply to maybe try to help with the timeline of		
9 speculation.	9 things or help with the case in any way just by		
10 THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows.	10 virtue of understanding the timeline of the		
11 A I was not aware of that.	11 stories that were published and kind of how that		
12 Q Okay. And when you said that you were	12 can be unclear. But I had no idea I'd be on the		
13 dispatched twice, once to film Amber for in a	13 stand.		
14 parking lot for the deposition and then it didn't	14 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. Nothing further.		
15 work out, and so you had to do it another time,	15 Thank you.		
16 how did you know it didn't work out?	16 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you're		
17 A Because tmz.com posted an article	17 free to go. Thank you.		
18 saying as much.	18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
19 Q Okay.	19 THE COURT: All right. Do you have		
20 A Now, I was not dispatched. I worked in	20 another witness? Okay. Yes.		
21 the office.	21 MS. LECAROZ: Mr. Depp calls Bryan		
22 ' Q Do you know why the deposition did not	22 Neumeister, Your Honor.		
7351	7353		
1 work out?	1 THE COURT: Okay. Bryan Neumeister,		
2 A I'd have to reference the article. I	2 Mr. Neumeister.		
3 forget,	3 BRYAN NEUMEISTER,		
4 Q So do you know	4 a witness called on behalf of the		
5 A I didn't write that story. I wasn't	5 plaintiff and counterclaim defendant, having been		
6 involved in the actual development of that.	6 first duly swom by the clerk, testified as		
7 Q Do you know which side, do you know	7 follows:		
8 which side would have known or not known whether	8 THE COURT: All right. Yes, ma'am.		
9 that deposition was going to work out? In other	9 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND		
10 words, the people representing Mr. Depp or the	10 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT		
11 people representing Ms. Heard?	11 BY MS. LECAROZ:		
12 A I wouldn't know.	12 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Neumeister.		
13 Q Okay. And then the video clip, you	13 A Good afternoon.		
14 don't know who provided that, correct?	14 Q Could you please state your full name		
15 A Correct.	15 for the record.		
16 Q Okay.	16 A It's Norbert, N-O-R-B-E-R-T, Bryan I		
17 A Not testifying to that:	17 go by Bryan – B-R-Y-A-N, Neumeister,		
18 MS. BREDEHOFT: I have no further	18 N-E-U-M-E-I-S-T-E-R.		
19 questions.	19 Q Could you start by describing your		
20 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.	20 educational background, please?		
21 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	21 A My educational background, well, I		
22 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	22 graduated from Cal State University Northridge 42		

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

9

1 years ago with a degree in political science.

- 2 From then on, I've been working professionally in
- photography, totally unrelated, for the past 42
- 4 years, and that would also include videography,
- audio, and a few other different binary-related
- 6 tasks.
- Where do you currently work?
- 8 \mathbf{A} I own USA Forensic.
- What is USA Forensic?
- 10 A USA Forensic is a digital forensics
- 11 company. We are boutique. We're very small. We
- 12 work we have offices in Grosse Pointe Farms,
- 13 Michigan, and in Phoenix, Arizona. We work with
- 14 varying types of clients because to us data is
- 15 data, and it takes no side. So we can be ending
- 16 up working for prosecution, defense, law
- 17 enforcement, the Innocence Project. We have a
- 18 contract with the Department of Defense. We do
- 19 classified and unclassified work. We've done
- 20 classified work with various agencies. We've
- 21 worked with DOJ, and I've worked in 23 countries
- 22 as a photographer.

7355

- Q What's your title at USA Forensic?
- A CEO.
- Q Did you also found USA Forensic?
- A Originally around 1990, it was called
- 5 Skymeister. And that's because of my -- the
- 6 amount of helicopter photography time I have.
- 7 About twenty -- about ten years ago, we changed it
- 8 to USA Forensic while still doing a lot of the
- 9 same tasks.
- Q And you described, I think, some of the 11 entities that you work with. What kind of work do
- 12 you do for those entities that you mentioned?
- A We do audio forensics, which is
- 14 clarifying audio, for example sting operations or
- 15 audio that may have been picked up on surveillance
- 16 or any other type of recording, removing
- 17 background sounds, video clarification. We do a 18 lot of work with Axon police cameras.
- 19 MR. MURPHY: I'm going to object to
- 20 relevance. Based on the discussion we had
- 22 do with anything.
- 21 earlier, this experience has absolutely nothing to

THE COURT: All right. Do you have an 2 objection to him being moved in as an expert in

the field?

MR. MURPHY: Well, she hasn't moved yet. I'm objecting to the relevance of the

testimony on the subject matter right now.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the 8 objection.

MS. LECAROZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 Q Go ahead, Mr. Neumeister. You can 11 continue.

12 A We do a lot with Axon police cameras 13 because they don't really handle low lux levels or 14 low light levels very well, so we clean up --15 we're beta testers for a program called iNPUT-ACE, 16 which is part of the Axon company used by police 17 officers. We clarify their cameras to better see 18 what happened at night, for example, in different 19 scenes. We do the same with surveillance cameras, 20 any kind of camera, cell phone cameras. Also do 21 software forensics, computer forensics, and cell 22 tower forensics along with photographic forensics.

7357

- Q What types of cases do you work on?

A It can be anything from Fortune 500s to

- 3 it can be anything from a pro per, which is a
- person that's actually just representing
- themselves, in a smaller case to a lot of homicide
- 6 cases, defamation. It can be any kind of case
- 7 that requires cell phone extractions or computer
- 8 extractions. Could be money laundering, could be
- 9 Department of Defense identifying a voice, that
- 10 type of thing. Satellite imagery, basically 11 anything with binary information.
- Q Have you been retained as an expert 12 13 before?
- 14 A Oh, yes. I would say we average about 15150 to 200 cases a year. In the last four years, 16 we've done over 600 cases, and that would be in 17 U.S. federal courts, U.S. district courts, various 18 state courts throughout the United States. We 19 just wrapped up a case that was an overseas case, 20 wrapped up yesterday. We do U.S. military court. 21 We have a case coming up in front of the U.S. 22 Supreme Court. So it's really very - I've done

1 quite a few U.S. District Court cases.

Q Have you testified as an expert in 3 digital forensics before?

A Yes. What people sometimes don't 5 understand is that only about 2 percent of all 6 cases go to trial. So 98 percent of the time, 7 you're actually just doing the forensic work and 8 giving it to the parties. And as we say, data is 9 data; it really doesn't take a side. We don't 10 have a narrative. So very often, it's just 11 providing the data for the attorneys to work with 12 or the parties.

Q Have you ever been excluded from 14 testifying as an expert regarding any work that 15 you performed?

A No. But you have to take into account 17 that sometimes there might be curbs put on what -18 for example, in this trial, there's certain 19 boundaries, or if you're working with a pro per or 20 with an attorney that is not very familiar with 21 electronics. And the thing is, again, they teach 22 Latin in law school, not binary, and binary is the

1 Niall Latham, really excellent photographers. And

- 2 I started shooting videotape from helicopters, and
- I logged on 140,700 hours of video. And at that
- 4 time oddly enough, since we were the only
- 5 helicopter, television helicopter, we were the
- 6 only helicopter in Phoenix at the time. The
- sheriff's department did not have a helicopter.
- 8 The police department did not have a helicopter,
- nor did Air Evac. So we ended up doubling up,
- 10 being a news crew as well as an air rescue crew.

So as far as forensics, analog probably 12 from 1980 to 1990, and digital from 1990 through 13 current.

Q How did you get started in it?

15 A Really by osmosis. I started in the 16 production field. I usually don't do that much TV 17 work anymore. I did do -- I did shoot part of an 18 episode, a program called Planet Earth for the BBC 19 last year. I don't normally do television 20 anymore; it's just 99 percent forensics. But I 21 got started because very often, as - working in

7359

- 1 universal language these days. So sometimes in
- 2 the legal system, it's hard to explain to
- 3 attorneys what exactly we're doing, so we try to
- 4 break it down and make that work.
- Q What is digital forensics?
- A Digital forensics is anything that you
- 7 are using, like your television set, your cell
- 8 phone, your computer, anything that runs off of
- 9 binary information that has coding in it.
- 10 Q How long have you worked in digital 11 forensics?
- 12 A Well, I actually started off in the 13 analog, so it's been 40-some-odd years. I started 14 off as cameraman. My first cameras were film 15 cameras. When I was a kid, my dad was a director 16 of sales and sales service administration for the 17 NBC television network on the West Coast, so I 18 grew up around television cameras. My first 19 cameras were cameras people might not have heard 20 of: Leica, Hasselblad, cameras like that.
- I trained with some of the best
- 22 photographers around at the time: William Wegman, 22 the objection.

- 1 department or a rescue or a chase or whatever the
- 2 situation might be. And since I'd be videotaping

22 the helicopter, we'd be asked to work for a police

- 3 it, they would ask me to break it down frame by
- 4 frame, and analyze it using what's called a time
- base corrector in the day. And so word got out
- that I could do unusual things because I'm pretty
- good with machines, and it just ended up. More and more people started calling, and it just
- became a full-time job.
- Q Have you received any professional 11 certifications in forensics?
- 12 A Yes. But, again, most hackers and 13 people who do interesting work don't have any 14 certifications because a certification is usually 15 like a week-long course. I've been doing this 16 stuff 42 years. My partner, Matt Erickson, he's 17 actually --
- MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor, to 19 the partner is not testifying to his 20 qualifications on relevance.
- THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain

7362 7364 O Mr. Neumeister, can you just describe 1 news. 2 which professional certifications you have 2 Q Have you published any works in the 3 received? field of digital forensics? A For cell phones, Oxygen, which is a 4 A Yes. And they're mostly articles, 5 program similar to Cellebrite. These are programs about a half dozen or so. We don't have much 6 that are used by law enforcement and by private time, and I don't usually do it. But it was 7 parties to extract data from cell phones that has basically on -- most of my work deals around 8 deleted or -- which is critical in a lot of cases, clarifying or authenticating. So it was basically 9 deleted data -- or just to what we call "image a the things I published were on clarification of 10 cell phone." In other words, get every bit of 10 digital files. 11 data that's possible on a cell phone, and, again, 11 Q Have you appeared on TV as an expert in 12 every cell phone is different. 12 digital forensics? 13 The next would be in cell tower 13 Yes. 14 forensics. 14 Q Where? Q Are you a member of any professional CBS, NBC, ABC, BBC, Discovery Channel, 15 15 16 associations in your field? 16 number of different things. A Yes. IEEE, which is the International Q Any particular examples of things that 18 Engineering society, and the reason I belong to 18 you've spoken on TV about? 19 that is about 40 percent of the world's white A Boston bombings, how the frame 20 paper on electronics are published through IEEE. 20 averaging was done on that, sort of things like 21 So they have a huge database on anything from 21 that. Again, we get calls a lot, but I don't 22 microwave technology to telephone transmission 22 speak specifically about cases. I just speak 7363 7365 1 technology. Anything that I might work with, they 1 about technology. might have a white paper on it. Q Have you given any public lectures in 3 the field of digital forensics? 3 Also, with the Auto Engineering 4 Society, AES, I'm a member of that. I lecture to 4 A Yes. We get asked quite often, but due 5 AES. There's a few others, but, again, they're to our schedule, it's a little rough. We do what's called Inns of Court. We do – we speak in 6 just mainly to have a repository of information. Q Have you received any honors or awards? front of private investigator groups. We do A Yes. I've received about 80 honors and attorneys continuing legal education. 9 awards. I have -- for videography, I've got a Just Audio Engineering Society, just we 10 total of 12 Emmy award statutes, but I've been the 10 try to hit a few a year, and that's about what our 11 principal in 39 Emmy awards, which means I've 11 schedules will allow, given our time. 12 written music for the program and the program has MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor, at this 13 won the Emmy award for music, but it was given to 13 point, I'd like to tender Mr. Neumeister as an 14 expert in the field of digital forensics. 14 the production company, which happens a lot. I 15 won for best editing. I won for best ACE editing, 15 THE COURT: Any objection? 16 which is computer editing, best sound. I've 16 MR. MURPHY: No objection, Your Honor. 17 17 won -- I've done the music to a piece that won in THE COURT: All right. So moved. 18 Q Mr. Neumeister, turning to the work 18 the Gold Lion at the Cannes Film Festival. I've 19 you've done in this case, what have you done? 19 done the music to a piece that ran -- that won the

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

22 injuries to Ms. Heard.

A I was asked to analyze the

21 photographs — or photographs of purported

20 gold at the Calgary Film Festival. I've got a

21 whole lot of awards from Associated Press and

22 different companies from doing documentaries and

7369

2

3

7366

Q And what was the purpose of that 2 analysis?

A To authenticate photos or to review and see if they were altered in any way.

- Q What did you analyze to reach your 6 opinions?
- A Well, I analyzed groups of photos that were submitted by Ms. Heard's legal team.
- What work did you do to analyze those 10 photographs?
- A Well, normally we start off by looking 12 at the - what's called "EXIF data." The EXIF 13 data is the binary data that's encoded into a 14 photograph. It tells you, for example, if the 15 flash fired, if — what the operating software 16 version was of the cell phone or camera that shot 17 a photo, what type of lens was used, what the 18 F-stop was. There's literally about a thousand 19 lines of code in the EXIF data on a JPEG photo. 20 So we would start with an EXIF editor or an EXIF 21 viewer.
- 22 Anything else that you looked at? Q

1 (Sidebar.)

- THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- MR. MURPHY: So this is what was part
- of the brief, Your Honor. He's talking about
- these technical elements: Chroma, chromatic
- values, pixels, and none of these things appear in expert disclosure. And my understanding is that's
- also beyond of Your Honor's ruling this morning
- about EXIF metadata.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- 11 MS. LECAROZ: So he is -- he is talking 12 the things that he looked at, and it's the process 13 of his analysis.
- 14 THE COURT: I can --
- 15 MS. LECAROZ: Right. But there is --16 I'm sorry while I find his designation. So you 17 can see here, Your Honor, in his designation, goes 18 through -- these are the vector scopes and the 19 chroma stuff that he's talking about right now. 20 And this is where it's disclosed in his report,
- 21 that this was something that he looked at when he 22 was analyzing these photos.

MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, there are

- 2 little color schemes there. He has never
- 3 explained what those are about, what they mean.
- 4 He doesn't talk about any of that in his
- 5 disclosure. They literally have a picture, and
- 6 he's not testifying about what it means for the
- 7 first time. That's the basis of my objection.
- 8 That's outside the scope of the disclosure and
- outside the scope of Your Honor's ruling.
- 10 MS. LECAROZ: This was provided prior 11 to his deposition. They had an opportunity to ask 12 if they weren't sure what these things were or 13 what they meant.
- 14 THE COURT: Is it in is disclosure? I 15 guess is the question.
- 16 MS. LECAROZ: This is the disclosure.
- 17 THE COURT: This is the disclosure.
- 18 You're saying that the pictures are there but 19 didn't explain it?
- 20 MR. MURPHY: The testimony about what 21 it means is not stated in this disclosure. And as 22 the case law in the brief said, Your Honor, she's

A Yes. When we're dealing with RGB cameras, which are red-, green-, and blue-channel

cameras, which would be a cell phone or a basic

7367

4 home camera; they're based on RBG channels. We 5 did four types of scopes. We would do a vector scope. We do a luminance scope. We do a wave form scope and then what's called an RBG parade.

And those scopes analyze different things.

The vector scope analyzes where the 10 different types of colors are headed in. For 11 example, it's broken up into reds, magenta, 12 different areas on a -- on a scope. We would take 13 a look at that to see if there's anything out of 14 the normal for the type of camera being used. In 15 other words, would there be above a certain 16 percentage of chroma? And chroma means color 17 saturation.

- MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor. 19 Outside the scope. If we can approach, we would 20 like to.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to 22 approach?

```
7372
1 saying "had the opportunity in deposition," and
                                                       1.
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Okay.
2 the case law from the brief is crystal clear.
                                                       12
                                                              Q Mr. Neumeister, have you prepared a
3 That is not an adequate response. It has to be in
                                                          demonstrative that aids in your testimony with
4 the disclosure.
                                                          respect to any of the photos that you looked at in
         THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the
                                                          this case?
  objection.
                                                       6
                                                              A Yes.
         MS. LECAROZ: Okay. Thank you, Your
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: I'd like to pull up
8 Honor.
                                                         Plaintiff's Exhibit 1303. Your Honor, if I might
         (Open court.)
                                                       9 approach,
10 BY MS. LECAROZ:
                                                       10
                                                                 THE COURT: All right.
      Q Mr. Neumeister, based on the analysis
                                                       11
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, I would again
12 you performed in this case, have you formed any
                                                       12 object. We can approach to discuss it.
13 opinions?
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay. You want to
14
      A Pardon?
                                                       14 approach?
      Q Based on the analysis that you've done
15
                                                       15
                                                                 (Sidebar.)
16 in this case, have you formed any opinions?
                                                       16
                                                                 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
      A Yes.
17
                                                       17
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: These photos are in the
18
          What are they?
                                                       18 disclosure. They are not in evidence. There's no
19
      A Well, three basic ones. One, as quite
                                                       19 foundation for him to testify about them.
20 a number of the photos have been through a
                                                       20
                                                                 THE COURT: Is this part of the
21 photo - at least one, possibly, checksum -
                                                       21 evidence?
         MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                       22
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: So this is the issue,
                                                 7371
                                                                                                       7373
1 Foundation. Which photos is he referring to? We
                                                       1 Your Honor, that we discussed earlier.
2 have to go through this one by one.
                                                                 THE COURT: Right.
         THE COURT: All right. The ones in
                                                       3
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: The photo that's in
4 evidence.
                                                          evidence is a picture of a photo.
                                                       5
      Q Mr. Neumeister, in terms of the photos
                                                                THE COURT: Right.
                                                       6
6 that you looked at and that you formed opinions
                                                                 MS, LECAROZ: But you --
7 about, do you understand if they've been submitted
                                                                 THE COURT: Let's start with that
  as evidence in this case?
                                                         photo, so we'll have it and it's in evidence. And
9
      A Yes.
                                                         then you can -- then we're going to go from here.
10
      Q Okay. What conclusions have you formed
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: I'm saying, Your Honor,
11 about those?
                                                       11 AHA1, 1824, AHA520, none of these are in evidence;
12
         MR. MURPHY: Same objection, Your
                                                       12 therefore, he cannot testify.
                                                       13
13 Honor. That doesn't cure the issue of the
                                                                 THE COURT: I understand. It's part of
14 objection. We have to go through this. Which
                                                       14 his expert testimony. He's going to testify to
15 photos is she talking about? Which ones in
                                                       15 it. As long as he directs it to something that is
16 evidence? What exhibit numbers? That's the basis
                                                       16 in evidence, I'm going to allow him to testify to
                                                       17 this.
17 of the objection.
         MS. LECAROZ: We're talking generally
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: In my - right. But he
19 about opinions right now, Your Honor, and then
                                                       19 cannot testify to this because it's not in
20 we're going to get into some specifics.
                                                       20 evidence is my other argument.
         THE COURT: I think we have to go
                                                                 THE COURT: I understand what your
22 straight to specifics first of all.
                                                       22 argument is, but I'm allowing him to testify to
```

7376 1 this after we have connected it with something 1 forensically, they don't match. But the thing is, you could say, "Well, that's in evidence, okay? Thank you. 3 (Open court.) it was sent through email. Maybe it's a different MS. LECAROZ: Tom, can we pull up size." The file sizes, for example, would be, 5 Exhibit 170A, which has been admitted into possibly. Yeah, you can select the file size you 6 evidence. send a photo, but there's no way to authenticate BY MS. LECAROZ: any photo that was presented in the way the O Mr. Neumeister, is this -- does this evidence was collected. 9 photo appear to be one that you have analyzed as Q And so what conclusions do you draw 10 from that? 10 part of your analysis in this case? A There were many versions of this photo. A Well, there's – this is just three of 12 I would say there were dozens of different 12 many of the same type of photos that are all 13 versions with different chromatic values, 13 different sizes, different chromatic — which 14 different file sizes, different physical sizes. 14 means color -MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor. We 15 Some had been through Photos 1 or Photos 3, which 16 are photo editing software programs. 16 just had a ruling on this. 17 MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor, at this time, THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain 18 the objection. 18 I would like to show Mr. Neumeister's 19 demonstrative, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1303. Q Mr. Neumeister, stick to your opinions 20 that relate specifically to what you analyzed 20 THE COURT: All right. Any other 21 about the EXIF data, please. 21 objection? MR. MURPHY: I would object again, Your A All three of these photos had to go 7375 1 Honor, because the photograph in 170A is not in 1 through some type of transformation to change evidence. sizes. 3 3 MS. LECAROZ: We can take that one THE COURT: All right. MR. MURPHY: But I mean the photograph down. 5 is in evidence. The -- none of the photographs he Q You mentioned Photos 1.5 and Photos 3.0 earlier, I believe. wishes to show the jury are in evidence. THE COURT: 1303 is in evidence over A Photos -8 objection -- not in evidence, I'm sorry -- as a 8 What is that? Q demonstrative. A Photos 3 and Photos 1.5 are editing 10 MS. LECAROZ: Just as a demonstrative. 10 programs that Macintosh, or Apple, put out with 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 11 their product. It's for editing photos. In other 12 MS. LECAROZ: Could we publish to the 12 words, you would put a photo in, and you would 13 jury, please. 13 change the colors or you would crop it or you Q And, Mr. Neumeister, what does this 14 would clarify it by, you know, enhancing, for 15 show about the photos that you analyzed? 15 example, the sharpening or you could darken it. A Well, they appear to be similar; 16 But when you save a photo through an editing 17 however, if you look below at the file sizes, one 17 program, you leave a mark on the EXIF data. 18 on the left is 712 kilobytes. The one in the Q And what is the EXIF data? 18 19 middle is 489 kilobytes, and the one on the right 19 A The EXIF data is a data that is 20 is 524 kilobytes. Now, what's unusual about that 20 embedded in a photograph that tells you a lot

PLANET DEPOS

21 is these photos will not digitally fingerprint

22 with each other; they won't hash. In other words,

21 about the paragraph. And, again, in the early

22 days when we were using film cameras, you would

1 write down the F-stop, which is the light setting; 2 you would write the type of lens you use; the time 3 of day; the type of film stock; the type of 4 filters you're using. Now, with digital cameras, 5 that's done electronically. And there's about a 6 thousand lines of code, of which 50 are probably 7 important, that tell you what the camera is doing. Q So what's the significance of EXIF data 9 in your photo analysis? A Well, in this situation, I can see that 11 normally, where the operating system of the camera 12 would be, which means the version that the - of 13 operating system the phone is running on, you 14 would normally see something like - I'll throw 15 just out an arbitrary number - 9.1.3 operating 16 system for iOS, which is Apples iPhone operating 17 system. 18 Instead of saying that, it says, 19 "Software: Photos 3.0" or "Photos 1.0." That 20 means that the photo had to be rendered, which 21 means composited together, in an editing program. Did you prepare a demonstrative that 7379 1 shows some of your analysis of some of the EXIF 2 data of the photos in this case? A Yes, I did. 3 Q Okay. MS. LECAROZ: Can we pull up 1304, 6 please. Your Honor, may I approach?

THE COURT: Okay.

14 cocounsel was talking to me. I'm so sorry.

10 a demonstrative, Your Honor.

12 objection, Mr. Murphy?

16 demonstrative?

18 demonstrative.

MS. LECAROZ: Permission to publish as

MR. MURPHY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. My

THE COURT: Any objection? Any

THE COURT: Just to publish it as a

MR. MURPHY: No objection as a

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Q And, Mr. Neumeister, are these images

20 We'll publish it as 1304, just as a demonstrative.

22 in this demonstrative excerpts from the report you

8

11

15

17

19

1 prepared in this case? 2 A Yes, they are. 3 What do they show? A On this particular photo, and on all of 5 them, it shows the first few lines of EXIF data, 6 the ones that would be most important for this photograph. So for example of things you would 8 see, the very top line would be the make of the phone; it's an Apple iPhone 6. And then the 10 resolution is 72 pixel per inch, 72 to 1, and 11 instead of where it says "software" on a normal 12 iPhone photo, it would - instead of saying 13 "Photos 3," it would say the software version, for 14 example 9.3.1. And then you've got the date and the 15 16 time of the photo below that, and which is really 17 easy to change in an EXIF editor. And below that, 18 you have things like the - like the flash. 19 You've got the exposure type, how long the 20 exposure was. So what you just highlighted there, 21 again, was the date and time. So that's universal 22 time code minus whatever area you're in in the 7381

Q Anything else you want to shows us with this demonstrative?

A Yeah. Just below that, if you look, there's some things that would say, for example, "a directly photographed image." That is not going to be necessarily accurate once it's been through an editor. All those pretty much say 9 that. So when you're looking at scene type or 10 autoexposure, these are things that really don't 11 matter all that much. What will matter is, for 12 example, if you're taking notes, the focal length 13 would be important, the pattern of metering. 14 Things like that, to a photographer, would be 15 important.

16 And again, this is just a few lines, 17 and the reason I put these in there was just to 18 explain a bit what EXIF data is. The actual thing 19 I'm trying to point out is the fact that instead 20 of an operating system, it shows the editing 21 program that was used on this photo.

 Are there additional photos that you 122

1 world.

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

```
7382
  did this analysis for?
                                                              A And, again, same thing. You've got the
      A Yes. Many.
                                                          Photos app.
2
         MS. LECAROZ: Can we scroll to the next
                                                              O Okay. And I believe there's one final
 page, please, Tom.
                                                          photo in this demonstrative. What about this one?
      Q Is there anything about this photo that
                                                              A Again, if you look up there, it says
6 you noted as part of your analysis,
                                                          "Photos 3.0" on that particular photo.
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: We can take that one
7 Mr. Neumeister?
                                                       8 down.
      A Yes. Again, it's, you know, right
                                                       9
                                                                 Your Honor, I have a little bit left.
9 there, you've got Photos 3.0 on that particular
                                                       10 I don't know if you wanted to --
10 photo. And I think, you know, we've pretty much
                                                                 THE COURT: All right. You want to
11 covered what the stuff is, but again, you see the
12 "Photos 3.0." And again this could not come out
                                                        12 take our afternoon -- let's go ahead and take our
13 of an iPhone this way. This would go into a
                                                        13 afternoon recess. Just do not discuss the case,
                                                        14 and do not do any outside research. Thank you.
14 computer, be edited and rendered through the photo
15 editing -- photo editor, and this would then be
                                                                 (Whereupon, the jury exited the
16 embedded in the EXIF data.
                                                        16 courtroom and the following proceedings took
                                                       17 place.)
      Q Do you have other photos in this
                                                                 THE COURT: All right. So let's just
18 demonstrative?
                                                        18
19
                                                        19 come back at 4:00, all right?
      A Yes.
                                                       20
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
      Q All right.
                                                       21
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Thank you.
         MS. LECAROZ: Can we scroll to the next
21
                                                       22
                                                                 THE BAILIFF: All rise.
22 page.
                                                 7383
                                                                                                       7385
      A Same thing. You've got up here and at
                                                                 (Recess taken from 3:40 p.m. to
                                                          4:00 p.m.)
2 top, you've got the Photos 3.0, and this is
3 throughout a lot of the photos that are in
                                                       3
                                                                 THE BAILIFF: All rise. Please be
4 evidence or versions of the photos in evidence
                                                          seated and come to order.
5 were gone through Photos 3.0 or Photos 1.5, an
                                                                 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready
6 earlier version.
                                                          for the jury?
         MS. LECAROZ: Can we scroll to the next
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: May we approach for just
8 page please, Tom.
                                                          one moment?
      Q And what about this one?
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay.
10
      A Same thing. Photos 3.0, and again, in
                                                       10
                                                                 (Sidebar.)
11 the photo editing app, you can do an awful lot of
                                                        11
                                                                 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
12 things. So when you see Photos 3.0, first of all
                                                        12
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: So just -- sorry, Dana.
                                                        13
                                                                 Understanding your ruling on the
13 you know it's not anywhere near an original.
14 There's going to be compression artifacts because
                                                        14 chromatography issue, I just want to, if it would
                                                        15 be all right, instruct the witness before we go to
15 it's a JPEG file.
         MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                        16 the next --
17 Beyond the scope of your ruling.
                                                                 THE COURT: I know. We just need to
         THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain
                                                        18 talk about it and tell him --
19 the objection.
                                                        19
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: -- just to tell him I
20
         Next question.
                                                       20 don't --
21
         MS. LECAROZ: Move to the next page of
                                                        21
                                                                 THE COURT: Do you have any objection
22 this demonstrative, please.
                                                       22 to that?
```

```
7388
         MR. MURPHY: If that's all she's
                                                      1 photo, but it's not the same.
                                                      2
                                                               MS. LECAROZ: It's consistent with your
2 saying, and that's -- yes. No objection to that.
         (Open court.)
                                                         ruling, Your Honor.
4
         THE COURT: All right. Are we ready
                                                               THE COURT: I've already taken care of
                                                        that argument. So what part of the video doesn't
5 for the jury?
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                        have a video --
6
  Sorry. I'm sorry.
                                                               MS. LECAROZ: So he has different
         MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor.
8
                                                      8 versions of that same photos, one that has been
         (Whereupon, the jury entered the
                                                      9 through a photo editor, the Photos 3 app, and one
10 courtroom and the following proceedings took
                                                      10 that does not indicate that it's been through
11 place.)
                                                      11 Photos 3. So it just shows back and forth between
12
         THE COURT: All right. Okay. You can
                                                      12 the two version of the photo.
13 be seated.
                                                               MR. MURPHY: And the basis of my
         Your next question.
                                                      14 objection is that neither of those photos are in
15
         MS. LECAROZ: Thank you.
                                                      15 evidence. That's -- well, it's beyond the scope
16 BY MS. LECAROZ:
                                                      16 of Your Honor's ruling, I understand that. But
      Q Mr. Neumeister, do you have another
                                                      17 again, they're showing -- I would absolutely
18 demonstrative prepared that shows a photo with
                                                      18 object to it being admitted as an exhibit at trial
19 EXIF data reflecting that it was saved in
                                                      19 because they're not in evidence. I will still
                                                      20 object as demonstrative, but I'm not sure if Your
20 Photos 3?
21
      A Correct. Photos 3, yes.
                                                      21 Honor's already going to rule against me or not
22
         MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor, we have a
                                                      22 because, again, the photos are not in evidence.
                                                7387
                                                                                                     7389
                                                               THE COURT: But it's the same -- it's
1 video of these photos, and we're happy to play it
2 once so that counsel can review, if that's all
                                                      2 the same depiction on a photo that's in evidence.
3 right.
                                                        It's just not --
4
         MR. MURPHY: May we approach, Your
                                                      4
                                                               MS. LECAROZ: And I'm happy to pull up
5 Honor?
                                                         the defendant's exhibit that is the same first.
                                                      6
6
         THE COURT: Okay.
                                                               THE COURT: All right. We'll see that
7
                                                      7
                                                        first.
         (Sidebar.)
         MS. LECAROZ: You don't even want to
                                                      8
                                                               MS. LECAROZ: Yeah.
9 see it first.
                                                               THE COURT: And then I'll allow it,
         MR. MURPHY: I know what it is. I know
                                                      10 okay?
10
11 what the video is.
                                                      11
                                                               MS. LECAROZ: And just to be clear too,
12
         THE COURT: Okay.
                                                      12 Your Honor, on this demonstrative, it says
         MR. MURPHY: The two photographs in the
                                                      13 "DX1322" because there's even yet another version
13
                                                      14 that he looked at that was on the defendant's
14 video are not in evidence, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: If they're not in evidence,
                                                      15 exhibit list at 1322. He didn't know, obviously,
                                                      16 which one was coming into evidence, and so when
16 it doesn't come in.
                                                      17 he --
17
         MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor --
         MR. MURPHY: It's ALH57 and ALH58,
                                                      18
18
                                                               THE COURT: So what are we going to --
                                                               MS. LECAROZ: I can do both, but
19 right?
                                                      19
         MS. LECAROZ: Correct. But they --
                                                      20 Defendant's 1322 is not in evidence yet.
21 DX708 is, and it's the same photo.
                                                      21 Defendant's 708 is.
22
         MR. MURPHY: It's visually the same
                                                      22
                                                               MR. MURPHY: I would object to the
```

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7390 1 video. I know what it is. It says at the bottom,	7392 I in that video?		
2 "Defendant's Exhibit." It's going to be	2 A The same photo treated two different		
3 incredibly prejudicial for them to use a video	3 ways. One was marked with the original – or with		
4 that has a defendant's exhibit number on it. That	4 the operating system from the iPhone, which is		
5 cannot be shown to the jury.	5 iOS 9.3.1 on that particular photo. The one that		
6 MS. LECAROZ: Can we cover it up?	6 says 9.3.1, there is a graphic below indicating		
7 THE COURT: Yeah, you can cover it up.	7 it. The second photo is marked Photos 3, and it		
8 Because it's just a demonstrative.	8 looks quite a bit different.		
9 MR. MURPHY: If they can cover it up	9 MS. LECAROZ: And just, Tom, could we		
10 if they can cover it up, yes.	10 pull up Defendant's 708.		
11 THE COURT: If you can cover it up, you	11 Q Mr. Neumeister, does the image in		
12 can show it.	12 Defendant's 708 appear to be similar, the same		
13 MS. LECAROZ: Yeah. Let me consult	13 photo as what was depicted in your demonstrative?		
14 with my tech. Okay.	14 A Yes. Actually it's the Photos 3.0 edit		
15 All right. We took care of that, Your	15 version.		
16 Honor.	16 Q Thank you.		
17 THE COURT: Thank you.	MS. LECAROZ: We can take that one		
18 MS. LECAROZ: May we publish? Or would	18 down, Tom.		
19 you like to see it?	19 Q Mr. Neumeister, you also formed an		
20 MR. MURPHY: I'd just like to see it	20 opinion about Defendant's Exhibit 712 and 713?		
21 first, Your Honor.	21 A Correct.		
22 MS. LECAROZ: Okay.	22 Q Did you prepare a demonstrative that		
7391	7393		
1 THE COURT: And which demonstrative is	1 shows		
2 this going to be, then?	2 MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor.		
3 MS. LECAROZ: This is Plaintiff's 1305,	3 Exhibit 712 and 713 are outside the scope of the		
4 Your Honor.	4 disclosure.		
5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	5 MS. LECAROZ: I can show you if you		
6 Could you play it. There you go.	6 like, Your Honor.		
7 MR. MURPHY: Subject to Your Honor's	7 (Sidebar.)		
8 ruling, that's fine.	8 MS. LECAROZ: So this one is also		
9 (Open court.)	9 Defendant's 712 and Defendant's 713.		
10 THE COURT: All right. So 13 marked	10 MR. MURPHY: I'm sorry. I don't		
11 as Plaintiff's 1305 and used as demonstrative.	11 understand Your Honor's ruling. If I could maybe		
12 You can publish it to the jury.	12 have a continuing objection to		
13 BY MS. LECAROZ:	13 THE COURT: You want to just do a		
14 Q Mr. Neumeister, we're going to go ahead	14 continuing to the		
15 and play the demonstrative that you prepared, and	MR. MURPHY: Yes.		
16 then after the jury's had a chance to see it, if	16 THE COURT: Are you going to do it for		
17 you want to explain to them what the demonstrative	17 each of these? Or is this the last one? 18 MS. LECAROZ: This is the last one.		
18 shows, that would be great. 19 A Yes, ma'am.	1		
1	MR. MURPHY: Just to make the record.		
20 MS. LECAROZ: Can you publish it.	20 THE COURT: Okay.		
1			

```
7396
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
1 disclosure, then I don't have to keep doing this.
                                                       1
                                                       2
2
         THE COURT: Okay.
                                                              Q Mr. Neumeister, did you form an opinion
3
                                                          in this case about the authenticity of the photos
         MS. LECAROZ: I think it's specifically
  in his disclosure, but thank you, Your Honor.
                                                          that you reviewed of Ms. Heard?
         THE COURT: All right.
                                                              A Well, first of all, you can't - I
6
         (Open court.)
                                                         can't, and nobody can, identify the authenticity
  BY MS. LECAROZ:
                                                          of the photos, of any of the photos marked
         MS. LECAROZ: All right. Could we pull
                                                         Photos 3, Photos 1, or just marked with the
9 up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1306, Tom.
                                                       9 operating system number. And the reason is the
                                                       10 manner of collection. So these came from an
10
         And, Your Honor, this is another video
11 that --
                                                       11 iTunes backup.
                                                       12
12
         Oh, could you pause that, please.
                                                                 Now, what is an iTunes backup? It's
         This is another video that we prepared.
                                                       13 not -
13
14 It's not published yet, so I'm happy to play it
                                                       14
                                                                MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                       15 I'm sorry. You're beyond the scope of your
15 once through so that --
                                                       16 ruling, EXIF metadata. This keeps happening.
16
         THE COURT: All right. Play it once
                                                       17
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor, may I
17 through. This is 1306.
         MR. MURPHY: What was your question?
                                                       18 approach on this one?
18
19 What exhibits are they? I'm sorry, Your Honor.
                                                       19
                                                                 (Sidebar.)
                                                       20
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Lunderstand that your
20
         What exhibits are these that are in
21 this video? It doesn't say. I don't know.
                                                       21 ruling is that he could opine as to the lack of
                                                       22 authenticity or the lack of an ability to
22
         THE COURT: Okay.
                                                 7395
                                                                                                       7397
         MS. LECAROZ: Yeah. I tried to get my
                                                          determine the authenticity of the photos.
2 question out a moment ago. Defendant's 712 and
                                                       12
                                                                 THE COURT: And he's already testified
                                                       3
3 713, Your Honor.
                                                          to that. So what's --
         THE COURT: Okay. 712 and 713. All
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Yeah. So he's just
5 right. Okay. 1306, then, will be a demonstrative
                                                          explaining how he knows that. I mean, he has to
  as identified and can be published.
                                                         be able to get the basis for his opinion.
         MS. LECAROZ: If we could, go ahead and
                                                                 MR. MURPHY: He can only do that based
                                                       8 on the metadata. What he's doing now is well
8 play that, please, Tom.
         (Whereupon, a video was played.)
                                                       9 beyond. He's talking about forensic imaging
10
      O And, Mr. Neumeister, what's your --
                                                       10 process, not the actual metadata, and that is
                                                       11 beyond the scope of Your Honor's ruling and it's
11 what do we see here in this demonstrative?
       A There's Exhibit 712, I believe you
                                                        12 highly prejudicial. I keep having to do this in
12
                                                        13 front of a jury when Your Honor's ruled already.
13 have - I'm not sure of the Bates number - 712
                                                                 MS, LECAROZ: I don't think it is
14 and 713. They're two separate exhibits, except
                                                        14
15 it's the exact same photograph that's been -
                                                        15 beyond the scope of your ruling, Your Honor. He
                                                        16 disclosed the issues with the authenticity of the
16 one's been edited; one hasn't. Or I can't say one
17 hasn't, but the colors have been modified in an
                                                        17 documents.
                                                                 THE COURT: I've already made a ruling
18 editor.
         MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                        19 on it though, so I'm going to sustain the
19
20 Beyond the scope of your ruling, talking about
                                                       20 objection. He's already testified that nobody
21 colors. Keeps happening.
                                                       21 could.
22
         THE COURT: Sustain the objection.
                                                       22
                                                                 MS. LECAROZ: Okay.
```

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7398	7400		
1 THE COURT: If you want him to explain	1 today, you relied on no data except for the		
2 that without going into the	2 embedded EXIF metadata to support those opinions,		
3 MR. MURPHY: He can only rely on	3 correct?		
4 metadata, that's it.	4 A Incorrect.		
5 MS. LECAROZ: Okay.	5 Q What other data did you rely on for the		
6 THE COURT: That was Your Honor's	6 opinions you've testified to today?		
7 ruling.	7 A I was trying to explain, but you've		
8 MS. LECAROZ: All right. That wasn't	8 kept it –		
9 my understanding, so I apologize. Thank you, Your	9 Q What other data did you rely on for the		
10 Honor.	10 actual opinions you've been able to testify to		
11 (Open court.)	11 today besides EXIF metadata?		
12 BY MS. LECAROZ:	-		
	12 A The type of extraction that was		
13 Q So, Mr. Neumeister, without going into	13 performed? You're asking the question —		
14 the specifics, what's your opinion about the	14 Q I'm asking for the actual opinions you		
15 authenticity of the photos you received from	15 testified to.		
16 Ms. Heard?	16 A That is what I would use. I also use		
17 A Based on the way they were collected –	17 vector scopes.		
18 MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor. We	18 MR. MURPHY: Objection. Your Honor,		
19 just ruled on this.	19 that was not responsive to my question, Your		
20 MS. LECAROZ: I framed my question, I	20 Honor.		
21 thought, Your Honor, to avoid the issue that	21 THE COURT: If you want to, approach.		
22 you're concerned about.	22 (Sidebar.)		
7399	7401		
1 Q Mr. Neumeister, what's your opinion	1 THE COURT: If you're going to ask him		
2 about the authenticity here?	2 how he devised his opinions even ones that aren't		
3 A There's no way for any forensic expert	3 in court, you didn't let him explain it because		
4 to validate any of these photos.	4 you said "devised."		
5 MS, LECAROZ: Thank you very much. No	5 MR. MURPHY: The opinions he testified		
6 further questions.	6 to.		
7 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND	7 THE COURT: Right. And he testified to		
8 COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF 9 BY MR. MURPHY:	8 it and he's going to get into why he came to that		
· .	9 conclusion, which I sustained your objection. But		
10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Neumeister. 11 A Good afternoon.	10 now you asked him, and so he gets to answer it.		
12 Q Your only degree is in political	MR. MURPHY: Understood, Your Honor.		
13 science, correct?	MS. LECAROZ: Thank you, Your Honor.		
14 A 43 years ago, correct.	13 (Open court.)		
15 Q And you have no degree whatsoever from	14 THE COURT: All right. So, sir, you		
16 any academic institution in computer science,			
17 correct?	15 can answer that question. 16 THE WITNESS: Pardon?		
18 A That's correct.			
19 Q And you have no certifications in	17 THE COURT: You can answer the		
20 computer forensics, correct?	18 question.		
21 A That's correct.	19 A Can you restate the question?		
22 Q From the opinions you've testified	20 MR. MURPHY: I don't recall the		
	21 question, Your Honor. We can move on.		
	22 MS. LECAROZ: Your Honor, maybe we		

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7402	7404		
1 could have the court reporter read it back.	1 MR. MURPHY: Can you please pull up		
2 MR. MURPHY: They could redirect.	2 Exhibit 170A.		
THE COURT: No. What was the question,	3 THE COURT: Is that Defendant's 170A?		
4 Judy?	4 MR. MURPHY: Defendant's 170, yes, Your		
5 THE WITNESS: I believe the question	5 Honor.		
6 was what methodology did I use to make my	6 THE COURT: Thank you.		
7 findings.	7 Q So you offered testimony regarding this		
8 THE COURT: Judy's voice has changed.	8 photograph during the direct examination, right,		
9 That's	9 Mr. Neumeister?		
10 THE WITNESS: Sorry.	10 A There's		
THE COURT: Is that correct, Judy?	11 Q That's a yes or no, sir.		
12 COURT REPORTER: I don't know. I was	12 A On a photograph like that. I don't		
13 looking for it when he started talking.	13 exactly remember the photograph. There's so many		
14 THE COURT: Okay.	14 different versions of the photograph, but, yes, I		
15 (The requested text was read by the	15 talked about that particular photograph.		
16 reporter as follows: "I'm asking for the actual	16 Q But on do you recall being deposed		
17 opinions you testified to.")	17 in this matter?		
18 COURT REPORTER: Do you want the	18 A Yes.		
19 question before that?	19 Q And you were under oath?		
20 THE COURT: That's fine. Okay.	20 A Yes.		
21 A So when you are analyzing video or	21 Q That was on April 6, 2022?		
22 photo, in this	22 A I believe.		
7403	7405		
1 MR. MURPHY: Objection to video, Your	1 MR. MURPHY: May I approach, Your		
2 Honor. That's beyond the scope.	2 Honor?		
3 THE COURT: All right. If you could,	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.		
4 just answer the question, sir.	4 Q So, Mr. Neumeister, if you could,		
5 A When you're analyzing a photo, a	5 please turn to page 76. And when I say pages,		
6 digital photo, you look at the EXIF data; you use	6 those are the little pages in the four boxes, not		
7 a vector scope; you can use a Pantone chart, if	7 the page at the top.		
8 that's available, and that should be done, but	8 A Okay.		
9 that's a whole different deal. If I go into that,	9 Q And do you see page 76, line 3, you		
10 you'll object to it. So you'd also use a waveform	10 were asked on April 6, "Anywhere in your		
11 scope; you would use an RGB parade; you can use a	11 April 1st, 2022 expert disclosure, do you offer		
12 histogram, though in this case, it's not really	112 any opinions regarding the authenticity or lack of		
13 all that relevant.	13 authenticity of the specific photograph produced		
14 Q You are not offering any opinions that	14 as ALH7101?"		
15 any photograph in this case was intentionally 16 modified by Ms. Heard, correct?	15 Response, "Can I refer to my report to		
<u> </u>	16 see if that specific number is in the report? 17 "Yes."		
17 A I'm just stating the fact that			
18 photographs were modified.	18 Response, "Not that specific photo. I		
19 Q But so you are not offering any opinion	19 just grabbed three out of the batch."		
20 that any photograph in this case was intentionally	20 Do you see that?		
21 modified by Ms. Heard, correct?	21 A Yes.		
22 A That's correct.	22 MR. MURPHY: Can you please pull up		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7406 1 Exhibit 517 or Defendant's 517.	7408 I that it was visually edited in any way in
2 THE COURT: Thank you.	2 Photos 3.0, correct?
3 Q You are not offering any opinions	3 A Again, it's not the same photo because
4 regarding this specific photograph, right,	4 you're using lossy compression once you save it,
5 Mr. Neumeister?	5 so you would change the photo.
6 A That's correct. My testimony has been	6 Q So if you could, please turn to
7 limited here.	7 page 233 of that transcript, and line 20.
8 Q And you are not offering any opinion	8 Do you see a question, "When it says
9 that any photograph was visually doctored by	9 EXIF software, okay, Photos 3.0" on to 234
10 Amber, correct?	10 "That's just saying it was saved in Photos 3.0,
11 A Not by - I can't put the person who	11 right?"
12 might have done it.	Response: "Saved in 3.0, that's
13 Q Well, you're not offering an opinion	13 correct.
14 that a photo was visually doctored by anybody, are	14 "QUESTION: That notion in and of
15 you?	15 itself does not mean that photo was edited in 3.0,
16 A I'd have to see each photo. There's no	16 right?
17 way to authenticate any of these photos based on	17 "ANSWER: That's correct."
18 what I received.	18 Did I read that correctly?
19 Q So you testified about Photos 3.	19 A Yes.
20 Do you recall that testimony?	20 Q A file has not changed visually just
21 A Correct.	21 because it's been processed through Photos 3.0,
22 Q Photos 3 is a photo editing and photo	22 correct?
7407	7409
1 sorting application, correct?	A That's incorrect.
A It's a photo editor and photo sorter, as are a number of editors.	2 Q Can you look at page 128 of your 3 deposition, please. At the bottom, line 20, do
3 as are a number of editors. 4 Q So when you reference Photos 3.0, you	4 you see, "QUESTION: But the file changed visually
5 never deny any time independent re	5 just because it has been processed through Photos
6 MR. MURPHY: Strike that, Your Honor.	6 3.0?
7 Q So when the software of a photograph in	7 "ANSWER: You know, obviously, I
8 the EXIF metadata says "Photos 3.0," that could be	8 understand what you're asking. From a technical
9 just saying that photo was saved in Photos 3.0,	9 point, yes, because of the compression. You get
10 correct?	10 down to scopes and artifacts, yes, it has changed.
11 A Unless you looked at a scope of the	11 Was it intentionally changed? We don't know. In
12 photos. That would tell you that the parameters	12 other words, did somebody save it in there and
13 of the photo do not meet that of the cell phone	13 just save the photo? We don't know."
14 that it was taken on.	14 Did I read that correctly?
15 Q But the notation Photos 3.0 in the	15 A That's correct. But, again, it says
16 software EXIF metadata, that does not in and of	16 here that –
17 itself mean the photo was edited in Photos 3.0,	17 Q That was my question, Mr. Neumeister.
18 correct?	18 A Okay.
19 A It means that you've recompressed the	19 Q So if the EXIF metadata software field
20 photo, and it will not hash, or digitally	20 lists the software as iOS, you have no reason to
21 fingerprint, with the original photo.	21 dispute that, correct?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

A Incorrect.

Q But it does not mean, in and of itself,

7410 7412 Q Well, isn't data data? That's what you THE COURT: Overruled. 2 testified to, right? A There's not a way to answer that the A It's very simple to modify EXIF data. way you're asking a question. You have to restate 4 It's it in a – you're trying to control the narrative. Q Did you find any evidence in this case MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, he's not of actual modification of EXIF metadata? responding to the question. A You can't - you can't authenticate any THE COURT: I mean, could you just answer yes or no, sir, to the question? 8 of these photos because of the way they were -9 THE WITNESS: It's not a yes-or-no Q That wasn't my question, 10 Mr. Neumeister. Did you find any evidence of any 10 question. 11 modification of EXIF metadata of any photograph in Q Did you, yes or no, you found no 12 this case? 12 evidence of EXIF metadata modification of any 13 photograph in this case, correct? 13 A You didn't listen to my answer. My 14 answer is there's no way to know because of the A That's incorrect. 15 way the files were presented. 15 Q Okay. It is your opinion that the Q So you found -- but you found no actual 16 metadata of all photographs of purported injuries 17 evidence of it, correct? 17 that Ms. Heard has identified as her trial 18 A Nobody could -18 exhibits do not indicate that the photographs went 19 Q I'm not asking whether anyone else 19 through a photo editing application, correct? 20 could, Mr. Neumeister. I'm asking did you A Well, first of all, that's not 21 yourself find -- you found no evidence of any 21 answering a question because a lot of the exhibits 22 modification of EXIF metadata of any photograph in 22 that you have put up, they're not photographs; 7411 7413 1 this case, correct? 1 they're screen grabs. And they've been changed A Now, I understand trying to control the 2 from an Apple format, which is JPEG, J-P-E-G, to a 3 narrative, but there's no way to answer that 3 JPG Microsoft format, so you have actually changed 4 scientifically because given the evidence we were 4 the exemplars. You've changed the data 5 given, there's no way to positively or negatively 5 yourselves. We actually ran EXIF data on some of 6 answer that. It's not a question that can be 6 your own examples that you've entered into 7 answered. evidence. They are not photos from an iPhone. Q It is a question, Mr. Neumeister. The Those were edited in -- on PC. 9 question is did you, yourself, you found no Q I'm going to hand up a page from your 10 affirmative evidence of any modification of 10 disclosure. 11 software EXIF metadata of any photograph in this 11 MR. MURPHY: One second, Your Honor. 12 case, correct? You found no actual evidence of 12 May I approach, Your Honor? 13 that, did you? 13 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you. 14 A No one could tell either way because -14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 Q I'm not asking about anyone else, 15 Q So do you see on page 8 of your 16 Mr. Neumeister. I'm asking about you. 16 disclosure, Mr. Neumeister, it states, "The 17 Did you -- you found no evidence of 17 metadata of all of the photographs of purported

PLANET DEPOS

21 application."

18 injuries that Ms. Heard has identified as her

20 photographs went through a photo editing

Did I read that correct?

19 trial exhibits do not indicate that the

22

18 that, did you?

20 Asked and answered.

22 found, Your Honor.

MS. LECAROZ: Objection, Your Honor.

MR. MURPHY: He's not answered what he

19

```
7416
1
      A That's correct. We're talking --
                                                          1 how data was handled.
12
        MR. MURPHY: No further questions, Your
                                                                    All it is is the photos you decided to
  Honor.
                                                             save, not the photos you deleted. So it's a very
        THE COURT: All right. Redirect.
                                                             limited database. Without the system registry or
   EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND
                                                             without the system operating system, there's no
          COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT
6
                                                          6 way to tell because it's very easy to modify a
  BY MS. LECAROZ:
                                                             photo on a phone and have it just read "iOS
8
      Q Mr. Neumeister --
                                                          8 9.3.1."
9
      A Yes.
                                                          Q
                                                                    But with the actual phone, if you are
      Q -- a moment ago, Mr. Murphy was asking
                                                          10 able to get ahold of the actual phone, and in
11 you some questions about your opinion about the
                                                          11 95 percent of all cases we work, we have the
12 trial exhibits that Ms. Heard has offered in this
                                                          12 actual phones. It doesn't matter the phones are
13 matter, and he asked you about your opinion that
                                                          13 10 years old or 20 years old - I don't know about
14 they don't indicate that they've gone through a
                                                          14 20 years old, but 10 years old. The reason is if
15 photo editing application. What can you tell us
                                                          15 people have something they want to keep as
16 about that?
                                                          16 evidence, they don't throw out their phones. They
17
      A Well, first of all, this last exhibit,
                                                          17 don't recycle their phones. They save their
18 it says, "metadata," not EXIF data. So that's two
                                                          18 phones.
19 different things altogether. We're talking EXIF
                                                          19
                                                                    So people ask how are we doing phones
20 data, and on the report, I put metadata because I
                                                          20 on 13-year-old cases? Because people do not throw
21 was requested to cover meta and EXIF data. So
                                                          21 out evidence. They keep the phone. So in a
22 it's taken out of context. The EXIF data is the
                                                          22 situation like this, there are no forensic
                                                    7415
                                                                                                            7417
1 data based - that's embedded in the photo.
                                                          1 extractions. In fact, the extractions we were
2 Metadata can be the file data, about the file
                                                            provided were backups of backups of iTunes, just
3 itself, two different things.
                                                            exports. So it's third-generation, and there's no
          So the way the data was collected, it
                                                            way to verify the file paths and the history of
  was an iTunes backup is a backup -
                                                            any single photo that we've looked at.
          MR. MURPHY: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                                    MS. LECAROZ: No further questions,
                                                          6
7 Backup's outside the scope of Your Honor's ruling.
                                                            Your Honor.
8 Beyond EXIF metadata.
                                                                    THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
          MS. LECAROZ: I think you opened the
                                                          9 You can have a seat in the courtroom, or you're
10 door on the --
                                                          10 free to go.
          THE COURT: I'll overrule the
                                                          11
11
                                                                    MS. LECAROZ: Thank you very much.
12 objection.
                                                          12
                                                                    THE COURT: All right. Your next
13
          MS. LECAROZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                          13 witness.
14
       Q Go ahead, Bryan.
                                                                    MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, we call
15
      A An iTtunes backup is only a backup of
                                                          15 Morgan -- excuse me -- Beverly Leonard by video
16 things that are on an iPhone that have not been
                                                          16 link.
17 deleted. It does not have the critical operating
                                                                    THE COURT: I need a TV. All right.
                                                          17
18 system. It doesn't have any of the files that
                                                          18 Just give us a moment to get the TV up.
19 would validate the path of a photograph in that
                                                          19
                                                                    Ms. Leonard, can you hear me?
20 phone. It does not have a lot of the log files.
                                                          20
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I can.
21 It does not have the knowledgeC database, which
                                                          21
                                                                    THE COURT: Can you just count to five
22 talks about usage of the phone and the patterns of
                                                         22 for me so I can get you on the big screen in the
```

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7418	7420		
11 courtroom	1 A I was in the baggage claim area, and I		
2 THE WITNESS: One, two, three, four,	2 observed her with a traveling companion. And they		
3 five.	3 got into an altercation where Ms. Heard was - had		
4 THE COURT: All right. That was close.	4 grabbed her traveling companion and pulled		
5 BEVERLY R. LEONARD,	5 something from her neck. At that point, I got up		
6 a witness called on behalf of the	6 and went over to try to break up what appeared to		
7 plaintiff and counterclaim defendant, having been	7 be a fight, and I summoned a colleague to help me.		
8 first duly swom by the Clerk, testified as	8 And I stepped in between them and separated them,		
9 follows:	9 stopping any further injuries or escalation.		
10 THE COURT: Start talking. We'll see	10 Q How would you describe the interaction		
11 if try the first question.	11 between Ms. Heard and her traveling companion?		
12 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	12 A Ms. Heard was aggressive toward her		
13 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	13 traveling companion, and she had reached up and		
14 BY MS. VASQUEZ:	14 grabbed her arm and pulled a necklace off of her.		
15 Q Good affernoon, Ms. Leonard.	15 And then I observed her having it in her hand.		
16 A Good afternoon.	16 She seemed to be not very steady on her feet. Her		
17 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Leonard, if	17 eyes were blurry and watery, and I could smell		
18 you could, speak a little louder for me and try to	18 alcohol.		
19 count to five one more time. I'm trying to get	19 Q What was Ms. Heard's travel companion's		
20 you on the TV screen.	20 reaction to being assaulted by Ms. Heard?		
21 THE WITNESS: Okay. One, two, three,	21 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection. Relevance.		
22 four, five.	22 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.		
7419	7421		
1 THE COURT: That did it. All right.	1 MS. VASQUEZ: On relevance?		
2 The lessons we've learn in COVID, correct? Okay.	2 THE COURT: Yes.		
3 All right. Your first question.	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay.		
4 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you very much.	4 Q What did Ms. Heard's traveling		
5 BY MS. VASQUEZ:	5 companion do?		
6 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Leonard.	6 A She raised her hands in what appeared		
7 A Good afternoon.	7 to be defensive manner and – but other than that,		
8 Q Would you please state your full name	8 she was pretty stoic and didn't really respond		
9 for the record.	9 much. Her stature was two or three inches taller		
10 A Beverly R. Leonard.	10 than Ms. Heard, so she – it didn't – she didn't		
11 Q Where are you testifying from?	11 really need to have to defend herself.		
12 A I'm testifying from my home in Arizona.	12 Q How would you describe Ms. Heard's		
13 Q Are you familiar with the defendant in	13 demeanor when you stepped in between Ms. Heard and		
14 this matter, Amber Heard?	14 her traveling companion?		
15 A Yes, I am.	15 A She was somewhat dismissive. She just		
16 Q And how are you familiar with her?	16 said, "We're just having an argument. We're fine.		
17 A I met her in 2009 at Sea-Tac	17 We're fine."		
18 International Airport.	18 Because I was asking if they were okay.		
19 Q Why were you at the airport?	19 "Are you okay? Is there anything wrong? You		
20 A I worked there.	20 know, what's going on?"		
21 Q What happened when you met Ms. Heard in	21 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection. Hearsay.		
22 2009?	22 Just objection hearsay to what she said.		

Conducted on May 25, 2022			
7422	. 7424		
1 THE COURT: All right. All right.	1 Q And you know that this trial is being		
2 I'll sustain the objection.	2 televised, right?		
Next question.	3 A Yes.		
4 Q What, if any, injuries did you observe	4 Q And so you know that if you have		
5 on Ms. Heard's traveling companion?	5 something that might be significant to say, that		
6 A She had abrasion on the side of her	6 that way you can get on television, right?		
7 neck where the necklace was, like a rope burn from	7 A No. I had no desire to be on		
8 the chain as it was removed.	8 television. I actually waited for a call and		
9 Q How did you come to testify in this	9 wondered why I hadn't been contacted.		
10 trial?	10 Q All right. But you but you only		
11 A I became aware of this situation,	11 contact you reached out and contacted them last		
12 specifically this trial, because I was sent an	12 night, correct?		
13 email anonymously I don't even know who it came	13 A Yes.		
14 from — asking —	14 Q Okay.		
15 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection. Hearsay.	15 A Yes.		
16 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.	16 MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. All right.		
17 MS. VASQUEZ: Understood.	17 Thank you. I have no further questions.		
18 Q How would you generally describe	18 THE COURT: Any redirect?		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
19 Ms. Heard's behavior on the occasion you met her	19 MS. VASQUEZ: Nothing further, Your 20 Honor.		
20 in 2009?	<u> </u>		
21 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection, Your Honor.	21 THE COURT: All right. Thanks,		
22 already asked and answered the specifics.	22 Ms. Leonard. You're free to sign off. Thank you.		
7423 1 THE COURT: Sustain the objection.	1 THE WITNESS: All right.		
2 Next question.	l		
3 Q Did Ms. Heard's sexual orientation have	_		
4 any impact on how you conducted yourself?			
5 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection.	4 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, may we		
6 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.	5 approach?		
7 MS. VASQUEZ: No further questions.	6 THE COURT: Sure.		
8 THE COURT: All right. Any	7 (Sidebar.)		
9 cross-examination?	8 MS. VASQUEZ: So our last rebuttal		
10 MS. BREDEHOFT: Briefly.	9 witness is Dr. Gilbert.		
11 THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination.	THE COURT: Doctor who?		
12 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND	MS. VASQUEZ: Gilbert. He is the hand		
13 COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF	12 surgeon. He can only testify tomorrow morning		
14 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	13 first thing. He has a funeral today. We		
15 Q Ms. Leonard, when did you contact	14 disclosed that he would be testifying tomorrow, so		
16 counsel for Mr. Depp?	15 with that, I don't know if you're going to count		
17 A Late last night.	16 time against us today. I mean		
18 Q Late last night? Okay. And this	17 THE COURT: I would.		
19 happened in 2009, correct?	18 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. Well		
20 A Yes.			
20 A Yes. 21 Q 13 years ago, correct?	18 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. Well		
20 A Yes.	18 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. Well 19 THE COURT: That's what we have to do.		

```
7426
                                                                                                   7428
1 make a brief record with respect to Dr. Collins,
                                                              THE COURT: 51. We'll give you 51. 51.
2 and then --
                                                     2 minutes to the plaintiff's side. You have a lot
                                                     3 of time. You've only got one more rebuttal,
         THE COURT: Okay.
                                                     4 right?
         MS. MEYERS: So first of all, we would
5 request an opportunity to amend our designations
                                                     5
                                                              MR. CHEW: Yeah.
 to allow her to testify.
                                                     6 ..
                                                             MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                              MR. CHEW: I think you guys are out,
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MS. MEYERS: And then consist ---
                                                     8 right?
8
         THE COURT: Do you want to do a
                                                     g
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: That's called wishful
                                                     10 thinking, Mr. Chew.
10 proffer?
         MS. MEYERS: We can do a proffer, yes.
                                                              THE COURT: Getting really close.
11
                                                     1.1
12
         THE COURT: You can do a proffer. I'm
                                                     12 Everybody's starting to get a little giddy.
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: Nervous.
13 not going to allow her to just testify, so you can
                                                     13
                                                              MR. ROTTENBORN: I think both sides are
14 do a proffer. But I don't want to count -- all of
                                                     14
                                                     15 ready for each other to be done.
15 those times count half and half, but if you're
16 going to do a proffer, I'm not going to count
                                                              THE COURT: I am extremely happy. I
17 that.
                                                     17 can't tell you how much.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Thanks.
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: Not that you don't love
18
                                                     18
         MS. MEYERS: That's fair, Your Honor.
19
                                                     19 us.
         THE COURT: So do you want to do a
                                                     20
                                                              THE COURT: I can't wait.
21 proffer now? You can submit a written proffer if
                                                     21
                                                              MR. CHEW: At least you're not snarky.
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: I don't think so. I
22 you want, and --
                                                     22
                                               7427
                                                                                                   7429
                                                     1 haven't yet been accused of being snarky. Accused
         MS. MEYERS: We can do that as well.
         THE COURT: -- I can add it to -- let's
                                                     2 of a lot of things.
3 go with Mr. Nadelhaft's --
                                                              SAMMY: For the defendant, that's 1
                                                     4 hour and 16 minutes.
         MR. CHEW: To the circular file.
         MS. MEYERS: The other point we would
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: Sorry? I'm sorry?
                                                              SAMMY: I'm sorry. For the defendant,
6 make is that I understood Your Honor's ruling with
7 respect to comparing Ms. Heard's testimony with
                                                     7 it's 1 hour and 16 minutes remaining.
8 the photographs. And we would also request that
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: 1 hour, 16?
                                                     8
                                                     9
9 Dr. Collins be limited to testify about the
                                                              SAMMY: Yes.
10 injuries reflected in the photographs that are in
                                                     10
                                                              THE COURT: 16. For the plaintiff,
                                                     11 they've used 54 hours and 7 minutes, so --
11 evidence and have been shown.
         THE COURT: All right. I understand.
                                                     12
                                                              SAMMY: Seven hours and eight minutes.
                                                              THE COURT: Seven hours and eight
13 I'm going to sustain the objection. So you have
                                                     13
14 nothing further tonight?
                                                     14 minutes.
         MS. VASQUEZ: Nothing further tonight.
                                                              MS. BREDEHOFT: Can we have some of
                                                     15
                                                     16 yours?
16 Dr. Gilbert, very short rebuttal witness,
                                                              MS. VASQUEZ: I bet. Maybe for --
17 tomorrow.
                                                     17
                                                              MR. ROTTENBORN: Well, if they want to
         THE COURT: Just give me the final
19 times so I can give them to them. As of right
                                                     19 have Dr. Gilbert on the stand for seven hours and
20 now. Don't count this bench conference. Actually
                                                     20 eight minutes, then that's fine too.
21 going to have to add -- is it 45? I'm sorry.
                                                              THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine too. I
                                                     21
         MR. ROTTENBORN: 52. 52 minutes.
22
                                                     22 bet.
```

```
7430
                                                                                                     7432
         MS. VASQUEZ: I bet. I bet.
                                                        that set forth the few remaining issues of
         THE COURT: All right. So with that,
                                                         disagreement.
3 I'll excuse the jury.
                                                      3
                                                                THE COURT: Okay.
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: But largely I think
         Remember, I need those --
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes. I was going to
                                                      5 we're mostly there, but I haven't had a chance to
                                                        read what they sent over.
  address that.
                                                                THE COURT: Mostly. Okay. So I have
         THE COURT: -- jury instructions.
8
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. Thank --
                                                      8 everything -- so I don't have everything quite
         THE COURT: You can go back and have a
                                                      9 yet, then?
10 seat.
                                                      10
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: It's not finalized
11
         MS, VASQUEZ: Okay.
                                                      11 vet.
                                                                THE COURT: Okay. But I will get it
12
         MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                      12
13
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you.
                                                      13 sometime this evening?
14
                                                      14
                                                                MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor.
         (Open court.)
                                                      15
                                                                THE COURT: We have an hour now. You
15
         THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
16 gentlemen, we're still on track to have closing
                                                      16 can stay if the courtroom and work it out. How
                                                      17 about that?
17 arguments on Friday morning. But the plaintiff
18 only has one more witness in rebuttal, and then
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: We're happy to address
19 since we have a counterclaim, the defense has a
                                                      19 those. I think we need an opportunity to see
20 chance to have rebuttal for their counterclaim.
                                                      20 what -- I mean, we sent them our comments two days
21 And so we'll hear the remaining witness tomorrow
                                                      21 ago, and we just got it three hours ago.
22 on that.
                                                                THE COURT: I understand. But we have
                                                7431
                                                                                                     7433
         So, since we don't have anything
                                                       1 some extra time right now, so nobody leaves until
2 further today, I'm going to go ahead and release
                                                      2 I get my jury instructions. How's that?
  you at this point.
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: That's fair.
         Do not discuss this with anybody, don't
                                                                THE COURT: I like that, Okay, We'll
5 do any research, and we'll see you back tomorrow
                                                        do that and the verdict form also, and then if I
  morning at 9:00, okay? Thank you.
                                                        could read Sammy's handwriting, I can tell exactly
         (Whereupon, the jury exited the
                                                         what he's saying.
8 courtroom and the following proceedings took
                                                      8
                                                                Sam, what are you saying here? Oh,
9 place.)
                                                      9 yes. We got the three jury instructions that are
         THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then
10
                                                      10 under advisement, so we'll address those tomorrow
11 for the record, charge the plaintiff the remaining
                                                      11 as well, okay? And we'll work on Sammy's
12 time till 5:30. The plaintiff has 7 hours and 8
                                                      12 penmanship. All right. Anything else?
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: So to be clear, Your
13 minutes left as of this moment, and the defendant
14 has 1 hour and 16 minutes left. Right? So we're
                                                      14 Honor wants to hear the disputes right now or
15 all on the same page. Okay. And jury
                                                      15 tomorrow?
                                                      16
16 instructions, we get clean copies today?
                                                                THE COURT: Well, we could -- I mean, I
17
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes, Your Honor. So 17 don't think you know what the disputes are yet.
18 here's -- I think we just received their comments
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: Right.
                                                      18
19 around noon today, but we've had someone not in
                                                      19
                                                                THE COURT: So I'll hear the disputes
20 court who's been looking at those and, I think,
                                                      20 tomorrow. Well, yeah, we can do those at some
```

21 point tomorrow.

21 has narrowed down the areas of disagreement and

22 either is sending or has sent to Sammy an email

It sounds like we're going to have some

```
1 extra time tomorrow. So we'll take care of those
2 tomorrow, but I want everything today -- I want to
3 know what those disputes are and have what you
4 have clean.
         MR. ROTTENBORN: And that's what I'm
6 saying. I think that by 5, you will get what the
  disputes are.
         THE COURT: Okay. For everything,
9 right? Okay. So stay here until we get all that
10 for everything, right? Okay. Great.
         Anything else, then?
11
12
         MR. CHEW: No, Your Honor.
         MR. ROTTENBORN: No, Your Honor. Thank
13
14 you.
         THE COURT: All right. I'll see you in
15
16 the morning, then.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
         THE BAILIFF: All rise.
18
19
          (Whereupon, the trial was recessed at
20 4:43 p.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May
21 26, 2022.)
                                                  7435
        CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER
         I, JUDITH E. BELLINGER, RPR, CRR, the
3 court reporter before whom the foregoing hearing
4 was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5 excerpt transcript is a true and correct record of
6 the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken
7 by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8 typewriting under my direction; and that I am
9 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
10 any of the parties to this case and have no
11 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
         IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
13 my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 26th day
14 of May, 2022.
15 My Commission Expires: September 30, 2024
16
17 Qudith E. Bellireger
19 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
20 THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
22
```